



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

By fax or by E-post connect

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

National Master Standing Offer (NMSO)

Offre à commandes principale et nationale (OCPN)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Clothing and Textiles Division / Division des
vêtements et des textiles
L'Esplanade Laurier,
East Tower 7th Floor
Tour est 7e étage
140 O'Connor, rue O'Connor,
Ottawa
Ontario
K1 A 0R5

Title - Sujet Scenario Based Training Helmet	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation E60PR-19SBTH/B	Date 2021-04-12
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client E60PR-19SBTH	Amendment No. - N° modif. 011
File No. - N° de dossier pr751.E60PR-19SBTH	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$\$PR-751-79420	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2020-12-08	
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2021-04-20 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Doré, Catherine	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pr751
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 462-1016 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

AMENDMENT 011

The purpose of this amendment is to answer questions from a supplier.

Question 25

Can the government confirm that quantity one (1) Pre-Award sample (4.1.2.1.2) is required with the Offeror's bid in addition to quantity two (2) End user Trial evaluation samples (4.1.2.1.3)? Amendment 002, Answer 10 appears that this is the case. The solicitation then states the offeror must supply a production certificate as per 5.2.3.1, why must the offeror also supply a production sample as per 7.17 Pre Production Requirements? Would the government consider removing the pre-production requirement seeing that they will already be in possession of three SBT helmets and the production certificate?

Answer 25

Both the items listed under Section 4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Section 4.1.2.1.3 End User Trial Evaluation are required with the bid submission. In accordance with Section 7.17 of the Request for Standing Offer, a waiver may be granted for Pre-Production samples at the discretion of the Technical Authority.

Question 26

7.19 Production Warranty - Why is there a minimum 1-year warranty period for the SBT Helmet and Replacement lenses? These items will be used for scenario based training for marking rounds and CEW Training Cartridges. Would the government consider amending the minimum warranty to 6 months for the SBT Helmet and 3 months for the replacement lenses which is more in keeping with industry standards for these types of helmets.

Answer 26

A minimum one year warranty is required. As per para. 6.1.3 of the Purchase Description, normal use is defined as 38 weeks per year.

Question 27

We noticed that recent modifications to PD-PE 115 dated 2020/01/29 Purchase description SBT Helmet that 6.2.3.1 a) removed facial expression requirement. Our helmet is a full facial system which allows for facial expressions, would the government consider adding this requirement back into the PD-PE-115?

Answer 27

Helmets which allow for full facial expressions are acceptable provided all other technical requirements are met.

Question 28

6.1.2 Durability states the SBT Helmet "MUST" withstand the rigors of police scenario based training, but doesn't go into detail on how this mandatory will be evaluated. Our helmet is Close Combat Mission Capability Kit (CCMCK) US Military approved would this suffice?

Answer 28

As per Annex C Evaluation Grid, para. 6.1.2 will be evaluated during the User Trial consisting of a Scenario Based Training exercise.

Question 29

6.1.3 Warranty states the SBT Helmet “MUST” have a minimum 1-year warranty against material defects and faulty workmanship under normal use. Our SBT Helmet comes with a standard 6 month warranty, would the government amend this mandatory to minimum 6 months?

Answer 29

A minimum one year warranty is required.

Question 30

6.1.3 Warranty states the warranty “MUST” cover the identified risks and projectile impacts. This would include the CEW training cartridges. Ours is not warranted for CEW training cartridges. Would the government consider amending this mandatory requirement to “should”?

Answer 30

The warranty must cover the identified risks and projectile impacts as per 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 of the Purchase Description. Protection against CEW training cartridges is an operational requirement.

Question 31

6.1.3 Warranty states the lens “MUST” remain anti-fog for the length of the warranty. Our lenses meet this requirement, but our warranty is for 3 months for replacement lenses. Would the government consider amending the warranty for replacement lenses to a minimum of 3 months?

Answer 31

A minimum one year warranty is required for replacement lenses. The warranty for replacement lenses addresses defects in materials, workmanship, and if applicable, built in anti-fogging properties as per section 7.19 B) of the Request for Standing Offer.

Question 32

6.1.5.1 Instructions states at a minimum, the manufacturer “MUST” provide a printed copy of the following information with each SBT Helmet, replacement lens, or other replacement part. Only our SBT Helmets come with these instructions. Would the government change the requirement for replacement lens and other replacement parts to “SHOULD” for these instructions?

Answer 32

Instructions, as per para. 6.1.5.1, must be included with each SBT helmet, replacement lens, or other replacement part.

Question 33

6.1.5.1 Instructions states at a minimum, the manufacturer “MUST” provide a printed copy of the following information with each SBT Helmet, replacement lens, or other replacement part. Our instructions don’t include;

h) The type of packaging suitable for transport.

i) A warning that materials in contact with the user's skin could cause allergic reactions in susceptible individuals

k) A warning that helmets worn over spectacles can transmit impacts

Could the government change these mandatory requirements to “SHOULD” or “IF APPLICABLE”?

Answer 33

Points h, i, and k are required in the instructions. An additional print out may be included with the instructions to fulfill this requirement.

Question 34

6.1.5.2 Marking states at a minimum, the manufacturer “MUST” provide the following information on each SBT Helmet as a permanent label or marking:

a) Size if multiple sizes are provided

b) Manufacturer information

c) Model number of the SBT Helmet

d) Lot number and/or date of manufacture

Our SBT helmet doesn’t have the model number or lot number and/or date of manufacture on it. Could the government change these mandatory requirements to “SHOULD”?

Answer 34

The model number and lot number and/or date of manufacture are required. An additional permanent label or marking may be applied to fulfil this requirement.

Question 35

6.2.3.1 Vision states When worn, the SBT Helmet “MUST” not interfere with the user’s range of vision, including peripheral vision. Can the government define peripheral vision? Our understanding is that there is three horizontal peripheral vision (Near, Mid and Far) and two vertical peripheral visions (upper and lower). Our SBT helmet permits 180-degree horizontal visibility and 130 degrees vertical. The maximum the human eyes can achieve is 200-220 Horizontal and 130-135 Vertical. Would the government consider more technical points to those helmet that exceed the minimum standards listed in Table II?

Answer 35

No additional points will be awarded for helmets that exceed the minimum requirements outlined in Table II. Points for peripheral vision are awarded as part of the User Trial in accordance with Annex C, Appendix 2.

Question 36

6.3.7 Storage Bag States Each SBT Helmet “MUST” come with a drawstring bag to carry and store the helmet. The storage bag “MUST” cover the entirety of the SBT Helmet when closed. The bag “MUST” be

made of a woven, lightweight (60 – 200 g/m²), breathable synthetic fabric. The bag “MUST” be machine washable and materials must not scratch, abrade or otherwise damage the SBT Helmet. Our SBT Helmet comes with a plastic storage bag. Could the government change the “breathable synthetic fabric” and “machine washable” mandatory requirements to “SHOULD”?

Answer 36

Breathable synthetic fabric and machine washable are required features for the storage bag. The storage bag must meet the requirements outlined in para. 6.3.7 of the Purchase Description.

Question 37

Reference 4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation, D) Letters of Attestation. Would one letter of attestation suffice for all items or does the government require a separate letter for each requirement listed?

Answer 37

One letter is sufficient provided all items requiring a Letter of Attestation are clearly referenced.

Question 38

Reference Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet. This evaluation grid stage 1: Technical Evaluation is responsive or no responsive only. Typical weighted solicitation award points for each technical requirement as well as user evaluations. Some technical requirements can exceed the minimum requirement substantially and should be compensated as such. The technical scoring system for this RFSO is merely based on the grid in stage 2: Performance Evaluation. Our system greatly exceeds the minimum weight requirement and the minimum field of view requirement. Would the government consider a scoring system for the technical evaluation portion of the grid as well? If not would they consider adding these two requirements to the grid in stage 2: Performance Evaluation?

Answer 38

No additional points will be awarded for helmets that exceed the minimum requirements outlined Annex C Evaluation Grid Stage I. Points for overall comfort and vision are awarded as part of the User Trial in accordance with Annex C, Appendix 2.

Question 39

Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet 6.1.3 - why is a letter of attestation required with the statement of warranty. Wouldn't one of these suffice? Could the government change this requirement to and/or instead of both? If not would the government accept only the statement of warranty?

Answer 39

A Letter of Attestation is requested from the Offeror to confirm that manufacturer's warranty will be upheld.

Question 40

Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet 6.2.3.1 lens – why are both C of C and a Test report required. Wouldn't one suffice? Can the government amend this requirement to and/or instead of both? If not would the government accept only the Test report?

Answer 40

Refer to Table II in Annex C Evaluation Grid, Certificates of Compliance are required for Field of View and Optical Requirements. A test Report is required for Abrasion.

Question 41

Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet 6.3.3 Coverage - asks for a Material data sheet for plastic, but in 4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation, E) Material Data Sheets it doesn't request this. Where in the bid should this be submitted?

Answer 41

4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation

E) Material Data Sheets

Insert:

2. Plastic, para. 6.3.3

Question 42

Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet 6.3.3 Coverage - asks for a Material data sheet for synthetic textile, but in 4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation, E) Material Data Sheets it doesn't request this. Where in the bid should this be submitted?

Answer 42

4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation

E) Material Data Sheets

Insert:

3. Synthetic textile (if applicable), para. 6.3.3

Question 43

Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet 6.3.7 Storage bag - asks for a Material data sheet for synthetic fabric, but in 4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation, E) Material Data Sheets it doesn't request this. Where in the bid should this be submitted?

Answer 43

4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation

E) Material Data Sheets

Insert:

4. Storage bag textile, para. 6.3.7

Question 44

Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet 6.4 Materials - asks for a Letter of Attestation stating the SBT Helmet materials meets the requirement of ASTM F2879-16 sections 5.1.2, 5.1.3, and 5.1.4, 4.1.2.1.2

Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation, D) Letters of Attestation it doesn't request this. Where in the bid should this be submitted?

Answer 44

4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation

D) Letters of Attestation

Insert:

10. Helmet materials, para. 6.4

Question 45

Annex C Evaluation Grid SBT Helmet 6.4.1 Corrosion - asks for a C of C stating if metal is used in the SBT Helmet it must be corrosion resistant to the requirements of ANSI/ISEA Z87.1-2015 Section 5.2.3, but in the required documents for the samples it doesn't request this. Where in the bid should this be submitted? Can it be included in the C of C for Optical Requirement?

Answer 45

4.1.2.1.2 Pre-Award Samples and Supporting Documentation

B) Certificate of Compliance

Insert:

5. Corrosion resistance (if applicable), para. 6.4.1

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.