



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services
professionnels en informatique - division EL
Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Informatics Professional Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 24062-200714/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 24062-200714	Date 2021-04-13
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-637-39350	
File No. - N° de dossier 637el.24062-200714	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2021-04-29 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Bitsene, Marlene	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 637el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9976 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
24062-200714/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
24062-200714

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001
File No. - N° du dossier
637el. 24062-200714

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
637el
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

BID SOLICITATION # 24062-200714/A

**FOR CONTRACT AGAINST A SUPPLY ARRANGEMENT FOR TASK-
BASED INFORMATICS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (TBIPS)**

A.1 ERP APPLICATION/SOFTWARE ARCHITECT – LEVEL 2, LEVEL 3

A.2 ERP FUNCTIONAL ANALYST – LEVEL 2, LEVEL 3

A.8 ERP SYSTEM ANALYST – LEVEL 3

P.5 PROJECT EXECUTIVE – LEVEL 3

P.9 PROJECT MANAGER – LEVEL 2, LEVEL 3

B.7 BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION ARCHITECT – LEVEL 3

I.1 DATA CONVERSION SPECIALIST – LEVEL 3

FOR

TREASURY BOARD OF CANADA SECRETARIAT (TBS)

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
24062-200714/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
24062-200714

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001
File No. - N° du dossier
637el. 24062-200714

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
637el
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Amendment 001 is raised to answer questions received from Bidders.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 1

Please identify whether there is or has been in the past, a company or individual performing similar or related work? If so, through which company, what was the contract value, and how long were they performing the services under the contract (e.g.: length of initial contract and any extensions)?

Answer 1

Contract 24062-180006/001/EL: Systematix IT Solutions Inc.

Contract Value: \$30,000,000

Contract Award Date: 2018-08-03

Question 2

Can the client please confirm if any of the work described within in Annex A: Statement of Work is currently being performed or has recently been performed by other resources? If so, can the client confirm which resource categories have previously been fulfilled and, if applicable, provide the name for the contractor associated with the work?

Answer 2

The work described in Annex A: Statement of Work is not currently or has not recently been performed by other resources. Resource categories previously fulfilled: ERP Application/Software Architect, ERP Functional Analyst, ERP Project Manager, Business Transformation Architect.

Question 3

Please refer to all point-rated technical criteria presented in Attachment 4.2 but more importantly the final scoring presented on Page 99 of the RFP. This presents the minimum score for the rated criteria to be 70 points, which means a bidder is compliant with the point-rated corporate criteria

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
24062-200714/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
24062-200714

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001
File No. - N° du dossier
637el. 24062-200714

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
637el
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

with a score as low as 14%. This seems extraordinarily low for a Tier 2 TBIPS solicitation. Please confirm that a Bidder must achieve a minimum score of 70% (350/500 points) to be considered compliant which is aligned with standard TBIPS Tier 2 solicitations of this nature.

Answer 3

See Part 4 clause 4.4 (a) Evaluation of Bids. A bid's total technical score accounts for 60% of the overall score for the bid.

Question 4

M.1.3 – Please confirm that the contracts used to demonstrate compliance against M.1.3 are not required to have been TBIPS contracts?

R.1.1 and R.1.2 – These requirements are very similar and appear to be asking for the same information. Can the Crown please clarify the difference between the requirements?

R.1.3 – Please confirm that the resources detailed under this requirement are not required to have been provided under TBIPS.

Answer 4

M.1.3 For any cited non-TBIPS contracts, Bidders must demonstrate that it was awarded contracts to perform the equivalent tasks and delivered the equivalent services associated with the resource categories identified in M.1.3. The definition of those tasks and services are fully described in TBIPS.

R1.1 and R1.2. The former requires citation of two contracts issued by public sector clients, whereas the latter does not restrict the cited contract to public sector clients. Contracts cited in response to R1.1 should not be cited again in response to R1.2.

R1.3 For any cited non-TBIPS contracts, Bidders must demonstrate that it was awarded contracts to perform the equivalent tasks and delivered the equivalent services associated with the resource categories identified. Note: Bidders should cite three (3) Application/Software Architects Level 3 (NOT one Level 2 resource and two Level 3 resources). This aligns to the first three rows in the response table for R1.3.