

**Project Title:** Construction Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services for the Partnership for Development and Innovation Branch's Projects in Maternal, Newborn and Child Health (MNCH) and Sexual and Reproductive Health Right (SRHR) (2021-P001006-1)

**A. AMENDMENTS TO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) :**

1. In the RFP Section 2 "Technical Proposal – Standard Forms", Form 4A "Curriculum Vitae for Proposed Monitors", under "Guidance to Bidders", DELETE the wording "Evaluation Grid below" and INSERT "Section 5: Evaluation Criteria".
2. In the RFP Section 2 "Technical Proposal – Standard Forms", Form 4A "Curriculum Vitae for Proposed Monitors", "Language Proficiency", under Objective, before the word "French" ADD the following wording "at least one member of the Consultant's team must possess Advanced Professional Proficiency in". The sentence must now read as follows:  
  
"Objective: Demonstrate that each member of the Monitoring Team possesses advanced proficiency levels in English and at least one member of the Consultant's team must possess Advanced Professional Proficiency in French."
3. In the RFP Section 2 "Technical Proposal – Standard Forms", Form 4A "Curriculum Vitae for Proposed Monitors" under "PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE (IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER)", under the Objective 1, DELETE the sentence and INSERT the following sentence:  
  
"Objective 1: Demonstrate experience as per rated criterion R 2.1"
4. In the RFP Section 2 "Technical Proposal – Standard Forms", Form 4A "Curriculum Vitae for Proposed Monitors" under "PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE (IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER)", under Objective 2 DELETE the sentence and INSERT the following:  
  
"Objective 2: Demonstrate experience as per rated criterion R 2.2"
5. In the RFP Section 2 "Technical Proposal – Standard Forms", Form 4B "Professional Experience of Each Proposed Monitor" under "Guidance to Bidders" DELETE the wording "Evaluation Grid below" and INSERT "Section 5 Evaluation Criteria".
6. In the RFP Section 2 "Technical Proposal – Standard Forms", Form 4B "Professional Experience of Each Proposed Monitor" under CRITERIA 3.1: ASSIGNMENT #1 and under CRITERIA 3.1: ASSIGNMENT #2, DELETE the Objective paragraph, and INSERT the following Objective paragraph:  
  
"Objective: Describe an Assignment as per Rated Criterion 3.1."
7. In the RFP Section 2 "Technical Proposal – Standard Forms", Form 4B "Professional Experience of Each Proposed Monitor" under CRITERIA 3.2: ASSIGNMENT #1, DELETE the Objective paragraph, and INSERT the following Objective paragraph:  
  
"Objective: Describe an Assignment as per Rated Criterion 3.2."
8. In the RFP Section 5 "Evaluation Criteria", Definitions, DELETE the definition (i) "Technical Assignment" and INSERT the following Definition:  
  
"(i) **Technical advisory and Monitoring Services** is assessing and analysing the project/program information to judge and determine if it is on track and contributing to the outcomes as expected. It is the systematic and continuous collection, analysis and use of information to support effective decision-making in a project/program. Technical Advisory and Monitoring also include making recommendations and proposing adjustments to the project/program based on this assessment."

9. In the English version of the RFP Section 5 “Evaluation Criteria”, Criterion R 2.1, in the first paragraph, DELETE the word “Sector”. The paragraph now read as follows:  

“Using Form 4A, the Bidder should demonstrate that the Construction-Specialist/Team-Leader has experience working as either a licensed Engineer or Architect for at least ten (10) years or 1,500 cumulative working days in the construction industry with a specialization in the construction/refurbishment of health and/or water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities.”
10. In the RFP Section 5 “Evaluation Criteria”, Criterion R 2.1, in the last paragraph, DELETE the word “Sectoral”. The paragraph now read as follows:  

“• At least ten (10) years or 1,500 cumulative days of working as a licensed engineer or architect in the construction industry, plus experience in the construction and/or refurbishment of health and/or water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) facilities: 100 points.”
11. In the English version of the RFP Section 5 “Evaluation Criteria”, Criterion R 2.2, in the first paragraph, ADD the word “Assignments” after “Services”, ADD the wording “the last” after the word “within”. The paragraph must now reads as follows:  

“Using Form 4A, the Bidder should demonstrate that the proposed Monitoring Team, either the Construction-Specialist/Team-Leader or the Technical-Specialist, has cumulative experience engaging with at least one (1) different type of stakeholder during the provision of Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services Assignments within the last ten years of this RFP Closing Date.”
12. In the RFP, Section 5 “Evaluation Criteria”, Criterion R 2.2, after the first paragraph, ADD the following wording “If experience is cumulatively shared across both members of the Monitoring Team, indicate total for Team in the appropriate cell in Form 4A”.
13. In the RFP Section 5 “Evaluation Criteria”, Criterion R 3.1, in the first paragraph DELETE the wording “Technical Advisory and Monitoring” before the word “Assignment” and DELETE the wording “monitoring and advising services” after the word “providing” and INSERT “Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services”. The paragraph must now read as follows:  

“Using Form 4B, the Bidder should describe two (2) Assignments completed within the last ten (10) years of the RFP closing date, to demonstrate that the Construction-Specialist/Team-Leader has significant experience providing Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services regarding construction-related activities in an international development context.”
14. In the RFP Section 5 “Evaluation Criteria”, Criterion R 3.2, in the first paragraph DELETE the wording “Technical Advisory and Monitoring” before the word “Assignment” and DELETE the wording “monitoring and advising services” after the word “providing” and INSERT “Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services”. The paragraph must now read as follows:  

“Using Form 4B, the Bidder should describe one (1) Assignment completed within ten (10) years of the RFP Closing Date, that demonstrates that the Technical Specialist has significant experience providing Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services relating to gender equality, adolescent-friendly and or health programming in an international development context.

**B. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

<b>Question 1</b>	One of our resources is a Colombian national who has worked on (international donor) development projects in Colombia e.g. for USAID. Will experience presented by a Colombian national on a donor project in Colombia be rated as an international development project, or is this not acceptable as this resource was a national consultant on that international development project?
-------------------	--

<b>Answer 1</b>	Nothing in the RFP that restricts the bidder to proposed national resource experience.
<b>Question 2</b>	<p>In Section 5 Evaluation Criteria the definition of 'Technical Assignment' is given as provision of technical subject matter expertise. However, it seems that for this bid GAC is in fact NOT looking for experience delivering Technical Assignments but instead for experience delivering 'technical advisory and monitoring services'.</p> <p>Could you clarify whether experience by the Construction Specialist implementing WASH construction (e.g. as Team Leader of a water supply and sanitation project or infrastructure development project, providing technical expertise) demonstrates advisory and monitoring expertise?</p> <p>Could you give a definition of 'technical advisory and monitoring services'?</p> <p>Is a 'technical advisor and monitor' separate from an implementation team?</p>
<b>Answer 2</b>	<p>DFATD is seeking for Assignments demonstrating experience in Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services. See amendments to this Addendum 2.</p> <p>DFATD provided a definition of "Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services". See amendment 8 to this Addendum 2.</p> <p>No. DFATD is seeking for Construction-Specialist/Team-Leader and Technical Specialist having significant experience providing Technical Advisory and Monitoring Services.</p>
<b>Question 3</b>	The RFP has a Form TECH 4-C, but there is no reference to Form 4-C in the evaluation criteria. Is this form to be submitted?
<b>Answer 3</b>	No, Form 4C is not applicable to this RFP.
<b>Question 4</b>	Form TECH 4-A states under Language Proficiency 'Demonstrate that each member of the Monitoring Team possesses advanced proficiency levels in English and French'. However, in the Statement of Work in section 8 it says 'At least one member of the Consultant's team must possess the following levels in French: Advanced Professional Proficiency. Could you please clarify if one or both of the resources must possess advanced professional proficiency in French?
<b>Answer 4</b>	The bidder must demonstrate that each member of the Monitoring Team possesses advanced proficiency levels in English and at least one member of the Consultant's team must possess Advanced Professional Proficiency in French. See amendment 2 of this Addendum 2.
<b>Question 5</b>	In the SOW, Section 10 it states' the monitoring team will be expected to travel in order to conduct approximately four field visits over four years. However, in Addendum 1 A. Amendments to the RFP, item 6 it states 'to minimize travel, virtual platforms should be the primary approach to service delivery.

---

	Does DFATD still expect us to budget for four field missions, or is this not envisaged as the primary approach to service delivery should be through virtual platforms?
<b>Answer 5</b>	DFATD still expect the bidder to budget for four field missions.

**C. ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.**