

AMENDMENT 002

The purpose of this amendment is to:

- 1) Respond to potential bidders' questions.

1) QUESTION 19:

Where would I find the process, or guidance, on how US companies can become involved in this CFP?

RESPONSE 19:

Please refer to Question and Response 17. This CFP also has a requirement for a Canadian LGD (as per Part 3 of the CFP).

QUESTION 20:

I am wondering what the timelines are for decision beyond the April 20th timeline? We would like to present a project, the cost of which is very small, but where our timelines are somewhat enthusiastic. I want to make sure our timelines are realistic and, if necessary, make adjustments.

RESPONSE 20:

Please refer to Question and Response 5. A proposal that has been selected for funding will undergo a contract negotiation stage with PSPC and there will be an opportunity to adjust the timelines to meet DRDC requirements.

QUESTION 21:

Are Canadian Universities qualified as LGD? Also, I would like to confirm that a city, like the City of Montreal, is eligible as well.

RESPONSE 21:

Organizations must meet the criteria listed in part 3.1.1 of the CFP in order to be eligible as a Lead Government Department. As such, Universities would not qualify as a Lead Government Department. However, municipal government organizations are eligible, as long as they meet the criteria in 3.1.1.

QUESTION 22:

Could you let me know if this [program] is suitable for technologies that are still in development or if it is exclusively for more mature tech that is market ready?

RESPONSE 22:

Please refer to Annex A - Project Types and Parameters for the acceptable project types, and Table A for their associated Solution Readiness Levels.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.