



Matériel and Procurement Services / Services du matériel et des acquisitions
Procurement Hub – Ottawa / Centre d'approvisionnement – bureau de Ottawa
200 Kent St. Ottawa Ontario K1A 0E6

FP802-200010/A

February 8, 2021

**Subject/ Object: REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL / DEMANDE DE PROPOSITION
FP802-200010/A**

ADDENDUM #2

Further to the above- mentioned Request for Proposal, please find below the following questions and answers received to date. In addition, please note that the solicitation closing date has been extended as detailed below:

To Delete:

Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin

At /à : 2:00 PM EST(Eastern Standard Time)

On / le : February 11, 2021

To Replace:

Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin

At /à : 2:00 PM EST(Eastern Standard Time)

On / le : February 18, 2021

Questions and Answers / Questions et réponses:

Q1. Would the winning bidder(s) be conflicted to bid and win F7044-190233/B Nav Arch and Marine Engineering Services, for which an RFP is expected in the relatively near future?

A1. From CCG's perspective there is no conflict of interest between DFOs solicitation FP802-200010/A and the RFI with PSPC F7044-190233/B. They are both different and separate competitive processes.

Q2. I am emailing you in regards to the RFP for Senior Marine Engineer Support Services. If successful in our application, would we be precluded from future work supporting engineering work required as part of maintenance, modifications, refurbishments, retrofits, and/or VLEs for the vessels considered in the development of the Vessel Maintenance Management Framework?

A2. If future work is required from RFI F7044-190233/B., there should not be any conflict of interest in terms of CCG requirements.



Q3. RT4 and RT5 are asking for experience in the last 180 months, however full points are awarded for over 192 months of experience. As it's impossible to have 192 months within 180 months, would the client please remove the date cut-off for RT4 and RT5?

A3. Please see RFP Amendment #1.

Q4. Under Bid Preparation Instructions, on page 7 of the RFP, Section 3.1.1 Electronic Payment of Invoices – Bid, bidders are asked to complete Annex “D”, however this Annex has not been included in the RFP. Could the client please provide a copy of

R4. Please see attached Amendment #1

Q5. Recognizing that FP802-200010/A and F7044-190233/B are separate procurements would there be a conflict of interest in delivering both contracts by the same company? I ask because FP802-200010/A delivers maintenance policies and plans for CCG ITS while F7044-190233/B delivers the actual package of work plans/PM/engineering supervision for CCG ITS VLE refits etc.

R5. The CCG does not foresee a conflict of interest as both requirements are not interrelated.

Q6. Page 3 of 35, In part 1, 1.2 Statement of Work: "Canada seeks to enter into up to two (2) contracts as defined in Annex A - Statement of Work".

Why is Canada looking for 2 companies / contracts for this RFP, compared to last year's original RFP, FP802-2000010, which only required 'only one? We are wondering if Canada needs to certify 2 companies associated with COVID-19, or with an additional workload / request from the Coast Guard? Also, If 2 companies are chosen, what would be the logic of PWGSC to grant priority contracts to one or the other of the 2 companies, either by means of an additional bid, with a contract to the lowest bidder, or by alternation, as CCG contracts are drafted and sent to PWGSC?

R6. The CCG is giving the opportunity for Suppliers to propose up to two (2) resources. If the two (2) successful proposed resources are proposed by two (2) different suppliers then this bid solicitation results in awarding two (2) contracts.

Q7. Page 29 of 35, Annex A - Statement of Work, 2.0 Purpose, "The Integrated Technical Services (ITS) of the Canadian Coast Guard (CCG) of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) are looking for up to two (2) people to provide the consultant services ...", 4.0 Objective, 2nd paragraph, "To do this, the CCG requires the services of a maximum of two senior naval engineers." Why is Canada seeking 2 people (Senior Naval Engineers) for this Request for Proposal, compared to last year's original Request for Proposal, FP802-2000010, which was not only required one?

We are wondering if Canada needs to certify 2 people are associated with COVID-19, or an additional workload / request from the Coast Guard? Also, if “*the Supplier*” qualifies a person for this call for tenders, “*the Supplier*” expects this



person's service to be used up to what is displayed in the call for tenders, i.e. approximately 440 days / person for the first year (Table 1, page 8 of 35). By doing so, "*the Supplier*" also guarantees the availability of this resource. The same goes for proposing 2 people for this position. Is this really the intention of Fisheries and Oceans?

R7. The CCG is requesting two (2) resources in order to divide the work according to the increase of level of effort and increased workload throughout the period of the contract.

Q8. Page 8 of 35, Table 1, Period of professional services: initial contract period:, From contract award to March 31, 2022:

1.A Senior marine engineer column B: 440 days

1.B Senior marine engineer column B: 440 days

Can you explain the total of 440 days entered in the request, or provide us with a correction for the table, as well as on the price schedule on page 31/35

R8. Please see RFP Amendment #1. The number of days have been revised.

Q9 The evaluation procedures beginning on page 9 indicate that bidders are expected to provide references for all projects. Full points are awarded for over 20 years of experience. In our experience it may be difficult for candidates to provide accurate contact information for references from 20 years ago, as the references may have moved to new projects, or passed away. Therefore, would the client please consider accepting references for all projects within the last 10 years?

R9: Yes, Canada has agreed to accept contact authority references for all projects within the last 10 years from date of solicitation closing date. Please see RFP Amendment #1. MT2,MT3, MT4 has been revised