



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise  
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation  
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,  
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Shared Systems Division (XL)/Division des systèmes  
partagés (XL)

Terrasses de la Chaudière

4th Floor, 10 Wellington Street

4th etage, 10, rue Wellington

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> NICEMS National Integrated Compliance and Enforcement Management Solution (NICEMS)	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> HT372-192532/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 006
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> HT372-192532	<b>Date</b> 2021-02-24
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$XL-135-38567	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 135xl.HT372-192532	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Eastern Standard Time EST <b>on - le 2021-03-31</b> Heure Normale du l'Est HNE	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Higgins, Conner	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 135xl
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (873) 354-7158 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-2675
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

### HC NICEMS Industry Questions

- In an earlier addendum, Health Canada had directed proponents to cloud vendors and platforms available through cloud-broker.canada.ca. For this RFP will Health Canada directly contract any required SaaS or Cloud licenses with the pre-approved vendors on cloud-broker.canada.ca?

Solutions that are hosted on any pre-approved SSC protected B cloud provider (see link: cloud-broker.canada.ca) are requested. The hosted solution will not be managed by Canada, or within Canada's protected B cloud environment. The solution will be managed by the solution provider and must meet the safe guards of Canada's protected B security designation which will be provided in section 7.34 (Data Security) and Annex C (Security Requirement Check List) of the RFP.

As per Annex A (Statement of Work), section 3.2, the solution must provide perpetual user licences to Canada.

- On page 11 of 22, the RFP (Amendment 002 dated 2020-11-12) states "General Specifications (Max 115 Points)." However, on pages 11-12, the RFP cites the individual scores of General Specifications (PRC-4 to PRC-12), which total a maximum of 125 points.  
Would the Crown please review and clarify the scoring for this section?

The correct points total for PRC-4 to PRC-12 is 125 points and not 115 points.

- Both Amendments 004 (dated 2020-12-04) and 005 (dated 2021-01-12) are entitled "Appendix A to Annex A - Capability and Usability Assessment."  
Would the Crown please confirm that Amendment 005 replaces 004?

Amendment 005 provides updates to the CUA document posted in Amendment 004.

- On page 3, the RFP (Amendment 005 dated 2021-01-12) states that for Part 1 – Compliance and Enforcement (C&E) Activity, the maximum score is 775 points. However, when the RFP cites the individual scores of Scenarios 3-13 (pages 12-51), the total maximum score is 940 points.

The correct points total for "Compliance and Enforcement (C&E) Activity" (page 2) is 930 points and not 775 points.

- On page 38, the RFP (Amendment 005 dated 2021-01-12) states that for Scenario 9A - C&E Activity - Industry Report Section 11 - Create a New Activity for a Submitted Industry Report, the maximum score is 155 points. However, the RFP cites individual scores for Indicators 1-14 (pages 32-38) that total 150 points. Similarly, on 43, the RFP states that for Scenario 9B - C&E Activity - Industry Report Section 13 - Create a New Activity for a Submitted Industry Report, the maximum score is 160 points. However, the RFP cites individual scores for Indicators 1-15 (pages 38-43), that total 155 points.  
Would the Crown please review and clarify the scoring for these sections?

The correct points total for Scenario 9A is 150 points and not 155 points

The correct points total for Scenario 9B is 155 points and not 160 points