



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Travaux publics et Services gouvernementaux
Canada

Voir dans le document/

See herein

NA

Québec

NA

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

TPSGC-PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

Title - Sujet UPGRADING ACCES Level 2 - IML	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation F3766-190223/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client F3766-190223	Date 2021-01-05
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCM-040-18052	
File No. - N° de dossier QCM-0-43080 (040)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2021-01-21 Heure Normale du l'Est HNE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Roy-Lippé, Maude	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcm040
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 906-6865 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

AMENDEMENT 003

Title: F3766-190223 – Security Level 2 – Upgrading access control – Maurice-Lamontagne Institute

Included in the present amendment:

1. Questions and answers 9 to 19

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Question 9 : On plan E003, at section scope of works access control system, on item 14, it is mentioned that the access control system and door operators must be interlocked to avoid forcing the motor unnecessarily. According to good practices, to avoid this problem, a timer is often used on door operator. Has this type of mechanism been foreseen? If so, who shall provide this module, the architecture or the control access specialist?

Answer 9 : The door operator will be protected with a door interface relay CX-12 Plus from CAMDEM, or approved equivalent, that must be provided with the door operator. The connection of this device will be made by the electrician. For existing door operators, a door interface relay CX-12 Plus, or approved equivalent, will be required in an addendum, to interrupt or to delay the functioning of existing door operator, when necessary.

Question 10 : On plan E002, a value of “+/- 20000” is indicated on the left side of corridor A-562. Would you mind to indicate the unit of measurement of this value (mm, cm, m etc)?

Answer 10 : In millimetres (mm).

Question 11 : You have identified the location of controllers on the plan. For various locations, there are 2 card readers and less to connect to controllers. In this case, will a KT300 (2 card readers) be accepted instead of a KT400 (4 card readers)?

Answer 11 : No.

Question 12 : On plan E001, this icon is shown  Would you define this symbol?

Answer 12 : Automatic door opening button, supplied by another. The symbol should be



Question 13 : On plan E001, at door A-517-2, there is no door contact. Do a door contact has to be foreseen on this door?

Answer 13 : No, this door is controlled without supervision since it is located within a supervised area.

Question 14 : On plan E002, in the corridor A-538, there is a PCA controller. It is indicated that the door A-507-5 has to be connected on it, nonetheless, this door can not be found on the plan. Should we read door A-540-5 instead of A-507-5?

Answer 14 : It's written door A-502-5 and not door A-507-5, and yes, it should be written A-540-5 instead of A-502-5.

Question 15 : On plan E003, at section scope of works access control system, on item 6, it is indicated that there is already a computer on site. Would you confirm that we don't have to provide any other computer in this project?

Answer 15 : The contractor does not have to provide a computer workstation.

Question 16 : In the answer for question no. 3 of addendum no. 1, it is indicated that the programming will be done by DFO, from an existing computer of the department of security, located in Quebec City (104, Dalhousie street). Nonetheless, on plan E003, at section scope of works access control system, on item 6, it is indicated that we have to do the necessary configuration for the integration of the new access control system. Would you clearly indicate which elements will be programmed by the control access integrator and which from DFO? E.g. New door configuration in the system; configuration of the interlocks; creation of new controllers; etc.

Answer 16 : See question/answer #3. According to the information, all the system will be programmed by DFO, but all the necessary information will be provided by the contractor. A technical support has to be foreseen by the contractor.

Question 17 : On plan E003, it is indicated to provide a doorbell with a bell button. Would you mind to provide the specifications of the desired system?

Answer 17 : Entirely wired system, low voltage, c/w transformer, 2 different tones, push button for doorbell.

Question 18 : On plan E003, at section scope of works access control system, on item 5, it is indicated to rely controllers between them with the Kantech system. Would you mind to confirm us that EMT conduit between the controllers must be provided and installed by the electrician?

Answer 18 : See on page E002, general note 3.

Question 19 : On plan E003, on control access simple or double door details, it is indicated to provide a CAT6 cable to connect the card readers. Nonetheless, according to good practices in this specialty, we should use a shielded #22/8 wire cable. Would you mind to clarify this please?

Answer 19 : Use a shielded #22/8 wire cable.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.