



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services

professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Informatics Professional Serv PSB Services professionnels en informatique pour DSB	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation E60ZR-210495/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20210495	Date 2021-02-03
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-606-38962	
File No. - N° de dossier 606el.E60ZR-210495	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2021-03-02 Heure Normale de l'Est HNE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mansour, Silvana	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 606el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9935 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment is raised to provide answers to questions raised by potential bidders and an amendment.

Question 1:

With regards to R2, R3, R4, R5, and R6, would the Crown relax the rated requirement by reducing the number of contracts used for max points to three (3) contracts per rated criteria thus encouraging more bidders to qualify for the competition?

Answer 1:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 2:

Have there been incumbents providing these services in the past 12 months? If so, please provide the name(s) of the incumbent supplier(s), the contract duration(s), and the contract value(s).

Please identify whether there is or has been in the past, a company performing similar or related work? If so, through which company, what was the contract value and how long were they performing the services under the contract (i.e.: length of initial contract and any extensions)?

Could PSPC please identify whether there is or has been in the past, a company or individual performing similar or related work? If so, through which company, what was the contract value, and how long were they performing the services under the contract (e.g.: length of initial contract and any extensions)?

Answer 2:

Maplesoft, \$26,161,894.45 – May 7, 2015 to December 31, 2020
TEKsystems, \$18,719,401.22 – May 7, 2015 to March 31, 2021
Modis, \$16,161,264.36 - May 7, 2015 to March 31, 2021

Question 3:

M2, requires bidders to demonstrate the specified number of billable days within a 24-month period for all 15 resource categories, within the last five (5) years. Could the Crown confirm the following:

- a) Equivalent resource categories will be accepted if the bidder can demonstrate that 50% of the TBIPS resource category tasks were performed.
- b) If the bidder is referencing billable days under TBIPS contracts for the same resource category, task mapping is not required.

- c) Bidders may reference billable days performed by a Level 3 to fulfill Level 2 billable day requirements.

Answer 3:

- (a) For equivalent resource categories to be considered, the Bidder must provide evidence from the Bidder's customer that the services identified in the invoice include at least 50% of the tasks listed under Article 4.0 of Annex A, Statement of Work of this solicitation for the category of resource being assessed.
- (b) That is correct, no task mapping is required, however Canada reserves the right to do reference checks if needed.
- (c) This is acceptable.

Question 4:

We respectfully request a two-week extension to the closing date of this solicitation to allow Bidders adequate time to prepare their response.

Answer 4:

The closing date has been extended. Please see amendment below.

Question 5:

Section 4.3 (c) "Financial Evaluation" is currently evaluating companies based on a median band of -20/+30 percent.

Having a financial evaluation of Technical total score of 60 and Financial total score of 40 and a median band this large is encouraging companies to bid low to win. PSPC is at risk of low-cost bids winning the RFP and being unable to deliver the required services.

Many recent Tier 2 TBIPS RFP's have recognized this risk and issued RFP's with median bands of -10/+30 percent. Would Canada please amend Section 4.3 'Financial Evaluation' to a median band of -10/+30 percent?

Answer 5:

Agreed. Please see amendment below.

Question 6:

Regarding the corporate criterion M2/R1, we request that PSPC remove the requirement for the required billable days to be demonstrated within a 24-month period. Given that the criteria is asking bidders to demonstrate a significant volume of billable days in 15 separate resource categories. We request this amendment to enhance the competitiveness of this solicitation and the overall value provided to PSPC.

Answer 6:

Agreed. Please see amendment below.

Question 7:

Regarding corporate criterion M2/R1, we respectfully request that PSPC amend this requirement to allow bidders to include billable days billed by both Level 2 and Level 3 resources to meet the required billable days for any given resource category. It is not uncommon for Level 3 resources to be presented for Level 2 roles due to various circumstances such as contract obligations, resource availability, client needs or professional fit.

Answer 7:

Please refer to the response to question 3 (c) above.

Question 8:

Regarding corporate criterion M2/R1, given that similar work is often performed across multiple resource categories, we request that PSPC permit bidders to count billable days billed under similar resource categories providing that bidders demonstrate that the tasks performed under the similar resource categories match at least 50% of the tasks listed under the TBIPS SA for the categories listed under M2/R1.

Answer 8:

No. For equivalent resource categories to be considered, the Bidder must provide evidence from the Bidder's customer that the services identified in the invoice include **at least 50% of the tasks listed under Article 4.0 of Annex A, Statement of Work** of this solicitation for the category of resource being assessed.

Question 9:

In regards to corporate requirement M2 and subsequently R1 where the bidder needs to demonstrate over 15,900 combined billable days to score maximum points, can the Crown please confirm the following:

- a) The 24-month period used to demonstrate billable days doesn't need to be the same across all 15 categories, but for each resource category, as long as each 24-month period fall within the past 5 years?
- b) The bidder can use up to a maximum of 5 contracts per resources category to demonstrate services provided, and does not need to demonstrate all 15,900+ billable days using only 5 total contracts?

Answer 9:

Please see amendment below.

Question 10:

In regards to the utilization of Appendix B to Attachment 4.1 – Section 3, would the Crown please confirm that cross-referencing resource tasks is not necessary for resources supplied using the same TBIPS Category under which the Bidder is claiming Billable Days?

Answer 10:

Confirmed. Also, please refer to the response to question 3 (b) above.

Question 11:

Mandatory Corporate Criterion M2 states that the bidder must demonstrate “experience supplying all of the resource categories, for the required Minimum Billable Days per category, over a total period of 24-months”. The 24-month span during which Bidders are required to demonstrate Billable Days for fifteen (15) difference resource categories is very limiting, especially considering the additional five year restraint and the fact that Billable days for Rated Corporate Criterion R1 must also fall within that span. Would the Crown please remove the 24-month period requirement from M2 and R1?

Answer 11:

Please refer to the response to question 6 above and the amendment below.

Question 12:

Mandatory Corporate Criterion M2 and Rated Corporate Criterion R1 require Bidders to demonstrate experience supplying resources under fifteen (15) different categories. It is common for IT resources performing similar tasks in different organizations to be doing so under different job titles, as such, in response to the requirements for Billed Days experience (M2 and R1), would the Crown please confirm that bidders may utilize hours billed under differing job

titles so long as 50% of the tasks performed match the SoW tasks of the Category being substantiated?

Answer 12:

For equivalent resource categories to be considered, the Bidder must provide evidence from the Bidder's customer that the services identified in the invoice include **at least 50% of the tasks listed under Article 4.0 of Annex A, Statement of Work** of this solicitation for the category of resource being assessed.

Question 13:

Mandatory Corporate Criterion M2 and Rated Corporate Criterion R1 require Bidders to demonstrate billed days using a maximum of five (5) contracts. This requirement is restrictive due to the high volume of resource categories and the billed days required to be demonstrated for each, which are substantial. To allow bidders to demonstrate experience providing the wide range of services required in response to M2 and R1, would the Crown please expand the amount of contracts Bidders may utilize to substantiate experience to seven (7) contracts?

Answer 13:

Agreed. Please see amendment below.

Question 14:

Rated Corporate Criterion R1, Evaluation Scenario states "Bidder Score = Sum of (D) for all 14 categories / 14". Would the Crown please confirm that this was a typo and the calculation should read as follows: "Bidder Score = Sum of (D) for all 15 categories / 15"

Answer 14:

That is correct. BIDDER SCORE = SUM OF (D) FOR ALL 15 CATEGORIES / 15

Question 15:

In regards to Mandatory Corporate Criterion M2 and Rated Corporate Criterion R1, would the Crown please confirm that Level 2 is the minimum level of experience required for resource categories being demonstrated? Meaning that Bidders can utilize experience providing Database Analyst/IM Administrator Level 3 resources to demonstrate Billable Days for the Database Analyst/IM Administrator Level 3 category.

Answer 15:

Confirmed.

Question 16:

With respect to Corporate Mandatory Technical Criteria M2, please confirm that if Bidders are demonstrating their billable days using TBIPS contracts with the same TBIPS categories and levels of personnel as the ones identified in the requirement, they do not have to provide a list of tasks under APPENDIX B TO ATTACHMENT 4.1: SECTION 3 with a cross reference to each specific SOW associated task.

Answer 16:

Confirmed.

AMENDMENT:

At Page 1

DELETE:

The solicitation closes at 02:00 pm on 2021-02-16

INSERT:

The solicitation closes at 02:00 pm on 2021-03-02

AT Part 4 Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection – 4.3 Financial Evaluation

DELETE:

- (c) **Financial Evaluation - Method A:** The following financial evaluation method will be used if three or more bids are determined responsive:
- (i) **STEP 1 - ESTABLISHING THE LOWER AND UPPER MEDIAN BAND LIMITS FOR EACH PERIOD AND EACH RESOURCE CATEGORY:** The Contracting Authority will establish, for each period and each Resource Category, the median band limits based on the firm per diem rates provided by the technically responsive bids. For each such Resource Category the median will be calculated using the median function in Microsoft Excel and will represent a range that encompasses any rate to a value of minus (-) 20% of the median, and an upper median rate to a value of plus (+) 30% of the median. When an even number of technically responsive bids have been determined, an average of the middle two rates will be used to calculate the median band limits and for an odd number of technically responsive bids, the middle rate will be used.

INSERT:

- (c) **Financial Evaluation - Method A:** The following financial evaluation method will be used if three or more bids are determined responsive:

- (ii) **STEP 1 - ESTABLISHING THE LOWER AND UPPER MEDIAN BAND LIMITS FOR EACH PERIOD AND EACH RESOURCE CATEGORY:** The Contracting Authority will establish, for each period and each Resource Category, the median band limits based on the firm per diem rates provided by the technically responsive bids. For each such Resource Category the median will be calculated using the median function in Microsoft Excel and will represent a range that encompasses any rate to a value of **minus (-) 10% of the median**, and an upper median rate to a value of plus (+) 30% of the median. When an even number of technically responsive bids have been determined, an average of the middle two rates will be used to calculate the median band limits and for an odd number of technically responsive bids, the middle rate will be used.

AT ATTACHMENT 4.1 Corporate Mandatory Technical Criteria

DELETE:

Criteria	Mandatory Criteria	Met Y/N	Demonstrated Experience (Bidders to insert data)
----------	--------------------	------------	---

Criteria	Mandatory Criteria	Met Y/N	Demonstrated Experience (Bidders to insert data)																																				
M2	<p>The Bidder must demonstrate contract experience in supplying ALL of the following resource categories, for the required Minimum Billable Days per category, over a total period of 24-month within the past five (5) years prior to the solicitation posting date. The services provided must have been provided under a maximum of five (5) contracts.</p> <p>Bidder should provide the completed Appendices A and B of Attachment 4.1</p> <table><tr><th>#</th><th>RESOURCE CATEGORY</th><th>MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS PER CATEGORY</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Applications Software Architect (Level 2)</td><td>440</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Programmer/Software Developer (Level 2)</td><td>880</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Programmer/Analyst (Level 2)</td><td>880</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Systems Analyst (Level 2)</td><td>880</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>Tester (Level 2)</td><td>440</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>Web Developer (Level 2)</td><td>880</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>Business Analyst (Level 2)</td><td>352</td></tr><tr><td>8</td><td>Technical Writer (Level 2)</td><td>440</td></tr><tr><td>9</td><td>Database Administrator (Level 2 or 3)</td><td>440</td></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>Database Analyst/IM Administrator (Level 2 or 3)</td><td>220</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>Technology Architect (Level 2)</td><td>440</td></tr></table>	#	RESOURCE CATEGORY	MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS PER CATEGORY	1	Applications Software Architect (Level 2)	440	2	Programmer/Software Developer (Level 2)	880	3	Programmer/Analyst (Level 2)	880	4	Systems Analyst (Level 2)	880	5	Tester (Level 2)	440	6	Web Developer (Level 2)	880	7	Business Analyst (Level 2)	352	8	Technical Writer (Level 2)	440	9	Database Administrator (Level 2 or 3)	440	10	Database Analyst/IM Administrator (Level 2 or 3)	220	11	Technology Architect (Level 2)	440		
#	RESOURCE CATEGORY	MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS PER CATEGORY																																					
1	Applications Software Architect (Level 2)	440																																					
2	Programmer/Software Developer (Level 2)	880																																					
3	Programmer/Analyst (Level 2)	880																																					
4	Systems Analyst (Level 2)	880																																					
5	Tester (Level 2)	440																																					
6	Web Developer (Level 2)	880																																					
7	Business Analyst (Level 2)	352																																					
8	Technical Writer (Level 2)	440																																					
9	Database Administrator (Level 2 or 3)	440																																					
10	Database Analyst/IM Administrator (Level 2 or 3)	220																																					
11	Technology Architect (Level 2)	440																																					

Criteria	Mandatory Criteria			Met Y/N	Demonstrated Experience (Bidders to insert data)
	12	Project Administrator (Level 2)	176		
	13	Project Manager (Level 2)	1,320		
	14	Project Scheduler (Level 2)	44		
	15	Quality Assurance Specialist/Analyst (Level 2)	132		

INSERT:

Criteria	Mandatory Criteria	Met Y/N	Demonstrated Experience (Bidders to insert data)															
M2	<p>The Bidder must demonstrate contract experience in supplying a minimum of 12 of the following resource categories (or equivalent resource categories under a different title), listed in the table below for the required Minimum Billable Days per category and level.</p> <p>To qualify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Bidder must use a maximum of 7 contracts to demonstrate all the required minimum billable days for a minimum of 12 Resource Categories identified in the table below. The Bidder must not submit more than 7 contracts. If more than 7 contracts are provided, only the first 7 contracts, in order of presentation, will be evaluated;Each of the 7 identified contracts, demonstrating billable days experience, must have been awarded within 5 years prior to the solicitation posting date;The Bidder must provide a letter signed by the client, or an email confirmation from the client, not by the Bidder, to certify that the tasks performed by the Resource Category (or Categories) and Level under each of the identified contract(s) include a minimum of 50% of the tasks identified in Article 4.0 of Annex A – Statement of Work; andThe Bidder must provide the completed Appendices A and B of Attachment 4.1 <table><tr><th>#</th><th>RESOURCE CATEGORY</th><th>MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS PER CATEGORY</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Applications Software Architect (Level 2)</td><td>440</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Programmer/Software Developer (Level 2)</td><td>880</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Programmer/Analyst (Level 2)</td><td>880</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Systems Analyst</td><td>880</td></tr></table>	#	RESOURCE CATEGORY	MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS PER CATEGORY	1	Applications Software Architect (Level 2)	440	2	Programmer/Software Developer (Level 2)	880	3	Programmer/Analyst (Level 2)	880	4	Systems Analyst	880		
#	RESOURCE CATEGORY	MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS PER CATEGORY																
1	Applications Software Architect (Level 2)	440																
2	Programmer/Software Developer (Level 2)	880																
3	Programmer/Analyst (Level 2)	880																
4	Systems Analyst	880																

Criteria	<i>Mandatory Criteria</i>			<i>Met Y/N</i>	Demonstrated Experience (Bidders to insert data)
		(Level 2)			
	5	Tester (Level 2)	440		
	6	Web Developer (Level 2)	880		
	7	Business Analyst (Level 2)	352		
	8	Technical Writer (Level 2)	440		
	9	Database Administrator (Level 2 or 3)	440		
	10	Database Analyst/IM Administrator (Level 2 or 3)	220		
	11	Technology Architect (Level 2)	440		
	12	Project Administrator (Level 2)	176		
	13	Project Manager (Level 2)	1,320		
	14	Project Scheduler (Level 2)	44		
	15	Quality Assurance Specialist/Analyst (Level 2)	132		

AT ATTACHMENT 4.2 Corporate Point-Rated Technical Criteria

DELETE:

Point Rated Criteria					Max Pts (Min)	Evaluation Guideline	Point Value	Cross Reference to Contract Reference(s)
R1	The Bidder should demonstrate its billable days experience in excess to the minimum Billable Days per category under M2.					100	The bidders demonstrated "Total Billable Days" provided in response to M2 will be used to evaluate this criterion. The bidder will be awarded points as demonstrated in the example evaluation scenario on the left side.	
	EXAMPLE EVALUATION SCENARIO							
	BILLABLE DAYS							
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)				
	BIDDER'S TOTAL	MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS (M2)	BILLABLE DAYS IN EXCESS OF M2	BIDDER % INCREASE (to 1 decimal place)				
	App A/Ann B		(C)=(A)-(B)	(D)=(C)/(B)*100				
	Applications Software Architect	528	440	88	20.0			
	Programmer/Software Developer	1,250	880	370	42.0			
	Programmer/Analyst	965	880	85	9.7			
	Systems Analyst	1,225	880	345	39.2			
	Tester	600	440	160	36.4			

Point Rated Criteria					Max Pts (Min)	Evaluation Guideline	Point Value	Cross Reference to Contract Reference(s)
Web Developer	2,000	880	1120	127.3				
Business Analyst	875	352	523	148.6				
Technical Writer	800	440	360	81.8				
Database Administrator	800	440	360	81.8				
Database Analyst/IM Administrator	600	220	380	172.7				
Technology Architect	600	440	160	36.4				
Project Administrator	300	176	124	70.5				
Project Manager	1800	1320	480	36.4				
Project Scheduler	66	44	22	50.0				
Quality Assurance Specialist/Analyst	200	132	68	51.5				
BIDDER SCORE = SUM OF (D) FOR ALL 14 CATEGORIES / 14				66.9				

INSERT:

Point Rated Criteria				Max Pts (Min)	Evaluation Guideline	Point Value	Cross Reference to Contract Reference(s)			
R1	<p>The Bidder should demonstrate its billable days experience in excess to the minimum Billable Days per category under M2.</p> <p>The Bidder should use the same 7 contracts (maximum) identified in M.2 to demonstrate its billable days experience in excess of the minimum billable days required in M.2.</p> <p>The Bidder will score 0 point in column (D) for the resource category with no minimum billable days demonstrated in M.2. Bidder Score is calculated as follows:</p> <p>BIDDER SCORE = SUM of (D) for all 15 categories / 15</p>				100	<p>The bidders demonstrated "Total Billable Days" provided in response to M2 will be used to evaluate this criterion.</p> <p>Any referenced contract that does not meet the requirements established in M.2 will not be considered and evaluated for R.1.</p> <p>R.1 will be evaluated using the information contained in the completed Bidder</p>				
					EXAMPLE EVALUATION SCENARIO					
					BILLABLE DAYS					
	<i>RESOURCE CATEGORY</i>				(A)			(B)	(C)	(D)
					BIDDER'S TOTAL			MINIMUM BILLABLE DAYS (M2)	BILLABLE DAYS IN EXCESS OF M2	BIDDER % INCREASE (to 1 decimal place)
					App A/Ann B				(C)=(A)-(B)	(D)=(C)/(B)*100

Point Rated Criteria						Max Pts (Min)	Evaluation Guideline	Point Value	Cross Reference to Contract Reference(s)
Applications Software Architect	528	440	88	20.0	Response Tables - Appendix A and B to Attachment 4.1. The bidder will be awarded points as demonstrated in the example evaluation scenario on the left side.				
	1,250	880	370	42.0					
	965	880	85	9.7					
	1,225	880	345	39.2					
	600	440	160	36.4					
	2,000	880	1120	127.3					
	875	352	523	148.6					
	800	440	360	81.8					
	800	440	360	81.8					
	600	220	380	172.7					
	600	440	160	36.4					
	300	176	124	70.5					
Project Manager	1800	1320	480	36.4					
Project Scheduler	66	44	22	50.0					

Point Rated Criteria					Max Pts (Min)	Evaluation Guideline	Point Value	Cross Reference to Contract Reference(s)
	Quality Assurance Specialist/Analyst	200	132	68	51.5			
	BIDDER SCORE = SUM OF (D) FOR ALL 15 CATEGORIES / 15				66.9			

DELETE:

APPENDIX B TO ATTACHMENT 4.1

RFP CONTRACT REFERENCE RESPONSE FORM	
The Bidder must demonstrate contract experience in supplying all of the resource categories, for the required Minimum Billable Days per category, over a total period of 24-month within the past five (5) years. The services provided must have been provided under a maximum of five (5) contracts.	
Bidder Name: _____ Bidder Contract Reference #: _____	
SECTION 1: CLIENT INFORMATION	
Government client (Yes/No)	
Client Organization Name	

Client Contact Name	
Address	
Telephone	
Fax	
E-mail	
SECTION 2: CONTRACT INFORMATION	
Contract Value	
Award Date	
Expiry Date	
Description of requirement:	
SECTION 3: RESOURCE DETAILS	
Category of Personnel and Level	Tasks performed under the contract with a cross reference to each specific SOW associated task

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
E60ZR-210495/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
606el

--	--

INSERT:

APPENDIX B TO ATTACHMENT 4.1

RFP CONTRACT REFERENCE RESPONSE FORM	
<p>The Bidder must demonstrate contract experience in supplying a minimum of 12 resource categories (or equivalent resource categories under a different title*), for the required Minimum Billable Days per category within the past five (5) years prior to solicitation posting date. The services provided must have been provided under a maximum of seven (7) contracts.</p> <p>*For equivalent resource categories to be considered, the Bidder must provide a letter signed by the Bidder's customer, not by the Bidder, to certify that the tasks performed by the Resource Category (or categories) and level under each of the identified contract(s) include <u>at least 50% of the tasks listed under Article 4.0 of Annex A, Statement of Work</u> of this solicitation for the category of resource being assessed.</p>	
Bidder Name: _____ Bidder Contract Reference #: _____	
SECTION 1: CLIENT INFORMATION	
Government client (Yes/No)	
Client Organization Name	
Client Contact Name	
Address	

Telephone			
Fax			
E-mail			
SECTION 2: CONTRACT INFORMATION			
Contract Value			
Award Date			
Expiry Date			
Description of requirement:			
SECTION 3: RESOURCE DETAILS			
The contract includes the provision of resources in the following resource categories:			
Resource Category required in M2 and R1	<table><tr><td>Resource Category (as it appears in the referenced contract)</td><td>Non-M2-Matching Categories ONLY Tasks performed under the Resource Category in the referenced contract, including substantiation (The substantiation must explain responsibilities and demonstrate how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks.)</td></tr></table>	Resource Category (as it appears in the referenced contract)	Non-M2-Matching Categories ONLY Tasks performed under the Resource Category in the referenced contract, including substantiation (The substantiation must explain responsibilities and demonstrate how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks.)
Resource Category (as it appears in the referenced contract)	Non-M2-Matching Categories ONLY Tasks performed under the Resource Category in the referenced contract, including substantiation (The substantiation must explain responsibilities and demonstrate how the Bidder carried out the work while performing the tasks.)		

Applications Software Architect (Level 2)		
Programmer/Software Developer (Level 2)		
Programmer/Analyst (Level 2)		
Systems Analyst (Level 2)		
Tester (Level 2)		
Web Developer (Level 2)		
Business Analyst (Level 2)		
Technical Writer (Level 2)		
Database Administrator (Level 2 or 3)		
Database Analyst/IM Administrator (Level 2 or 3)		
Technology Architect (Level 2)		
Project Administrator (Level 2)		
Project Manager (Level 2)		
Project Scheduler (Level 2)		
Quality Assurance Specialist/Analyst (Level 2)		

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN UNCHANGED.