



RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SUBMISSION À :
Parks Canada Agency Bid Receiving Unit
National Contracting Services

Bid Fax: (866) 246-6893
Bid E-mail address:
soumissionsouest-bidswest@canada.ca

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to the bid solicitation. Bids submitted by email directly to the Contracting Authority or to any other email address will not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is 15 megabytes. The Parks Canada Agency (PCA) is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to bid documents will not be accepted.

REVISION 002 TO A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions remain the same.

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution :
Parks Canada Agency
National Contracting Services
Calgary, AB

Title - Sujet :
Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation : 5P420-20-0293/A	Date : February 24, 2021
---	------------------------------------

Amendment No. - N° de modification :
002

Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client :
n/a

GETS Reference No. | N° de reference de SEAG :
PW-21-00943101

Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin :

At - à : 14 :00
On - le : March 4, 2021

Time Zone - Fuseau horaire

MST

F.O.B. - F.A.B. :

Plant - Usine : **Destination :** **Other - Autre :**

Address Enquiries to - Adresser toutes demande de renseignements à:

Ryan Taylor ryan.taylor@canada.ca

Telephone No. -N° de telephone : (587) 436-5987	Fax No. -N° de télécopieur : 1-866-246-6893
---	---

Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction - Destination des biens, services, et construction :
Tulita, NT

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER - À REMPLIR PAR LE SOUMISSIONNAIRE

Vendor/ Firm Name - Nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur :

Address - Adresse :

Telephone No. - N° de telephone :

Fax No. - N° de télécopieur :

**Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm
Nom de la personne autorisée a signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur**

Signature :

Date :

Client Reference No.:

n/a.

Title:

Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

Amendment 002

This amendment is raised to:

- A) Provide answers to questions received;
- B) Amend Section 6.5.1 Period of the Contract;
- C) Amend Part 6 “Resulting Contract Clauses”;
- D) Amend Annex A – Statement of Work; and
- E) Amend Annex B – Basis of Payment.

The **Basis of Payment at Annex B** of solicitation 5P420-20-0293/A, titled “*Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency*” is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the Basis of Payment included herein.

Bidders **MUST** submit their bids in accordance with the amended version of **Annex B – Basis of Payment** contained herein. Bids that are not submitted in accordance with this amendment will be deemed non-responsive to the solicitation requirements.

If your bid has already been submitted the required Bid revisions must be submitted prior to the solicitation deadline, by fax to 1-866-246-6893 or email soumissionsouest-bidswest@canada.ca. Please indicate the solicitation number on all correspondence.

A) Bidder Questions and Answers

Q1: Current schedule and timeline for delivery cannot be met due to multiple logistical factors related to the required services. More time will be required to complete the fieldwork, geotechnical, analytical results and reporting. Can PCA adjust the schedule to properly accommodate the completion of services?

A1: Parks Canada is issuing the following *Official Schedule amendment*:

- 1) Closing date of Mackenzie Valley Winter Road is expected to be earlier than the 20-year average closing date of March 28. As a result it will not be possible to meet a schedule conducive to the use of ice roads for project execution as initially planned.
- 2) With timelines required between sampling, analytical time in the lab, and PQRA analysis based on results, as well as geotechnical and groundwater analysis, the revised schedule is:
 - a) Geotechnical Land use permit application submitted: no later than 2 weeks after award (early March target);
 - b) Type 1 Task Field work complete (all but ground water if warranted) July 16;
 - c) Draft Geotechnical report: July 31;
 - d) Geotechnical report PCA review period (2 weeks): August 13;
 - e) Final Geotechnical 1 week after PCA comments August 20th latest;
 - f) Draft ESA including all Ground water monitoring, HBMA, PQRA report submission: September 15;
 - g) PCA review period (2 weeks): Sept 29;
 - h) Final reports submission: 1 week after PCA comments latest October 6; and
 - i) Groundwater Decommissioning Services field visits (Optional Services) – Task 2b to required by October 30 2021.

Q2: Is the driver to have work complete before fiscal year end?

A2: No, fiscal year-end is not the driver. Timeline had been set in order to move on with next phases in the design of the following project work. Refer to updated, revised schedule, above.

Client Reference No.:

n/a.

Title:

Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

Q3: Concerns regarding groundwater and hydrology; to access aquifer, and to calculate migration rates of contaminants, etc. Everything will be frozen during the current time frame and likely no groundwater will be visible, and no active layer before Spring and Summer-time. Could we propose Task 1 work initially, and then propose Task 2 work later? E.g. Drilling rigs install the wells in winter. Then visit the site at a later date; to complete groundwater work?

A3: PCA would like that the initial phase of field activities be comprehensive and complete; to a point that they would not stop consultant / contractor from obtaining preliminary reports to us early. Soil testing should be done right away. Parks Canada requires a preliminary analysis to help go forward with design work. PCA wants to understand the risks going forward and be able to make decisions based on that.

Installing ground water wells during the winter is logical. Contractor should provide optional pricing (Optional Services One) to return to monitor the ground water well(s) later in the summer and provide final analysis. The contractor should also provide optional pricing (Optional Services Two) to remove the ground water wells after the program is complete.

Q4: the 90% vs 10% evaluation. As it relates to the cost estimate – how will travel disbursements costs and COVID-related disbursements costs get incorporated into the total cost submitted, or be factored into the cost evaluation?

A4: Cost Evaluation is an overall firm price evaluation for all required and optional services. Bidders need to submit all related costs, including COVID-costs, into the firm price submission.

Q5: COVID quarantine scenario: if resources are required from out-of-area, what are the current restrictions? What is PCA's plan for how to manage that?

A5: Contractor / consultant would have to assume COVID- related costs in their bid submission – both if from within the territory, and if coming from out of territory. If workers are coming from outside the territory, there are requirements to quarantine – follow Government of the Northwest Territories protocols.

In terms of compensation for quarantine time and resources, this must be built into bid submission. PCA does not have an established protocol for evaluating the bids in terms of off-setting that cost in the evaluation.

Q6: Are the services for the project considered essential services for the Territory?

A6: Although work on government projects in some instances has been deemed essential workers, there exist extra steps to obtain local approval – cannot be guaranteed in the timeframe of the work.

Best planning for travel from outside the Northwest Territories: two (2) weeks, or fourteen (14) days, of quarantine does apply if arriving from outside the Northwest Territories (NWT) as per the Government of the Northwest Territories covid-19 requirements. Please follow all updated and current protocols established by Health Canada, GNWT and Parks Canada. Local protocol applied in Tulita, NWT, reflects practices established by the GNWT plus local practice.

Q7: Are there any details or information regarding the additional building off-site? In regards to construction or environmental issues associated with that building that would necessitate a Phase II ESA in future?

A7: Background on building at 28 Mackenzie Drive, Tulita: PCA purchased the building a couple of years ago and performed an environmental assessment before purchase. Yes, PCA can provide more details on construction and a picture. See attached phase 1 assessment report that includes property details and the results of the cursory search for hazardous materials, which found none.

Client Reference No.:

n/a.

Title:

Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

Q8: Is the intent of PCA is to award this contract to one contractor? For example, in the scenario that one (1) component of the work may be done better by one contractor vs another?

A8: Yes, the one (1) contract which is comprised of 4 components will be awarded to one (1) contractor.

Q9: Can you confirm the type of Liability Estimate required in the report submission, i.e. can you confirm Class C or Class D Liability Estimate required – i.e. once the field component of work has been undertaken?

A9: Class C liability estimate is required. PSPC defines **Class 'C' Estimate:** to be in elemental cost analysis format latest edition issued by the Canadian Institute of Quantity Surveyors and based on a comprehensive list of requirements and assumptions, including a full description of the preferred schematic design option, construction/design experience, and market conditions.

Q10: For the buildings on site that have Heritage concerns & an assessment currently being undertaken (separate contract). Does PCA anticipate if buildings are to be occupied – and has PCA considered additional assessments aside from HBMA (heritage building materials assessment), along the lines such as “Vapour Intrusion Assessments”?

A10: No, not considered at this time.

Q11: PQRAs don't usually have remedial options analysis in them; however, PCA is asking for that here. Could Risk management measures be a separate deliverable? What is the approach? Though it will be preliminary based on results of phase II – the PQRA will make recommendations. eg. could be high level if contamination not delineated during the first event. Could the liability costs presently included in the PQRA be included in a different report that would also present the R/RM options?

A11: Liability costs can be included in a separate deliverable, if warranted based on findings, that would also present Remedial / Risk Management options.

Q12: In the terms of reference, it states that Historical reports will not be provided prior to award. Can you comment on types and numbers of: APECs (area of potential environmental concern) and contaminants of concern (i.e. number of PAHs, PHCs, Metals analytical tests)? Want to ensure you are comparing bidders evenly.

A12: The phase 1 assessment along with the results of the PCA's own internal sampling are included in the reference supporting documents on the Tender Notice on the Buy and Sell site.

Q13: Clarity on Scope:

Information contained in the Appendix – is it sufficient for characterizing what PCA wants for Phase II? The Phase I was done, identified multiple ASTs (above-ground storage tanks) on the property. During the additional investigation, only one (1) was AST identified to have contamination associated with it. So is it safe to assume that investigation is only needed at that one (1) AST, and that all other ASTs are sufficiently characterized?

A13: PCA did perform some in-house sampling to assess those ASTs that we felt posed the highest likelihood of contamination. The results of this sampling is included in the reference supporting documents. Underneath the old fuel tank we found a small amount contamination by hand sampling at the surface only. We could not go below the surface, as the Sampling techniques were the best we could do with resources we had in the community. All of our sampling points are marked and flagged in the field for followup testing if deemed necessary.

For further context on why we completed this internally, PCA Purchased this property at beginning of COVID-19 pandemic when everything was shut down. Professional consulting resources from inside or outside the Territory could not visit the property at the time. PCA completed enough assessment

Client Reference No.:

n/a.

Title:

Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

internally to feed into a risk assessment to understand if we should go forward with purchase of property.

PCA is now looking for a professional opinion to make sure we are not missing other aspects of concern.

Primary area of investigation for this contract is area around former AST for bidding purposes, but once the contract is awarded, we will work with the contractor to determine from their professional opinions whether there are any other possible contamination issues that we may be overlooking, i.e. dig in deeper or wider area, and make sure we haven't missed anything.

Q14: Land use permit for geotechnical activities – will one be required for the field components? This can create Schedule delay implications in order to obtain a land use permit. We will look into this on our end, and perhaps submit a follow up question in writing as well, if we can't determine the answer ourselves.

A14: It is the bidder's responsibility to determine if the permit is required and plan for and include all related costs associated in their pricing.

Q15: Based on the tentative schedule in the RFP it is unrealistic to be able to get the geotechnical work done by the end of fiscal. The last page of the TOR refers to permits for the work. A Class B permit will be required to carry out the drilling for the geotechnical investigation. This permit will take approximately 1 week to put together following the contract being awarded and takes a minimum of 42 days to get, which will not be received before the tentative date Parks Canada has suggested.

A15: Refer to revised schedule under A1.

Q16: Geotechnical question: looking for details on proposed structure(s) going to be built, including foundation type and proximity of the structure to the river. Will you do simple pads or a jacked foundation, or will deeper investigation on our end be required?

A16: Property slopes down from the road to the river. High water flood line of Mackenzie River does extend to two-thirds (2/3) the way up the property.

PCA will be building foundations as close to the road, as possible. On the East side of property will be the office & visitor-centre & warehouse building (all attached), new staff housing will be in the middle, i.e. between office and the existing staff housing on the West side of property. Goal is for all buildings to be close to the road.

Foundation design – will be based on the results of the geotechnical investigation. Anticipate likely either space frame or piles. That would be applicable to office & visitor centre, and staff housing.

The Warehouse garage space in plans as well – attached to the Office & Visitor Centre – for this section of the building, we are looking at options, and want to understand as to whether the ground conditions are suitable for placing a concrete floor in this addition to the building – though a smaller part of construction plans.

Q17: Damaged surfaces through the course of the geotechnical investigation and other field activities; will there need to be repairs to grass?

A17: No, this is unlikely to be required.

Q18: Because it is a Heritage site, the RFP indicates repair the site to original condition. Given program entails installing groundwater wells will the contractor be responsible for removing the wells after the program is done?

Client Reference No.:

n/a.

Title:

Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

A18: Contrary to the response given during the bidders' conference meeting the contractor, should provide an optional price (Optional Services) for the removal of the groundwater wells after the program is complete.

Installation of the groundwater well is in the contract and installation/permit/registration is as per GNWT regulations.

Service to include monitoring, collecting and analysis services to the groundwater well in the summer as required.

Optional Service is for decommissioning the well including removal/permit/registration process, by providing approved materials and remove all debris off site to approved garbage collecting facility.

Q19: For work under the contract is PCA requesting that a firm price be submitted?

A19: Yes. A lump sum price for all tasks within the scope that cannot be exceeded.

Q20: What if there are scope changes, or if subsequent phases of work or additional work are required? Would this be sole sourced, based on this work?

A20: If there are scope changes or additional unforeseen work is required to achieve the original project goals PCA may consider an amendment to the Contract if necessary. There are strict rules governing sole sourcing Contracts, it is unlikely that future work related to this project would be sole sourced.

Q21: If something beyond our control does occur that carries a cost impact are we permitted to submit a change order?

A21: We would follow the existing process for amendments to contracts if needed. There is a standard process if something is unforeseen, follow the process including a review of why an amendment is needed, protocols on limits to cost changes, and justification that the change could not have been accounted for in advance.

A22: Can you confirm that only a HBMA is required at 28 Mackenzie Drive?

A22: Yes, only HBMA Service is required for 28 Mackenzie Drive.

Q23: At which point within the project are you expecting the data gap analysis to occur?

A23: Typically, data gap analysis occurs as part of the Phase II in support of the PQRA.

Q24: Could the scope of the Phase II ESA be further defined by PCA? Why were there no recommendations in the Phase I report for some of the APECs it identified?

A24: Phase I ESA findings were limited due to snow coverage at the site. For this reason, PCA opted to complete some preliminary sampling at a couple of APECs (vicinity of ASTs, building materials and surroundings).

Q25: Does the PQRA need to be signed by an engineer given the discipline is not considered engineering work?

A25: No, risk assessor would be sufficient.

Client Reference No.:
n/a.

Title:
Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary
Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and
Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

Q26: Does PCA plan to submit the problem formulation to HC and ECCC for review? If so, do they accept an unknown turnaround time in the schedule?

A26: No.

Q27: To obtain representative environmental groundwater samples (requirements of PQRA and Phase II ESA) this would need to be done in summer 2021. Can PCA consider this in the amendment of the overall project schedule?

A27: As discussed elsewhere optional service fee has been added.

Q28: A 2nd field visit at least 14 days following the first site visit/drilling campaign will be necessary to collect more data and samples. Can PCA also consider this 2nd site visit when extending the new project completion date?

A28: Not unless this can be reasonably justified, all field work should be completed in such a way to minimize unnecessary travel.

Q29: In Section 2.1, for the HBMA report, it states: "Tabular format that contains quantities, locations, condition, substrate, and any other pertinent information, including figures and/or drawings, would suffice." But in Section 4.2.3., it says the report lays out details for a more comprehensive report. Please clarify the format for the report.

A29: Section 4.2.3 will govern in this case, as this section is specifically related to HBMA. Section 2.1 is generally applicable to the contract.

Q30: In Section 4.2.2 (ii), it indicates that PCA will have to approve a Work Plan associated with addressing data gaps identified in the Data Review for the PQRA. This Work Plan letter that is requested does not mention any requirement for costing of the additional work. Will this approval include additional funding above what has been quoted as the lump sum for this project?

A30: PCA acknowledges there is some uncertainty here, but there is not much considering the work previously completed to confirm and delineate the suspected contamination. The bidder is expected to estimate and include the amount of risk assessment and cost estimate work they will need to do for this portion of the work.

B. Amend Section 6.5 "Term of Contract"

Delete 6.5.1 in its entirety and replace with the following:

INSERT

6.5.1. Period of the Contract

The period of the Contract is from date of Contract to October 6, 2021 inclusive.

C. Insert Section 6.16 Optional Goods and/or Services

Under Part 6 - Resulting Contracting Clauses insert:

6.16 Optional Goods and/or Services

The Contractor grants to Canada the irrevocable option to acquire the goods, services or both described at **Annex A Statement of Work Section 2.2 Optional Work Objectives** of the Contract under the same conditions and at the prices and/or rates stated in the Contract. The option may only be exercised by the

Client Reference No.:
n/a.

Title:
Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary
Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and
Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

Contracting Authority and will be evidenced, for administrative purposes only, through a contract amendment.

The Contracting Authority may exercise the option at any time before the expiry of the Contract by sending a written notice to the Contractor.

D. Amend Annex A – Statement of Work

D.1 Under Annex A Statement of Work Section 2 “Objectives” insert the following Optional Service(s):

2.2 Optional Work Objectives

Groundwater Decommissioning Services field visits (Optional Services) – Task 2b to commissioning – required by October 30, 2021.

Decommission the Groundwater Well(s) according to ASTM D5299 Standard Guide for Decommissioning of Groundwater Wells

D.2 Under Annex A Statement of Work delete Section 4.1. “Schedule” in its entirety and replace with the following:

4.1 Schedule

Geotechnical Land use permit application submitted	No later than 2 weeks after award
Type 1 Task Field work complete (all but ground water if warranted)	On or before July 16, 2021
Draft Geotechnical report	On or before July 31, 2021
Final Geotechnical report	On or before August, 20, 2021
Draft ESA including all Ground water monitoring, HBMA, PQRA report submission	On or before September 15, 2021
Final ESA including all Ground water monitoring, HBMA, PQRA report submission	On or before October 6, 2021
Optional Service – Groundwater Decommissioning	On or Before October 30, 2021

E. Amend Annex B – Basis of Payment

Delete Annex B – Basis of Payment in its entirety and replace it with the attached:

(See attachment titled: Revision 1 – Annex B Basis of Payment)

Note: Annex "B", Basis of Payment included in this solicitation amendment cancels and supersedes the previous Annex "B", Basis of Payment identified in the Request for Proposal.

Bidders must submit bids in accordance with this amended Annex "B", Basis of Payment. Bids not submitted in accordance with this amended Annex "B", Basis of Payment will be deemed non-responsive to the requirements of the Request for Proposal.

ALL OTHER TERMS & CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED

Solicitation No.:
5P420-20-0293/A

Amendment No.:
002

Contracting Authority:
Ryan Taylor

Ver.11.20.20

Client Reference No.:
n/a.

Title:
Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary
Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and
Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

REVISION 1 ANNEX B

BASIS OF PAYMENT

*** To Be Completed by the Bidder ***

Financial Bid Submission Requirements

- (a) Prices must appear in the financial bid only. No prices must be indicated in any other section of the bid.
- (b) The Bidder must submit their financial bid in accordance with the Basis of Payment.
- (c) All prices are in Canadian dollars, FOB destination
- (d) Customs duties are included and Applicable Taxes are extra.
- (e) The Bidder must be prepared to provide a cost breakdown and timetable outlining all relevant tasks and associated fees for the completion of the work as per the Bid prices indicated below.

1. Firm Lot Price(s) - Contract

1.1 Completion of Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

In consideration of the Contractor completing all of its obligations under the Contract, the Contractor will be paid a firm price in Canadian funds for all costs, including but not limited to all professional, technical, and administrative fees and costs as required to fulfill the requirements of *Annex A – Statement of Work* as defined.

TOTAL FIRM PRICE Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (excluding applicable tax)	\$
---	----

1.2 Completion of Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment

In consideration of the Contractor completing all of its obligations under the Contract, the Contractor will be paid a firm price in Canadian funds for all costs, including but not limited to all professional, technical, and administrative fees and costs as required to fulfill the requirements of *Annex A – Statement of Work* as defined.

TOTAL FIRM PRICE Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment (excluding applicable tax)	\$
---	----

1.3 Hazardous Building Materials Assessment

In consideration of the Contractor completing all of its obligations under the Contract, the Contractor will be paid a firm price in Canadian funds for all costs, including but not limited to all professional, technical, and administrative fees and costs as required to fulfill the requirements of *Annex A – Statement of Work* as defined.

TOTAL FIRM PRICE Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (excluding applicable tax)	\$
--	----

Solicitation No.:
5P420-20-0293/A

Amendment No.:
002

Contracting Authority:
Ryan Taylor

Ver.11.20.20

Client Reference No.:
n/a.

Title:
Tulita Lot Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Preliminary
Quantitative Risk Assessment (PQRA) Hazardous Building Materials Assessment (HBMA), and
Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (GIA) – Parks Canada Agency

1.4 Geotechnical Investigation Assessment

In consideration of the Contractor completing all of its obligations under the Contract, the Contractor will be paid a firm price in Canadian funds for all costs, including but not limited to all professional, technical, and administrative fees and costs as required to fulfill the requirements of *Annex A – Statement of Work* as defined.

TOTAL FIRM PRICE Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (excluding applicable tax)	\$
--	-----------

2. Firm Lot Price(s) – Optional Services

2.1 Groundwater Decommissioning Services field visits (Optional Services)

In consideration of the Contractor completing all of its obligations under the Contract, the Contractor will be paid a firm price in Canadian funds for all costs, including but not limited to all professional, technical, and administrative fees and costs as required to fulfill the requirements of *Annex A – Statement of Work* as defined.

TOTAL FIRM PRICE Geotechnical Investigation Assessment (excluding applicable tax)	\$
--	-----------

3. Total Combined Evaluated Bid Price

The total evaluated bid price is the sum of Tables **above**.

TOTAL COMBINED <u>EVALUATED</u> BID PRICE (1.1) + (1.2) + (1.3) + (1.4) + (2.1) = (excluding applicable tax)	\$
---	-----------

Notes:

- (a) Unidentified costs will not be allowable under the Contract unless there is a change to the work requirements and addressed by a contract amendment issued by the Contracting Authority;
- (b) Additional payment terms and conditions will not apply to the contract; and
- (c) Customs duties are included and Applicable Taxes are extra.