



Technical Assistance Partnership– Expert Deployment Mechanism (TAP-EDM)

RFP Reference Number: 105343

Questions and Answers # 8 – November 27, 2020

Question 1: We require further clarification to the answer to Question 2 of the Questions & Answers #6 issued today. The answer seems to suggest that only 48 months worth of a candidate's experience will be considered for evaluation. We would ask you to consider whether a candidate can fulfill the rated criteria drawing from different assignments/positions (e.g. meeting some rated criteria from one multi-year assignment, and meeting other rated criteria from another multi-year assignment), so long as these assignments amount to a minimum of 48 months, and regardless of the fact that their total may exceed 48 months

Answer 1: As stated in Requirement C ii), the forty-eight months of experience must include at least 24 months consecutive and all of it must have been accumulated in the context of a multi-year complex international development projects as defined in the RFP.

Example: An eligible candidate could have worked two consecutive years (2012-2014) on one \$10 million multi-year complex project of 5 years, 10 months (March to December of 2016) on a second \$10 million multi-year complex project of 5 years, and 14 months (Nov & Dec 2018-end of 2019) on a third \$10 million multi-year complex project of 5 years, up to a maximum total of 48 months.

Question 2 (a): Noting the flexibility provided by GAC in response to one bidder's request by reducing the eligibility requirement from 60% to 50% completion of activities, we request that GAC also consider adjusting the required timeframe to 2010-2020. This would be a more appropriate timeframe given the long-term nature of GAC projects and provides all bidders with a more reasonable period from which to draw experience.

Question 2 (b) We would like to request that the date range for the project descriptions (Requirement A) be broadened to be in the last 10 years to be consistent with the parameters set out for the personnel positions, etc. We would also suggest that projects implemented prior to 2013 are equally relevant to how expert deployment projects are delivered.

Answer 2: DFATD has reviewed these requests and will not amend the requirement.

END OF QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS # 8