



**RETURN BIDS TO:  
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving Box/Boîte de Réception des  
Soumissions  
1st Floor/1<sup>ère</sup> étage, Suite 1212  
100-1045 Main Street  
Moncton  
New Brunswick  
E1C 1H1  
Bid Fax: (506) 851-6759

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL  
DEMANDE DE PROPOSITION**

**Proposal To: Public Works and Government  
Services Canada**

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

**Proposition aux: Travaux Publics et Services  
Gouvernementaux Canada**

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Acquisitions NB/PEI (Moncton Office) – Bureau  
d'acquisitions N.-B./Î.-P.-É. (Moncton)  
1045 Main Street / 1045, rue Main  
Moncton  
New Bruns  
E1C 1H1

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Health Care of Excellence	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EC016-211079/A	<b>Date</b> 2021-04-16
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EC016-211079	
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWJ-005-5986	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWJ-0-43065 (005)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Atlantic Daylight Saving Time ADT <b>on - le 2021-06-01</b> Heure Avancée de l'Atlantique HAA	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lomax (PWJ), Sandra	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwj005
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (506) 639-8503 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (506) 851-6759
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND GOVERNMENT SERVICES CANADA Dominion Public Building 1045 MAIN ST MONCTON New Brunswick E1C1H1 Canada	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b> See Herein – Voir ci-inclus	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>



Item Article	Description	Dest. Code Dest.	Inv. Code Fact.	Qty Qté	U. of I. U. de D.	Unit Price/Prix unitaire FOB/FAM		Delivery Req. Livraison Req.	Del. Offered Liv. offerte
						Destination	Plant/Usine		
1	Health Care of Excellence Health Care of Excellence	EC016	EC016	1	Each	\$	XXXXXXXXXXXX	See Herein – Voir ci-inclus	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## **REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)**

# **Pre-Design Services for the Proposed CSC Health Centre of Excellence Dorchester Penitentiary**

Public Works and Government Services Canada Contracting Authority:  
Sandra Lomax  
PWGSC, Real Property Contracting  
1045 Main Street, Moncton, New Brunswick E1C 1H1  
Cell No.: 506-639-8503  
Email: [Sandra.lomax@pwgsc-tpsgc.gc.ca](mailto:Sandra.lomax@pwgsc-tpsgc.gc.ca)

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

The following is intended to clarify the general structure of the whole document.

Front Page

Supplementary Instructions to Proponents (SI)

- SI1 Introduction
- SI2 Proposal Documents
- SI3 Questions or request for clarifications
- SI4 Optional Site Visit
- SI5 Indigenous Benefits Plan (IBP)
- SI6 Canada's Trade Agreements
- SI7 Certificatons
- SI8 Web Sites

General Instructions (GI) – Architectural and/or Engineering Services – Two Phase Request for Proposal;

Terms, Conditions and Clauses

Agreement

Supplementary Conditions (SC)

- SC1 Language Requirements
- SC2 Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity - Default by the Consultant

Agreement Particulars

Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE)

Project Brief (PB)

- Project Description (PD)
- Project Administration and Governance (PA)
- Required Services (RS)

Indigenous Benefit Plan Capacity Assessment (Annex A)

Team Identification Format (Appendix A)

Declaration/Certifications Form (Appendix B)

Price Proposal Form (Appendix C)

Doing Business with PWGSC Documentation and Deliverables Manual (Appendix D)

---

## SUPPLEMENTARY INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPONENTS (SI)

### SI1 INTRODUCTION

1. Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) intends to retain an individual consulting firm or joint venture to provide the professional services for the project as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).
2. Because of the considerable time and expense involved in the preparation, submission and evaluation of full proposals, proponents responding to this RFP are requested to submit a proposal in two phases. Phase One proposals cover only the qualifications, experience and organization of the proposed Consultant Team. Following evaluation and rating of these proposals, proponents are advised of their competitive standing and have the opportunity to decide whether or not to continue their participation by submitting a Phase Two proposal. Phase Two proposals cover the detailed approach to the work, and the pricing and terms offered. A combination of the Phase One and Phase Two submissions constitutes the final proposal.
3. Initially, firms are invited to submit a proposal in the first phase of the selection procedure outlined below. Only the Phase One information asked for in the RFP is to be included in the Phase One proposal, and evaluation and rating of Phase One proposals will be carried out only on the Phase One information requested.  
**IN PHASE ONE, NO MATERIAL IS TO BE SUBMITTED ON THE SUBJECT PROJECT ITSELF.**
4. The successful proponent will not have the ability to bid on the Design RFP associated with this project. See GI25 Conflict of interest—unfair advantage for more information.
5. This bid solicitation allows and encourages proponents to use the epost Connect service provided by Canada Post Corporation to transmit their proposals electronically.

Due to the nature of the bid solicitation, transmission of proposals by facsimile is not recommended for administrative reasons but offered to proponents to provide an alternative opportunity in case of incompatibility or inability to transmit by epost Connect service.

Proponents must refer to GI16 Submission of proposal, and SRE 2 Proposal Requirements, of the bid solicitation, for further information.

---

## **SI2 PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS**

1. All instructions, general terms, conditions and clauses identified in the RFP by number, date and title, are hereby incorporated by reference into and form part of this solicitation and any resultant contract.

All instructions, general terms, conditions and clauses identified in the RFP by number, date and title, are set out in the Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions Manual (<https://buyandsell.gc.ca/policy-and-guidelines/standard-acquisition-clauses-and-conditions-manual>) issued by Public Works and Government Services Canada.

2. The following are the proposal documents:
  - (a) Supplementary Instructions to Proponents (SI);  
General Instructions (GI) – Architectural and/or Engineering Services –  
Two Phase Request for Proposal;  
Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE);
  - (b) the general terms, conditions and clauses, as amended, identified in the Agreement clause;
  - (c) Project Brief / Terms of Reference;
  - (d) the document entitled "Doing Business with PWGSC Documentation and Deliverables Manual";
  - (e) any amendment to the solicitation document issued prior to the date set for receipt of Phase Two proposals;
  - (f) the proposal submitted at Phase One and Declaration/Certifications Form;  
and
  - (g) the proposal submitted at Phase Two and Price Proposal Form.
3. Submission of a proposal constitutes acknowledgment that the Proponent has read and agrees to be bound by these documents.

## **SI3 QUESTIONS OR REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION**

Questions or requests for clarification during the Phase One solicitation period must be submitted in writing to the Contracting Authority named on the RFP - Page 1 at e-mail address [Sandra.lomax@pwgsc.gc.ca](mailto:Sandra.lomax@pwgsc.gc.ca) as early as possible. Enquiries should be received no later than (5) working days prior to the closing

date identified on the front page of the Request for Proposal. Enquiries received after that date may not be answered prior to the closing date of the solicitation.

#### **SI4 OPTIONAL SITE VISIT**

To be determined

#### **SI5 INDIGENOUS BENEFIT PLAN (IBP)**

As a requirement of this contract, the proponent must ensure provision of specific and agreed upon benefit for an Indigenous Person and/or Indigenous Firm in the area of the Contract. In order to be considered responsive, a bid must satisfy all mandatory terms, conditions, and specifications of this solicitation document

See SRE 3.1.3 for Indigenous Benefit Plan

#### **SI6 CANADA'S TRADE AGREEMENTS**

This procurement is subject to the provisions of the World Trade Organization - Agreement on Government Procurement (WTO-AGP), the Canada-European Union Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), and the Canadian Free Trade Agreement (CFTA).

#### **SI7 CERTIFICATIONS**

##### **1. Integrity Provisions – Declaration of Convicted Offences**

In accordance with the Ineligibility and Suspension Policy (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>), the Proponent must **provide with its bid, as applicable**, to be given further consideration in the procurement process, the required documentation as per R1110T (2017-08-17) General instructions 1 (GI1), Integrity Provisions – Proposal, **section 3b**.

##### **2. Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity - Proposal Certification**

By submitting a proposal, the Proponent certifies that the Proponent, and any of the Proponent's members if the Proponent is a Joint Venture, is not named on the Federal Contractors Program (FCP) for employment equity "FCP Limited Eligibility to Bid" list available at the bottom of the page of the Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC) - Labour's website (<https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/programs/employment-equity/federal-contractor-program.html>).

Canada will have the right to declare a proposal non-responsive if the Proponent, or any member of the Proponent if the Proponent is a Joint Venture, appears on the "FCP Limited Eligibility to Bid" list at the time of contract award.

Canada will also have the right to terminate the Agreement for default if a Consultant, or any member of the Consultant if the Consultant is a Joint Venture, appears on the "FCP Limited Eligibility to Bid" list during the period of the Agreement.

The Proponent must provide the Contracting Authority with a completed Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity - Certification (see Appendix B - Declaration/Certifications Form), before contract award. If the Proponent is a Joint Venture, the Proponent must provide the Contracting Authority with a completed Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity - Certification, for each member of the Joint Venture.

## **SI8 - WEBSITES**

The connection to some of the Web sites in the RFP is established by the use of hyperlinks. The following is a list of the addresses of the Web sites:

Employment Equity Act

<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/E-5.401/index.html>

Federal Contractors Program (FCP)

<https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/programs/employment-equity/federal-contractor-program.html>

Certificate of Commitment to Implement Employment Equity form LAB 1168

<http://www.servicecanada.gc.ca/cgi-bin/search/eforms/index.cgi?app=profile&form=lab1168&dept=sc&lang=e>

Ineligibility and Suspension Policy

<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>

Code of Conduct for Procurement

<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/app-acq/cndt-cndct/contexte-context-eng.html>

Lobbying Act

<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/L-12.4/?noCookie>

Buy and Sell

<https://buyandsell.gc.ca/>

Supplier Registration Information

<https://srisupplier.contractscanada.gc.ca>



Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

### Consultant Performance Evaluation Report Form

<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/app-acq/forms/documents/2913-1.pdf>

### Canadian economic sanctions

<http://www.international.gc.ca/sanctions/index.aspx?lang=eng>

### National Joint Council (NJC) Travel Directive

<http://www.njc-cnm.gc.ca/directive/travel-voyage/index-eng.php>

---

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (GI) – ARCHITECTURAL AND/OR ENGINEERING SERVICES – TWO PHASE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

### G11 Integrity provisions—proposal

1. The *Ineligibility and Suspension Policy* (the “Policy”) in effect on the date the bid solicitation is issued, and all related Directives in effect on that date, are incorporated by reference into, and form a binding part of the bid solicitation. The Proponent must comply with the Policy and Directives, which can be found at *Ineligibility and Suspension Policy* (<https://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>).
2. Under the Policy, charges and convictions of certain offences against a Supplier, its affiliates or first tier sub-consultants, and other circumstances, will or may result in a determination by Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) that the Supplier is ineligible to enter, or is suspended from entering into a contract with Canada. The list of ineligible and suspended Suppliers is contained in PWGSC’s Integrity Database. The Policy describes how enquiries can be made regarding the ineligibility or suspension of Suppliers.
3. In addition to all other information required in the bid solicitation, the Proponent must provide the following:
  - a. by the time stated in the Policy, all information required by the Policy described under the heading “Information to be Provided when Bidding, Contracting or Entering into a Real Property Agreement”; and
  - b. with its bid, a complete list of all foreign criminal charges and convictions pertaining to itself, its affiliates and its proposed first tier sub-consultants that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, may be similar to one of the listed offences in the Policy. The list of foreign criminal charges and convictions must be submitted using an Integrity Declaration Form, which can be found at Declaration form for procurement, (<https://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/declaration-eng.html>).
4. Subject to subsection 5, by submitting a bid in response to this bid solicitation, the Proponent certifies that:
  - a. it has read and understands the *Ineligibility and Suspension Policy*, (<https://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>);
  - b. it understands that certain domestic and foreign criminal charges and convictions, and other circumstances, as described in the Policy, will or may result in a determination of ineligibility or suspension under the Policy;
  - c. it is aware that Canada may request additional information, certifications, and validations from the Proponent or a third party for purposes of making a determination of ineligibility or suspension;
  - d. it has provided with its bid a complete list of all foreign criminal charges and convictions pertaining to itself, its affiliates and its proposed first tier sub-consultants that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, may be similar to one of the listed offences in the Policy;

- 
- e. none of the domestic criminal offences, and other circumstances, described in the Policy that will or may result in a determination of ineligibility or suspension, apply to it, its affiliates and its proposed first tier sub-consultants; and
    - f. it is not aware of a determination of ineligibility or suspension issued by PWGSC that applies to it.
  - 5. Where a Proponent is unable to provide any of the certifications required by subsection 4, it must submit with its bid a completed Integrity Declaration Form, which can be found at Declaration form for procurement (<https://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/declaration-eng.html>).
  - 6. Canada will declare non-responsive any bid in respect of which the information requested is incomplete or inaccurate, or in respect of which the information contained in a certification or declaration is found by Canada to be false or misleading in any respect. If Canada establishes after award of the Contract that the Proponent provided a false or misleading certification or declaration, Canada may terminate the Contract for default. Pursuant to the Policy, Canada may also determine the Proponent to be ineligible for award of a contract for providing a false or misleading certification or declaration.

## GI2 Definitions

In this Request for Proposal (RFP), the following words or phrases have the corresponding meaning.

### "Applicable Taxes":

The Goods and Services Tax (GST), the Harmonized Sales Tax (HST), and any provincial tax, by law, payable by Canada such as, the Quebec Sales Tax (QST) as of April 1, 2013.

### "Consultant Team":

The team of consultants, specialists and subconsultants, including the Proponent, proposed by the Proponent to perform the services required.

### "Key Personnel":

Staff of the Proponent, subconsultants and specialists proposed to be assigned to this project.

### "Phase One Rating":

A rating assigned to a proposal in the first phase of the selection procedure, the rating being based on the qualifications and experience of the Consultant Team. The rating is subsequently used to establish a Phase One Score for inclusion as a percentage of the total score to be established following the evaluation and rating of proposals submitted in Phase Two.

### "Phase Two Technical Rating":

A rating assigned to the technical component of a proposal in the second phase of the selection procedure and subsequently used to establish a Technical Score for inclusion as a percentage of the total score to be established following the evaluation and rating of proposals submitted in Phase Two.

### "Price Rating":

A rating assigned to the price proposal component of a proposal in the second phase of the selection procedure and subsequently used to establish a Price Score for inclusion as a percentage of the total score to be established following the evaluation and rating of proposals submitted in Phase Two.

### "Proponent":

The person or entity (or, in the case of a joint venture, the persons or entities) which submits a proposal. It does not include the parent, subsidiaries or other affiliates of the Proponent, or its sub-consultants.

### "PWGSC Evaluation Board":

The board established to evaluate and rate proposals. Board members represent a broad cross-section of professional qualifications and experience.

## **GI3 Overview of selection procedure**

The following is an overview of the selection procedure.

### 3.1 Phase One proposal

In response to the RFP, interested Proponents submit a Phase One proposal in which they:

- a. indicate whether the proposal is submitted by an individual firm or by a joint venture;
- b. if the proposal is submitted by a joint venture, describe the proposed legal and working relationships of the joint venture and the benefits to be gained by the formation of the joint venture;
- c. identify the prime consultants and key sub consultants and specialists proposed for inclusion in the Consultant Team, and the proposed organizational structure of the Team;
- d. describe the extent to which proposed members of the Consultant Team have successfully performed services for projects comparable to the project which is the subject of the proposal;

- e. identify the professional accreditation, experience, expertise and competence of the Consultant Team and Key Personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the required services.
- f. comply with all other requirements set out in the RFP.

### 3.2 Phase One proposal evaluation and rating

1. Each responsive proposal received is reviewed, evaluated and rated by a Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) Evaluation Board in accordance with the evaluation criteria, components and weight factors set out in the RFP. Upon completion of the evaluation, an initial rating (Phase One Rating) is assigned to the proposal. Phase One Ratings are recorded for subsequent incorporation in the final proposal evaluation and rating.
2. Each Proponent submitting a responsive Phase One proposal is notified in writing of its Phase One Rating and, in addition, is provided with the following:
  - a. an alphabetic list (normally five names) of Proponents with the highest Phase One Ratings;
  - b. a list of all Phase One Ratings attained (ratings are not linked to Proponents);
  - c. a date, time, and location for a Phase Two briefing meeting, if applicable;
  - d. the date and time for receipt of Phase Two proposals, and any supplementary instructions, terms, conditions or addenda which may be applicable to Phase Two proposal preparation and submission.
3. Proponents that submitted non-responsive Phase One proposals are notified accordingly.

### 3.3 Phase Two proposal

1. Phase Two proposals are prepared and submitted after Proponents have been advised of the results of evaluation of Phase One proposals. All Proponents submitting responsive proposals in Phase One, regardless of their Phase One Ratings, are eligible to prepare and submit a Phase Two proposal. The decision to continue participating in the selection procedure in Phase Two is a decision to be made by each eligible Proponent.
2. In Phase Two, a Proponent may not substitute or delete any member of the Consultant Team identified in the Phase One proposal without the consent of Canada.
3. Proponents submit the "technical" component of their proposal in one section and the proposed price of the services (price proposal) in a second section.
4. The information that Proponents are required to provide is set out in detail throughout the RFP.

### 3.4 Phase Two Proposal evaluation and final rating

1. Technical components of Phase Two proposals are reviewed, evaluated and rated by a PWGSC Evaluation Board in accordance with the criteria, components

and weight factors set out in the RFP. Upon completion of the evaluation, Phase Two Technical Ratings are established.

2. Phase One Rating and Phase Two Technical Rating are combined to establish a Combined Technical Score. Proposals achieving the minimum Combined Technical Score specified in the Submission Requirements and Evaluation section of the RFP are further considered.
3. The price proposals of all responsive proposals are considered upon completion of the technical evaluation. When there are three or more responsive proposals, an average price is determined by adding all the price proposals together and dividing the total by the number of price proposals opened. This calculation will not be conducted when one or two responsive proposals are received.
4. All price proposals which are greater than 25 percent above the average price will cause their respective complete proposals to be set aside and receive no further consideration.
5. The remaining price proposals are rated as follows:
  - a. The lowest price proposal receives a Price Rating of 100.
  - b. The second, third, fourth and fifth lowest prices receive Price Ratings of 80, 60, 40, and 20 respectively. All other price proposals receive a Price Rating of 0.
  - c. On the rare occasion where two (or more) price proposals are identical, these price proposals receive the same rating and the corresponding number of following ratings are skipped.
  - d. The Price Rating is multiplied by a predetermined percentage factor to establish a Price Score.
6. A price proposal in excess of any maximum funding limit, when this limit has been set in the Supplementary Instructions to Proponents, may result in disqualification of the complete proposal.

### 3.5 Total score

1. The total overall score (Total Score) assigned to each Proponent's complete proposal is calculated as the aggregate of:
  - a. the Phase One Score (Phase One proposal on qualifications and experience), and
  - b. the Phase Two Technical Score, and
  - c. the Price Score.
2. The Proponent receiving the highest Total Score is the first entity that the PWGSC Evaluation Board will recommend for the provision of the required services.

### 3.6 Notification

PWGSC normally expects to advise in writing unsuccessful Proponents within one week after PWGSC has entered into a contractual arrangement with the successful Proponent.

---

## **G14 Procurement Business Number**

Proponents are required to have a Procurement Business Number (PBN) before contract award. Proponents may register for a PBN online at [Supplier Registration Information](https://srisupplier.contractsCanada.gc.ca/index-eng.cfm?af=ZnVzZWJdGlVbj1yZWdpc3Rlci5pbmRybyZpZD0y&lang=eng), (<https://srisupplier.contractsCanada.gc.ca/index-eng.cfm?af=ZnVzZWJdGlVbj1yZWdpc3Rlci5pbmRybyZpZD0y&lang=eng>). For non-Internet registration, Proponents may contact the InfoLine at 1-800-811-1148 to obtain the telephone number of the nearest Supplier Registration Agent.

## **G15 Responsive proposals**

To be considered responsive, a proposal must meet all of the mandatory requirements set out in the RFP. No further consideration in the selection procedure will be given to a Proponent submitting a non-responsive proposal.

## **G16 Completion of submission**

The Proponent shall base the proposal on the applicable proposal documents listed in the Supplementary Instructions to Proponents.

## **G17 Proposal price**

Unless specified otherwise elsewhere in the proposal documents:

- a. the price proposal shall be in Canadian currency, and
  - b. the price proposal shall not include any amount for Applicable Taxes, and
  - c. the requirement does not offer exchange rate fluctuation risk mitigation.
- Requests for exchange rate fluctuation risk mitigation will not be considered. All proposals including such provision will render the proposal non-responsive.

## **G18 Communications—solicitation period**

To ensure the integrity of the competitive bid process, enquiries and other communications regarding the RFP must be directed only to the Contracting Authority identified in the RFP. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the proposal being declared non-responsive.

To ensure consistency and quality of information provided to proponents, significant enquiries received and their replies will be posted on the Government Electronic Tendering Service (GETS).

## **G19 Limitation of submissions**

1. A Proponent may not submit more than one proposal. This limitation also applies to the persons or entities in the case of a joint venture. If more than one proposal is received from a Proponent (or, in the case of a joint venture, from the persons or entities), all such proposals shall be rejected and no further consideration shall be given.
2. A joint venture is defined as an association of two or more parties which combine their money, property, knowledge, skills, time or other resources in a joint



business enterprise agreeing to share the profits and the losses and each having some degree of control over the enterprise.

3. An arrangement whereby Canada contracts directly with a prime consultant who may retain sub-consultants or specialist consultants to perform portions of the services is not a joint venture arrangement. A sub-consultant or specialist consultant may, therefore, be proposed as part of the Consultant Team by more than one Proponent. The Proponent warrants that it has written permission from such sub-consultant or specialist consultant to propose their services in relation to the services to be performed.
4. Notwithstanding subsection 3 above, in order to avoid any conflict of interest, or any perception of conflict of interest, a Proponent shall not include in its submission another Proponent as a member of its consultant team, as a sub-consultant or specialist consultant.
5. The Phase Two portion of the proposal must be made in the same name as the person(s) or entity(ies) named as the Proponent in the Phase One portion. Proponents must utilize, in the preparation of their Phase Two proposal, the same Consultant Team, sub-consultants, specialist consultants and individuals named in the Phase One proposal.
6. Any joint venture entered into for the provision of professional services or other services must be in full compliance with the requirements of any provincial or territorial law pertaining thereto in the Province or Territory in which the project is located.

## **G110 Licensing requirements**

1. Consultant Team members and Key Personnel shall be, or be eligible to be licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by provincial or territorial law in the Province or Territory in which the project is located.
2. By virtue of submission of a proposal, the Proponent certifies that the Proponent's Consultant Team and Key Personnel are in compliance with the requirements of subsection 1 above. The Proponent acknowledges that PWGSC reserves the right to verify any information in this regard and that false or erroneous certification may result in the proposal being declared non-responsive.

## **G111 Rejection of proposal**

1. Canada may reject a proposal where any of the following circumstances is present:
  - a. the Proponent has been declared ineligible for selection, following unsatisfactory performance in a previous project as determined in accordance with the department's performance review procedures;
  - b. an employee, sub-consultant or specialist consultant included as part of the proposal has been declared ineligible, for selection for work with the department in accordance with the performance review procedure referred to in paragraph 1.(a), which would render the employee, sub-consultant or



- 
- specialist consultant ineligible to bid on the requirement, or the portion of the requirement the employee, sub-consultant or specialist consultant is to perform;
- c. the Proponent is bankrupt or where, for whatever reason, its activities are rendered inoperable for an extended period;
  - d. evidence, satisfactory to Canada, of fraud, bribery, fraudulent misrepresentation or failure to comply with any law protecting individuals against any manner of discrimination, has been received with respect to the Proponent, any of its employees, any sub-consultant or any specialist consultant included as part of the proposal;
  - e. evidence satisfactory to Canada that based on past conduct or behavior, the Proponent, a sub-consultant, a specialist consultant or a person who is to perform the Services is unsuitable or has conducted himself/herself improperly;
  - f. with respect to current or prior transactions with the Government of Canada,
    - i. Canada has exercised its contractual remedies of taking the services out of the consultant's hands, suspension or termination for default with respect to a contract with the Proponent, any of its employees, any sub-consultant or any specialist consultant included as part of the proposal;
    - ii. Canada determines that the Proponent's performance on other contracts, including the quality of the services provided and the quality and timeliness of the delivery of the project, is sufficiently poor to jeopardize the successful completion of the requirement being bid on.
2. Where Canada intends to reject a proposal pursuant to subsection 1.(f), the Contracting Authority will so inform the Proponent and provide the Proponent ten (10) days within which to make representations, before making a final decision on the proposal rejection.

## **GI12 Not applicable**

Not applicable

## **GI13 Insurance requirements**

The successful Proponent shall be required to obtain and maintain Professional Liability and Commercial General Liability insurance coverage in accordance with the requirements set out elsewhere in the proposal documents.

## **GI14 Joint venture**

1. A joint venture is an association of two or more parties who combine their money, property, knowledge, expertise or other resources in a single joint business enterprise, sometimes referred as a consortium, to bid together on a requirement. Proponents who bid as a joint venture must indicate clearly that it is a joint venture and provide the following information:

- a. the name of each member of the joint venture;
  - b. the Procurement Business Number of each member of the joint venture;
  - c. the name of the representative of the joint venture, i.e. the member chosen by the other members to act on their behalf, if applicable;
  - d. the name of the joint venture, if applicable.
2. If the information is not clearly provided in the proposal, the Proponent must provide the information on request from the Contracting Authority.
3. The proposal and any resulting contract must be signed by all the members of the joint venture unless one member has been appointed to act on behalf of all members of the joint venture. The Contracting Authority may, at any time, require each member of the joint venture to confirm that the representative has been appointed with full authority to act as its representative for the purposes of the bid solicitation and any resulting contract. If a contract is awarded to a joint venture, all members of the joint venture will be jointly and severally or solidarily liable for the performance of any resulting contract.

## GI15 Composition of Consultant Team

By submitting a proposal, the Proponent represents and warrants that the entities and persons proposed in the proposal to perform the required services will be the entities and persons that will perform the services in the fulfillment of the project under any contractual arrangement arising from submission of the proposal. If the Proponent has proposed any person in fulfillment of the project who is not an employee of the Proponent, the Proponent warrants that it has written permission from such person (or the employer of such person) to propose the services of such person in relation to the services to be performed.

## GI16 Submission of proposal

### GI16.1 Submission of proposal

1. Canada requires that each proposal, at closing date and time or upon request from the Contracting Authority, be signed by the Proponent or by an authorized representative of the Proponent. If a proposal is submitted by a joint venture, it must be in accordance with section GI14.
2. It is the Proponent's responsibility to:
  - a. submit a Phase One proposal, duly completed, **in the format requested**, on or before the closing date and time set for Phase One proposals;
  - b. submit, at the Proponent's discretion, a Phase Two proposal, duly completed, **in the format requested**, on or before the closing date and time set for Phase Two proposals;
  - c. send its proposal only to the Bid Receiving Unit of Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) specified below.

**In the case of submission of a hard copy proposal, send its proposal only to:**

Bid Receiving - PWGSC  
100-1045 Main Street  
Moncton, New Brunswick  
E1C 1H1

**In the case of submission by epost Connect, see instructions in GI16.2.1 below.**

**In the case of submission by Facsimile, see instructions in GI16.2.2 below.**

- d. obtain clarification of the requirements contained in the RFP, if necessary, before submitting a proposal;
  - e. ensure that the Proponent's name, return address, the solicitation number and description, and solicitation closing date and time are clearly visible on the envelope or the parcel(s) containing the proposal; and
  - f. provide a comprehensive and sufficiently detailed proposal for each phase that will permit a complete evaluation in accordance with the criteria set out in this RFP.
3. The technical and price components of the Phase Two proposal must be submitted in separate sections in accordance with the instructions contained in the proposal documents.
  4. Timely and correct delivery of proposals to the office designated for receipt of proposals is the sole responsibility of the Proponent. PWGSC will not assume or have transferred to it those responsibilities. All risks and consequences of incorrect delivery of proposals are the responsibility of the Proponent.
  5. Proposals and supporting information may be submitted in either English or French.
  6. Canada will make available Notices of Proposed Procurement (NPP), bid solicitations and related documents for download through the Government Electronic Tendering Service (GETS). Canada is not responsible and will not assume any liabilities whatsoever for the information found on websites of third parties. In the event an NPP, bid solicitation or related documentation would be amended, Canada will not be sending notifications. During Phase One Canada will post all amendments using GETS. It is the sole responsibility of the Proponent to regularly consult GETS for the most up-to-date information. Canada will not be liable for any oversight on the Proponent's part nor for notification services offered by a third party.

## **GI16.2 Transmission by epost Connect or facsimile**

### **1. epost Connect**

- a. Proposals may be submitted by using the epost Connect service provided by Canada Post Corporation

([https://www.canadapost.ca/web/en/products/details.page?article=epost\\_connect\\_send\\_a](https://www.canadapost.ca/web/en/products/details.page?article=epost_connect_send_a)):

[TPSGC.RAReceptionSoumisNBPE-ARBidReceivingNBPE.PWGSC@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca](mailto:TPSGC.RAReceptionSoumisNBPE-ARBidReceivingNBPE.PWGSC@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca)

**Note: *Proposals will not be accepted if emailed directly to this email address. This email address is to be used to open an epost Connect conversation, as detailed in b., or to send proposals through an epost Connect message if the proponent is using its own licensing agreement for epost Connect.***

- b. To submit a proposal using epost Connect service, the Proponent must either:
  - i. send directly its proposal only to the specified PWGSC Bid Receiving Unit, using its own licensing agreement for epost Connect provided by Canada Post Corporation; or
  - ii. send as early as possible, and in any case, at least six business days prior to the solicitation closing date and time (in order to ensure a response), an email that includes the bid solicitation number to the specified PWGSC Bid Receiving Unit requesting to open an epost Connect conversation. Requests to open an epost Connect conversation received after that time may not be answered.
- c. If the Proponent sends an email requesting epost Connect service to the specified Bid Receiving Unit in the bid solicitation, an officer of the Bid Receiving Unit will then initiate an epost Connect conversation. The epost Connect conversation will create an email notification from Canada Post Corporation prompting the Proponent to access and action the message within the epost Connect conversation. The Proponent will then be able to transmit its proposal afterward at any time prior to the solicitation closing date and time.
- d. If the Proponent is using its own licensing agreement to send its proposal, the Proponent must keep the epost Connect conversation open until at least 30 business days after the solicitation closing date and time.
- e. The bid solicitation number should be identified in the epost Connect message field of all electronic transfers.
- f. It should be noted that the use of epost Connect service requires a Canadian mailing address. Should a Proponent not have a Canadian address, they may use the Bid Receiving Unit address specified in the solicitation in order to register for the epost Connect service.
- g. For proposals transmitted by epost Connect service, Canada will not be responsible for any failure attributable to the transmission or receipt of the proposal including, but not limited to, the following:
  - i. receipt of a garbled, corrupted or incomplete proposal;
  - ii. availability or condition of the epost Connect service;

- iii. incompatibility between the sending and receiving equipment;
  - iv. delay in transmission or receipt of the proposal;
  - v. failure of the Proponent to properly identify the proposal;
  - vi. illegibility of the proposal;
  - vii. security of proposal data; or
  - viii. inability to create an electronic conversation through the epost Connect service.
- h. The Bid Receiving Unit will send an acknowledgement of the receipt of proposal document(s) via the epost Connect conversation, regardless of whether the conversation was initiated by the supplier using its own license or the Bid Receiving Unit. This acknowledgement will confirm only the receipt of proposal document(s) and will not confirm if the attachments may be opened nor if the content is readable.
- i. Proponents must ensure that they are using the correct email address for the Bid Receiving Unit when initiating a conversation in epost Connect or communicating with the Bid Receiving Unit and should not rely on the accuracy of copying and pasting the email address into the epost Connect system.
- j. A proposal transmitted by epost Connect service constitutes the formal proposal of the Proponent and must be submitted in accordance with section GI16.1.

## 2. Facsimile

- a. Proposals may be submitted by facsimile.

The only acceptable facsimile number for responses to this bid solicitations issued by PWGSC regional office is:

Bid Fax: (506) 851-6759

- b. For proposals transmitted by facsimile, Canada will not be responsible for any failure attributable to the transmission or receipt of the faxed proposal including, but not limited to, the following:
- i. receipt of garbled, corrupted or incomplete proposal;
  - ii. availability or condition of the receiving facsimile equipment;
  - iii. incompatibility between the sending and receiving equipment;
  - iv. delay in transmission or receipt of the proposal;
  - v. failure of the Proponent to properly identify the proposal;
  - vi. illegibility of the proposal; or
  - vii. security of proposal data.
- c. A proposal transmitted by facsimile constitutes the formal proposal of the Proponent and must be submitted in accordance with section GI16.1.

---

## **GI17 Late submissions**

1. PWGSC will return or delete proposals delivered after the stipulated solicitation closing date and time, unless they qualify as a delayed proposal as described in GI17.2. For late proposals submitted using means other than the Canada Post Corporation's epost Connect service, the physical proposal will be returned. For proposals submitted electronically, the late proposal will be deleted. As an example, proposals submitted using Canada Post Corporation's epost Connect service, conversations initiated by the Bid Receiving Unit via the epost Connect service pertaining to a late proposal, will be deleted. Records will be kept documenting the transaction history of all late proposals submitted using epost Connect.
2. A proposal delivered to the specified bid receiving unit after the solicitation closing date and time but before the contract award date may be considered, provided the proponent can prove the delay is due solely to a delay in delivery that can be attributed to the Canada Post Corporation (CPC) (or national equivalent of a foreign country). Private courier (Purolator Inc., Fedex Inc., etc.) is not considered to be part of CPC for the purposes of delayed proposals.
  - a. The only pieces of evidence relating to a delay in the CPC system that are acceptable to PWGSC are:
    - i. a CPC cancellation date stamp;
    - ii. a CPC Priority Courier bill of lading;
    - iii. a CPC Xpresspost label;that clearly indicates that the proposal was sent the day before the solicitation closing date.
  - b. The only pieces of evidence relating to a delay in the epost Connect service provided by CPC system that are acceptable to PWGSC is a CPC epost Connect service date and time record indicated in the epost Connect conversation history that clearly indicates that the proposal was sent before the solicitation closing date and time.
3. Misrouting, traffic volume, weather disturbances, labour disputes or any other causes for the late delivery of proposals are not acceptable reasons for the proposal to be accepted by PWGSC.
4. Postage meter imprints, whether imprinted by the Proponent, the CPC or the postal authority outside Canada, are not acceptable as proof of timely mailing.

## **GI18 Not applicable**

## **GI19 Acceptance of proposal**

1. Canada may accept any proposal, or may reject any or all proposals.
2. In the case of error in the extension or addition of unit prices, the unit price will govern.

3. While Canada may enter into an agreement or contractual arrangement without prior negotiation, Canada reserves the right to negotiate with Proponents on any procurement.
4. Canada reserves the right to cancel or amend the RFP at any time.

## **GI20 Legal capacity**

The Proponent must have the legal capacity to contract. If the Proponent is a sole proprietorship, a partnership or a corporate body, the Proponent must provide, if requested by the Contracting Authority, a statement and any requested supporting documentation indicating the laws under which it is registered or incorporated together with the registered or corporate name and place of business. This also applies to Proponents submitting a proposal as a joint venture.

## **GI21 Debriefing**

Should a Proponent desire a debriefing, the Proponent should contact the person identified on the front page of the RFP within 15 working days of the notification of the results of the solicitation. The debriefing will include an outline of the strengths and weaknesses of the submission, referring to the evaluation criteria. The confidentiality of information relating to other submissions will be protected. The debriefing may be provided in writing, by telephone or in person.

## **GI22 Financial capability**

1. Financial Capability Requirement: The Proponent must have the financial capability to fulfill this requirement. To determine the Proponent's financial capability, the Contracting Authority may, by written notice to the Proponent, require the submission of some or all of the financial information detailed below during the evaluation of proposals. The Proponent must provide the following information to the Contracting Authority within fifteen (15) working days of the request or as specified by the Contracting Authority in the notice:
  - a. Audited financial statements, if available, or the unaudited financial statements (prepared by the Proponent's outside accounting firm, if available, or prepared in-house if no external statements have been prepared) for the Proponent's last three fiscal years, or for the years that the Proponent has been in business if this is less than three years (including, as a minimum, the Balance Sheet, the Statement of Retained Earnings, the Income Statement and any notes to the statements).
  - b. If the date of the financial statements in (a) above is more than five months before the date of the request for information by the Contracting Authority, the Proponent must also provide, unless this is prohibited by legislation for public companies, the last quarterly financial statements (consisting of a Balance Sheet and a year-to-date Income Statement), as of two months before the date on which the Contracting Authority requests this information.



- 
- c. If the Proponent has not been in business for at least one full fiscal year, the following must be provided:
    - i. the opening Balance Sheet on commencement of business (in the case of a corporation, the date of incorporation); and
    - ii. the last quarterly financial statements (consisting of a Balance Sheet and a year-to-date Income Statement) as of two months before the date on which the Contracting Authority requests this information.
  - d. A certification from the Chief Financial Officer or an authorized signing officer of the Proponent that the financial information provided is complete and accurate.
  - e. A confirmation letter from all of the financial institution(s) that have provided short-term financing to the Proponent outlining the total of lines of credit granted to the Proponent and the amount of credit that remains available and not drawn upon as of one month prior to the date on which the Contracting Authority requests this information.
  - f. A detailed monthly Cash Flow Statement covering all the Proponent's activities (including the requirement) for the first two years of the requirement that is the subject of the bid solicitation, unless this is prohibited by legislation. This statement must detail the Proponent's major sources and amounts of cash and the major items of cash expenditures on a monthly basis, for all the Proponent's activities. All assumptions made should be explained as well as details of how cash shortfalls will be financed.
  - g. A detailed monthly Project Cash Flow Statement covering the first two years of the requirement that is the subject of the bid solicitation, unless this is prohibited by legislation. This statement must detail the Proponent's major sources and amounts of cash and the major items of cash expenditures, for the requirement, on a monthly basis. All assumptions made should be explained as well as details of how cash shortfalls will be financed.
2. If the Proponent is a joint venture, the financial information required by the Contracting Authority must be provided by each member of the joint venture.
  3. If the Proponent is a subsidiary of another company, then any financial information in 1. (a) to (e) above required by the Contracting Authority must be provided by the ultimate parent company. Provision of parent company financial information does not by itself satisfy the requirement for the provision of the financial information of the Proponent, and the financial capability of a parent cannot be substituted for the financial capability of the Proponent itself unless an agreement by the parent company to sign a Parental Guarantee, as drawn up by Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC), is provided with the required information.
  4. Financial Information Already Provided to PWGSC: The Proponent is not required to resubmit any financial information requested by the Contracting Authority that is already on file at PWGSC with the Contract Cost Analysis, Audit



and Policy Directorate of the Policy, Risk, Integrity and Strategic Management Sector, provided that within the above-noted time frame:

- a. the Proponent identifies to the Contracting Authority in writing the specific information that is on file and the requirement for which this information was provided; and
- b. the Proponent authorizes the use of the information for this requirement.

It is the Proponent's responsibility to confirm with the Contracting Authority that this information is still on file with PWGSC.

5. Other Information: Canada reserves the right to request from the Proponent any other information that Canada requires to conduct a complete financial capability assessment of the Proponent.
6. Confidentiality: If the Proponent provides the information required above to Canada in confidence while indicating that the disclosed information is confidential, then Canada will treat the information in a confidential manner as permitted by the *Access to Information Act*, (<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/A-1/>), R.S., 1985, c. A-1, section 20(1) (b) and (c).
7. Security: In determining the Proponent's financial capability to fulfill this requirement, Canada may consider any security the Proponent is capable of providing, at the Proponent's sole expense (for example, an irrevocable letter of credit from a registered financial institution drawn in favor of Canada, a performance guarantee from a third party or some other form of security, as determined by Canada).
8. In the event that a proposal is found to be non-compliant on the basis that the Proponent is considered not to be financially capable of performing the subject requirement, official notification shall be provided to the Proponent.

## **GI23 Performance evaluation**

Proponents shall take note that the performance of the Consultant during and upon completion of the services shall be evaluated by Canada. The evaluation includes all or some of the following criteria: Design, Quality of Results, Management, Time and Cost. Should the Consultant's performance be considered unsatisfactory, the Consultant may be declared ineligible for future contracts. The form PWGSC-TPSGC 2913-1, (<https://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/app-acq/forms/2913-1-eng.html>) SELECT - Consultant Performance Evaluation Report, is used to record the performance.

## **GI24 Proposal costs**

No payment will be made for costs incurred in the preparation and submission of a proposal in response to the Request for proposal. Costs associated with preparing and submitting a proposal, as well as any costs incurred by the Proponent associated with the evaluation of the proposal, are the sole responsibility of the Proponent.

## **GI25 Conflict of interest—unfair advantage**

1. In order to protect the integrity of the procurement process, Proponents are advised that Canada may reject a proposal in the following circumstances:
  - a. if the Proponent, any of its sub-consultants, any of their respective employees or former employees was involved in any manner in the preparation of the bid solicitation or in any situation of conflict of interest or appearance of conflict of interest;
  - b. if the Proponent, any of its sub-consultants, any of their respective employees or former employees had access to information related to the bid solicitation that was not available to other Proponents and that would, in Canada's opinion, give or appear to give the Proponent an unfair advantage.
2. The experience acquired by a Proponent who is providing or has provided the goods and services described in the bid solicitation (or similar goods or services) will not, in itself, be considered by Canada as conferring an unfair advantage or creating a conflict of interest. This Proponent remains however subject to the criteria established above.
3. Where Canada intends to reject a proposal under this section, the Contracting Authority will inform the Proponent and provide the Proponent an opportunity to make representations before making a final decision. Proponents who are in doubt about a particular situation should contact the Contracting Authority before bid closing. By submitting a proposal, the Proponent represents that it does not consider itself to be in conflict of interest nor to have an unfair advantage. The Proponent acknowledges that it is within Canada's sole discretion to determine whether a conflict of interest, unfair advantage or an appearance of conflict of interest or unfair advantage exists.

## **GI26 Limitation of liability**

Except as expressly and specifically permitted in this RFP, no Proponent or Potential Proponent shall have any claim for any compensation of any kind whatsoever in relation to this RFP, or any aspect of the procurement process, and by submitting a proposal each Proponent shall be deemed to have agreed that it has no claim.

## **GI27 Code of Conduct for Procurement—proposal**

The *Code of Conduct for Procurement*, (<https://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/app-acq/cndt-cndct/contexte-context-eng.html>) provides that Proponents must respond to bid solicitations in an honest, fair and comprehensive manner, accurately reflect their capacity to satisfy the requirements set out in the bid solicitation and resulting contract, submit bids and enter into contracts only if they will fulfill all obligations of the Contract. By submitting a bid, the Proponent is certifying that it is complying with the *Code of Conduct for Procurement*. Failure to comply with the *Code of Conduct for Procurement* may render the bid non-responsive.

---

## TERMS, CONDITIONS AND CLAUSES

### AGREEMENT

1. The Consultant understands and agrees that upon acceptance of the offer by Canada, a binding Agreement shall be formed between Canada and the Consultant and the documents forming the Agreement shall be the following:
  - (a) the Front Page and this Agreement clause;
  - (b) the General Terms, Conditions and Clauses, as amended, identified as:
    - R1210D (2018-06-21), General Condition (GC) 1 - General Provisions – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
    - R1215D (2016-01-28), General Condition (GC) 2 - Administration of the Contract – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
    - R1220D (2015-02-25), General Condition (GC) 3 - Consultant Services
    - R1225D (2015-04-01), General Condition (GC) 4 - Intellectual Property
    - R1230D (2018-06-21), General Condition (GC) 5 - Terms of Payment – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
    - R1235D (2011-05-16), General Condition (GC) 6 - Changes
    - R1240D (2018-06-21), General Condition (GC) 7 - Taking the Services Out of the Consultant's Hands, Suspension or Termination
    - R1245D (2016-01-28), General Condition (GC) 8 - Dispute Resolution – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
    - R1250D (2017-11-28) R1650D (2017-11-28), General Condition (GC) 9 - Indemnification and Insurance
  - (c) Supplementary Conditions
  - (d) Agreement Particulars
  - (e) Project Brief / Terms of Reference;
  - (f) the document entitled "Doing Business with PWGSC Documentation and Deliverables Manual";
  - (g) any amendment to the solicitation document incorporated in the Agreement before the date of the Agreement;
  - (h) the Phase One proposal and Declaration/Certifications Form;
  - (i) the Phase Two proposal and Price Proposal Form.
2. The documents identified above by title, number and date are hereby incorporated by reference into and form part of this Agreement, as though expressly set out herein, subject to any other express terms and conditions herein contained.

The documents identified above by title, number and date are set out in the Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions (SACC) Manual, issued by Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC). The SACC Manual is available on the PWGSC Web site: <https://buyandsell.gc.ca/policy-and-guidelines/standard-acquisition-clauses-and-conditions-manual>

3. If there is a discrepancy between the wording of any documents that appear on the following list, the wording of the document that first appears on the list has priority over the wording of any document that subsequently appears on the list.
- (a) any amendment or variation in the Agreement that is made in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Agreement;
  - (b) any amendment to the solicitation document incorporated in the Agreement before the date of the Agreement;
  - (c) this Agreement clause;
  - (d) Supplementary Conditions;
  - (e) General Terms, Conditions and Clauses;
  - (f) Agreement Particulars;
  - (g) Project Brief / Terms of Reference;
  - (h) the document entitled "Doing Business with PWGSC Documentation and Deliverables Manual";
  - (i) the proposal.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY CONDITIONS (SC)**

### **SC1 LANGUAGE REQUIREMENTS**

Use the following in Agreements where the consultant must be capable to provide services in both official languages.

1. Communication between Canada and the Consultant shall be in the language of choice of the Consultant Team, which shall be deemed to be the language of the Consultant's proposal.
2. The Consultant's services during construction tender call (such as addenda preparation, tenderers' briefing meetings, technical answers to questions by proponents, including translation of proponent's questions) shall be provided expeditiously in both languages, as necessary.
3. The Consultant's services during construction shall be provided in the language of choice of the Contractor. The successful Contractor will be asked to commit to one or other of Canada's official languages upon award of the Construction Contract and, thereafter construction and contract administration services will be conducted in the language chosen by the Contractor.
4. Other required services in both of Canada's official languages (such as construction documentation) are described in detail in the Project Brief.
5. The Consultant Team, including the Prime Consultant, Sub-Consultants and Specialists Consultants shall ensure that the services being provided in either language shall be to a professional standard.

## **SC2 FEDERAL CONTRACTORS PROGRAM FOR EMPLOYMENT EQUITY - DEFAULT BY THE CONSULTANT**

The Consultant understands and agrees that, when an Agreement to Implement Employment Equity (AIEE) exists between the Consultant and Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC)-Labour, the AIEE must remain valid during the entire period of the contract. If the AIEE becomes invalid, the name of the Consultant will be added to the "FCP Limited Eligibility to Bid" list. The imposition of such a sanction by ESDC will constitute the Consultant in default as per the terms of the contract.

### **AGREEMENT PARTICULARS**

The Agreement Particulars will be issued at time of award of contract and will identify the fee to be paid to the Consultant for the services determined in the Price Proposal Form.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## **SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND**

## **EVALUATION**

SRE 1 General Information  
SRE 2 Proposal Requirements  
SRE 3 Phase One Submission Requirements and Evaluation  
SRE 4 Phase Two Submission Requirements and Evaluation  
SRE 5 Price of Services  
SRE 6 Total Score  
SRE 7 Submission Requirements – Checklist

---

## SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION

### SRE 1 GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 1.1 Reference to the Selection Procedure

An 'Overview of the selection procedure' can be found in R1110T General Instructions to Proponents (GI3).

#### 1.2 Calculation of Total Score

For this project the Total Score will be established as follows:

Phase One Rating x 40%	=	Phase One Score (Points)
Phase Two Technical Rating x 50%	=	Technical Score (Points)
<u>Phase Two Price Rating x 10%</u>	=	<u>Price Score (Points)</u>
Total Score	=	Max. 100 Points

### SRE 2 PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

#### 2.1 Requirement for Proposal Format (for phases one and two)

The following proposal format information should be implemented when preparing the Phase One and Phase Two proposals.

- Phase One - Submit one (1) bound original
- Phase Two - Submit one (1) bound original
- Paper size must be - 216mm x 279mm (8.5" x 11")
- Minimum font size - 11 point Times New Roman or equal
- Minimum margins - 12 mm left, right, top, and bottom
- Double-sided submissions are preferred
- One (1) 'page' means one side of a 216mm x 279mm (8.5" x 11") sheet of paper
- 279mm x 432 mm (11" x 17") fold-out sheets for spreadsheets, organizational charts, etc. will be counted as two pages.
- The order of the proposals should follow the order established in the Request for Proposal SRE section

---

## **2.2 Phase One Specific Requirements for Proposal Format**

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE 3.2 is thirty (30) pages.

The following are not part of the page limitation mentioned above;

- Covering letter
- Consultant Team Identification
- Declaration/Certifications Form
- Integrity Provisions – Required Documentation

***Consequence of non-compliance: any pages which extend beyond the above page limitation and any other attachments will be extracted from the proposal and will not be forwarded to the PWGSC Evaluation Board members for evaluation.***

## **2.3 Phase Two Specific Requirements for Proposal Format**

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE 4.2 is thirty (30) pages.

The following are not part of the page limitation mentioned above;

- Covering letter
- Consultant Team Verification
- Front page of the RFP
- Front page of revision(s) to the RFP
- Price Proposal Form

***Consequence of non-compliance: any pages which extend beyond the above page limitation and any other attachments will be extracted from the proposal and will not be forwarded to the PWGSC Evaluation Board members for evaluation.***

## **SRE 3 PHASE ONE SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION**

### **3.1 MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS**

Failure to meet the mandatory requirements will render the proposal as non-responsive and no further evaluation will be carried out.

#### **3.1.1 Licensing, Certification or Authorization**

The proponent shall be an Architect, licensed, or eligible to be licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by provincial or territorial law in the province of New Brunswick.



### **3.1.2 Consultant Team Identification**

The consultant team to be identified at Phase One must include the following:

Proponent (prime consultant)

1. Architect

Key Sub-consultants / Specialists

1. Landscape Architect
2. Civil Engineer
3. Mechanical Engineer
4. Structural Engineer
5. Electrical Engineer
6. Electronic Security Systems Specialist
7. Building Code Specialist
8. Sustainability Specialist
9. Cost Consultant.

If the proponent proposes to provide multidisciplinary services that might normally be provided by a sub-consultant, this should be indicated here.

Information required - name of firm, key personnel to be assigned to the project. For the prime consultant, indicate current license and/or how you intend to meet the provincial or territorial licensing requirements. In the case of a joint venture identify the existing or proposed legal form of the joint venture (refer to R1110T General Instructions to Proponents, GI9 Limitation of Submissions).

Proponents are required to carry over the consultant team identified in Phase One to Phase Two.

### **3.1.3 Indigenous Benefit Plan**

This RFP requires proponents to include an Indigenous Benefit Plan (IBP). Meaningful engagement with Indigenous peoples across Canada is a priority of the federal government and our Departments. Canada has worked successfully in the past to leverage training opportunities, employment and capacity building for Indigenous peoples and is pleased to continue working collaboratively with Indigenous communities and stakeholders on federal projects. In particular, this project is expected to provide opportunity for an Indigenous Architect.

The consultant team to be identified at Phase One must include the following:

## Key Sub-consultants / Specialists

### 1. Indigenous Architect

#### 3.1.3.1 Indigenous Architect

The Indigenous Architect promotes culturally safe spaces for Indigenous patients so the functional programming considers spaces that integrate and promote a holistic approach to health and wellness.

##### 3.1.3.1.1 Indigenous Architect Roles and Responsibilities

1. Review existing CSC research around Indigenous persons in custody;
2. Identify the Indigenous program and spaces at the Dorchester Penitentiary Complex;
3. Provide guidance and expert advice on the spatial requirements to successfully implement diverse cultural and healing practices within a hospital setting, which will be complimentary to the existing healing facilities at the Dorchester Penitentiary Complex. These ideas and rational will be part of the Pre-Design final report;
4. Attend meetings, workshops and participate in the design team decision making;
5. Contribute to RS1 and RS2 design reports.

Attached in Annex "A" is a complete list of identified known resources available, IBP Capacity Assessment.

#### 3.1.4 Declaration/Certifications Form

Proponents must complete, sign and submit the following:

- Appendix B, Declaration/Certifications Form as required

#### 3.1.5 Integrity Provisions – Required Documentation

In accordance with the Ineligibility and Suspension Policy (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>), the Proponent must provide, **as applicable**, to be given further consideration in the procurement process, the required documentation as per R1110T (2017-08-17), General instructions 1 (GI1), Integrity Provisions – Proposal, **section 3a**.

## 3.2 RATED REQUIREMENTS

The evaluation criteria for the Phase One proposal addresses only the previous achievements and experiences of the proposed Consultant Team. No material is to be prepared or presented on the subject project itself. The Phase One proposal provides the opportunity for proponents to present their past work in the context of the proposed project. It is at this time that interested firms submit to PWGSC a history of their

accomplishments in order to establish the capabilities of their teams and lead designers as well as other key team members.

### 3.2.1 Achievements of Proponent on Projects

Describe the Proponent's accomplishments, achievements and experience as prime consultant on projects.

Select a **maximum** of 3 health care facility projects completed within the last 8 years from the closing date of this solicitation. Joint venture submissions are not to exceed the maximum number of projects. Only the first 3 projects listed in sequence will receive consideration and any others will receive none as though not included.

Information that should be supplied:

- clearly indicate how this project is comparable/relevant to the requested project.
- brief project description and intent. Narratives should include a discussion of design philosophy / approach to meet the intent, design challenges and resolutions.
- budget control and management - i.e. explain variation of contract price & final construction cost
- project schedule control and management - i.e. explain variation of initial schedule and revised schedule
- client references - name, address, phone and fax of client contact at working level - references may be checked
- names of key personnel responsible for project delivery
- awards received

The Proponent (as defined in R1110T General Instructions to Proponents, GI2 Definitions) must possess the knowledge on the above projects. Past project experience from entities other than the Proponent will not be considered in the evaluation unless these entities form part of a joint venture Proponent.

Please indicate those projects which were carried out in joint venture and the responsibilities of each of the involved entities in each project.

### 3.2.2 Achievements of Key Sub-consultants and Specialists on Projects

Describe the accomplishments, achievements and experience either as prime consultant or in a sub-consultant capacity on projects. If the Proponent proposes to provide multi-disciplinary services which might otherwise be performed by a sub-consultant, this should be reflected here.

Select a **maximum** of 2 health care facility projects, completed within the last 8 years from the closing date of this solicitation, per key sub consultant or specialist. Only the

---

first 2 projects listed in sequence (per key sub consultant or specialist) will receive consideration and any others will receive none as though not included.

Information that should be supplied:

- clearly indicate how this project is comparable/relevant to the requested project.
- brief project description and intent. Narratives should include a discussion of design philosophy / approach to meet the intent, design challenges and resolutions.
- budget control and management - i.e. explain variation between contract price & final construction cost
- project schedule control and management - i.e. explain variation between initial schedule and revised schedule
- client references - name, address, phone and fax of client contact at working level - references may be checked
- names of key personnel responsible for project delivery
- awards received

### **3.2.3 Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects**

Describe the experience and performance of key personnel to be assigned to this project regardless of their past association with the current proponent firm. This is the opportunity to emphasize the strengths of the individuals on the team, to recognize their past responsibilities, commitments and achievements.

Information that should be supplied for each key personnel:

- professional accreditation
- accomplishments/achievements/awards
- relevant experience, expertise, number of years' experience
- role, responsibility and degree of involvement of individual in past projects

### **3.3 EVALUATION AND RATING**

Past experience of the Proponent and the consultant team will be evaluated at the Phase One submission stage and the scores for this evaluation will be carried over to the Phase Two submission.

Phase One proposals which are responsive will be reviewed, evaluated and rated by a PWGSC Evaluation Board in accordance with the following:

Criterion	Weight Factor	Rating	Weighted Rating
Achievements of Proponent	4.0	0 - 10	0 - 40
Achievements of Key Sub-consultants / Specialists	3.0	0 - 10	0 - 30
Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects	3.0	0 - 10	0 - 30
Phase One Rating	10.0		0 - 100

The Phase One rating which is assigned to each responsive proposal in accordance with the procedure outlined in the General Instructions to Proponents is the total weighted rating assigned to the Phase One proposal in accordance with the above table. The Phase One rating is recorded for subsequent inclusion as a percentage of the total score to be established following the evaluation and rating of Phase Two proposals.

### Generic Evaluation Table

PWGSC Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Proponent's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with even numbers (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the generic evaluation table below:

	INADEQUATE	WEAK	ADEQUATE	FULLY SATISFACTORY	STRONG
0 point	2 points	4 points	6 points	8 points	10 points
Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Lacks complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements.	Has some understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understanding in some areas of the requirements.	Demonstrates a good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates a very good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the requirements.
	Weaknesses cannot be corrected	Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected	Weaknesses can be corrected	No significant weaknesses	No apparent weaknesses

	Proponent do not possess qualifications and experience	Proponent lacks qualifications and experience	Proponent has an acceptable level of qualifications and experience	Proponent is qualified and experienced	Proponent is highly qualified and experienced
	Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements	Team does not cover all components or overall experience is weak	Team covers most components and will likely meet requirements	Team covers all components - some members have worked successfully together	Strong team - has worked successfully together on comparable projects
	Sample projects not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally related to this requirement	Sample projects directly related to this requirement	Leads in sample projects directly related to this requirement
	Extremely poor, insufficient to meet performance requirements	Little capability to meet performance requirements	Acceptable capability, should ensure adequate results	Satisfactory capability, should ensure effective results	Superior capability, should ensure very effective results

\*this table applies to all rated requirement except for the Presentation (see 4.2.6)

## **SRE 4 PHASE TWO SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUTION**

### **4.1 MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS**

Only those submissions from proponents that have met the following requirements will be evaluated and rated by a PWGSC Evaluation Board:

4.1.1 Having submitted a responsive Phase One proposal

4.1.2 Consultant Team Verification submittal of a statement indicating the Consultant Team identified in Phase One is being carried over to Phase Two.

### **4.2 RATED REQUIREMENTS**

The following requirements will be evaluated and rated by a PWGSC Evaluation Board. The price proposal of each Proponent may or may not be opened.

#### **4.2.1 Understanding of the Project:**

The proponent should demonstrate understanding of the goals of the project, the functional/technical requirements, the constraints and the issues that will shape the end product.

##### Information that should be supplied:

- The functional and technical requirements
- Broader goals (sustainable development, inclusivity, accessibility, healing)
- Significant issues, challenges and constraints in health care in a detention context
- Incorporating innovation, excellence, forward thinking best practices
- Project schedule and cost. Review schedule and cost information and assess risk management elements that may affect the project
- The Client User's philosophies and values

#### **4.2.2 Scope of Services:**

The proponent should demonstrate capability to perform the services and meet project challenges and to provide a plan of action.

##### Information that should be supplied:

- Scope of Services - detailed list of services
- Work Plan - detailed breakdown of work tasks and deliverables
- Project schedule - proposed major milestone schedule
- Risk management strategy

#### **4.2.3 Management of Services:**

The Proponent should describe how he /she proposes to perform the services and meet the constraints; how the services will be managed to ensure continuing and consistent control as well as production and communication efficiency; how the team will be organized and how it will fit in the existing structure of the firms; to describe how the team will be managed. The proponent is also to identify sub-consultant disciplines and specialists required to complete the consultant team.

If the Proponent proposes to provide multi-disciplinary services which might otherwise be performed by a sub-consultant, this should be reflected here.

##### Information that should be supplied:

- Confirm the makeup of the full project team including the names of the consultant sub-consultants and specialist personnel and their role on the project.

- Organizational chart with position titles and names (Consultant team). Joint Venture business plan, team structure and responsibilities, if applicable
- What back-up will be committed
- Profiles of the key positions (specific assignments and responsibilities)
- Outline of an action plan of the services with implementation strategies and sequence of main activities
- Reporting relationships
- Communication strategies
- Response time: demonstrate how the response time requirements will be met.

#### **4.2.5 Design Philosophy / Approach / Methodology**

The proponent should elaborate on aspects of the project considered to be a major challenge which will illustrate design philosophy / approach / methodology. This is the opportunity for the Proponent to state the overall design philosophy of the team as well as their approach of resolving design issues and in particular to focus on the unique aspects of the current project.

##### Information that should be supplied:

- Design Philosophy / Approach / Methodology
- Describe the major challenges and how your team approach will be applied to those particular challenges.
- Demonstrate how you approach inclusivity, diversity and your understanding of gender neutral design.
- Describe your methodology and your design process that takes in consideration the trauma-informed design practices.
- Elaborate on how you think architecture (space, materials, light, scale, views, etc.) can support the patient healing process.
- How do you promote design excellence throughout your activities and your company?

#### **4.2.6 PRESENTATION**

As the pre-design prime consultant, you will be required to spend significant consultation time and effort with several levels of stakeholders (PSPC, CSC, users/patients, correctional officers, medical staff, health professionals, municipality of Dorchester relating to the facility construction, Indigenous Leaders, etc.). You will necessitate the ability to effectively lead workshops, to communicate efficiently and to present concisely your work.

A proponent presentation answering the following questions will give the evaluation committee a chance to rate the proponent on their communication skills.

- 1) General: Why do you feel your proponent team is the most suitable for this



---

project?

- 2) Schedule: With respect to milestones during the pre-design and schematic design phase, describe the strategies and tools that you will use to monitor project progress and keep targeted schedules.
- 3) Communication: Describe your plan for communicating within your design team as well as communication with PSPC, CSC client group and other stakeholders to ensure that details are not overlooked, decisions are dealt with in a timely fashion and that information is current and coordinated in the report. Describe examples of success you had in the past.
- 4) Engagement: As this is a multiyear pre-design project, tell us how your firm will ensure engagement with CSC and all its collaborators. Tell us also how you sustain engagement from your collaborators, staff and all of your consultants.
- 5) Presentation: Give us an overview of how you will engage with patients and how you intend to approach diversity, inclusions and over representation of minorities in detention.

Rating is based only on the communication aspect of the presentation (organization and delivery), not the content. The Proponent's Presentation will be evaluated on:

- a) Presentation Structure: The presentation should be easy to follow based on a clear and logical structure. Visuals and images should emphasize and illustrate key points and slides should be easy to read containing no unnecessary information. Information presented must be consistent with written proposal.
- b) Method of Delivery: The presentation should engage the audience from start to finish. It should be clear that the Presenter built the slide structure based on a strong presentation outline and sufficiently rehearsed the presentation.
- c) Style of Delivery: The presenter should use body language and voice clarity to emphasize key points and animate the presentation.

The intent of the presentation required herein is to evaluate the Proponent's ability to effectively present their philosophy, approach and methodology for consultation and consensus building with a varied group of stakeholders. The Proponent's presentation will therefore solely focus on the approach to engagement and the content limited preparing the pre-design report.

It is required that the presenters be personnel that will form of the Consultant team and include individuals who will present/lead during the development and implementation of the project. The proponent team will be required to make a maximum of thirty (30) minute presentation to the PWGSC evaluation board. Proponents must be available to

make the presentation within two (2) weeks of being contacted by PWGSC. A maximum of four (4) representatives per Proponent will be allowed. The proponent team can make use of audio/visual material as they wish. Presentations can be made in-person in Moncton, NB or via videoconferencing. Travel expenditures will not be reimbursed.

The presentation will be scored on consistency with the written proposal, and the communication and presentation skills of the individuals on the Proponent team. New information not provided in the Proponent's response to the RFP will not be permitted and will result in fewer points. Presentations will last a total of 30 minutes.

The information sought and scoring method shall be as follows:

### Presentation Evaluation Table

PWGSC Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Proponent's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with even numbers (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the presentation evaluation table below:

	INADEQUATE	WEAK	ADEQUATE	FULLY SATISFACTORY	STRONG
0 point	2 points	4 points	6 points	8 points	10 points
Did not submit information which could be evaluated	<i>Presentation was difficult to follow and lacked a coherent organization and structure.</i>	<i>Presentation was less than adequate. The presentation had a weak structure and visuals lacked relevance to presentation.</i>	<i>Presentation was acceptable but would benefit from a better organization or structure and images sometimes lacked relevance to the presentation.</i>	<i>Presentation was very good, well organized with good structure making it easy to follow.</i>	<i>Presentation was excellent with a clear logical organization and structure, using high-quality visuals and images of clear relevance.</i>
	Weaknesses cannot be corrected	Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected	Weaknesses can be corrected	No significant weaknesses	No apparent weaknesses
	<i>The presentation contained limited visuals/images with limited relevance to the topic and new information was presented that was not part of the written proposal</i>	<i>The presentation contained limited visuals/images with limited relevance to the topic and new information was presented that was not part of the written proposal</i>	<i>Some effort was required to link the presentation with the proposal.</i>	<i>Information presented was consistent with proposal.</i>	<i>Information presented was consistent with the proposal.</i>

	<i>The Presenter lacked confidence in delivery and made no eye contact or connection with the audience.</i>	<i>The Presenter was limited in their engagement or use of body language and had difficulty maintaining a connection with the audience.</i>	<i>The Presenter did not engage or use body language consistently throughout the presentation to connect with the audience.</i>	<i>The Presenter was mostly engaging throughout the presentation, spoke clearly and made eye contact connecting with the audience.</i>	<i>The Presenter was engaging throughout the presentation, spoke confidently and clearly and made eye contact to connect with the audience. The Presenter did not read from the slide.</i>
	Extremely poor, insufficient to meet performance requirements	Little capability to meet performance requirements	Acceptable capability, should ensure adequate results	Satisfactory capability, should ensure effective results	Superior capability, should ensure very effective results

## 4.3 EVALUATION AND RATING

### 4.3.1 Technical Rating

Phase Two proposals that are responsive (i.e. which meet all the mandatory requirements set out in the RFP) will be reviewed, evaluated and rated by a PWGSC Evaluation Board. In the first instance, price envelopes will remain sealed and only the technical components of the Phase Two proposal will be evaluated in accordance with the following to establish Technical Ratings:

Criterion	Weight Factor	Rating	Weighted Rating
Understanding of the Project - technical, schedule & cost	3.0	0 - 10	0 - 30
Scope of Services	2.0	0 - 10	0 - 20
Management of Services	2.0	0 - 10	0 - 20
Design Philosophy/Approach/Methodology	2.5	0 - 10	0 - 25
Presentation	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
Phase Two Technical Rating	10.0		0 - 100

### Generic Evaluation Table

PWGSC Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Proponent's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with even numbers (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the generic evaluation table found in the above section 3.3 Evaluation and Rating.

#### 4.3.2 Combined Technical Rating

The Phase One Rating and Phase Two Technical Rating will be combined to establish a Combined Technical Score:

Combined Rating	Possible Range	% of Total Score	Score (Points)
Phase One Rating	0 - 100	40	0 - 40
Phase Two Technical Rating	0 - 100	50	0 - 50
Combined Technical Score		90	0 - 90

To be considered further, proponents **must** achieve a minimum Combined Technical Score of forty-five (45) points out of the ninety (90) points available as specified above.

**No further consideration will be given to proponents not achieving the pass mark of forty-five (45) points.**

#### SRE 5 PRICE OF SERVICES

All price proposal envelopes corresponding to responsive proposals which have achieved the pass mark of forty-five (45) points will be opened upon completion of the technical evaluation. When there are three or more responsive proposals, an average price is determined by adding all the price proposals together and dividing the total by the number of price proposals being opened. This calculation will not be conducted when one or two responsive proposals are received.

All price proposals which are greater than 25 percent above the average price will cause their respective complete proposals to be set aside and receive no further consideration.

The remaining price proposals are rated as follows:

- A. The lowest price proposal receives a Price Rating of 100
- B. The second, third, fourth and fifth lowest prices receive Price Ratings of 80, 60, 40, and 20 respectively. All other price proposals receive a Price Rating of 0.
- C. On the rare occasions where two (or more) price proposals are identical, the matching price proposals receive the same rating and the corresponding number of following ratings are skipped.

The Price Rating is multiplied by the applicable percentage to establish the Price Score.

#### SRE 6 TOTAL SCORE

Total Scores will be established in accordance with the following:

Rating	Possible Range	% of Total Score	Score (Points)
Phase One Rating	0 - 100	40	0 - 40
Phase Two Technical Rating	0 - 100	50	0 - 50
Price Rating	0 - 100	10	0 - 10
Total Score		100	0 - 100

The Proponent receiving the highest Total Score is the first entity that the Evaluation Board will recommend for the provision of the required services. In the case of a tie, the proponent submitting the lower price for the services will be selected.

## SRE 7 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS - CHECKLIST

The following list of documents and forms is provided with the intention of assisting the Proponent in ensuring a complete submission. The Proponent is responsible for meeting all submission requirements.

Please follow detailed instructions in R1110T General Instructions to proponents, GI16 Submission of proposal, as amended in SI2 Proposal documents. Proponents may choose to introduce their submissions with a cover letter.

### PHASE ONE:

- ☐ Team Identification
- ☐ Declaration/Certifications Form - completed and signed - form provided in Appendix B
- ☐ Proposal - one (1) original plus five (5) copies
- ☐ Integrity Provisions – Required documentation – **as applicable** in accordance with the Ineligibility and Suspension Policy (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>) and as per R1110T (2017-08-17), General instructions 1 (GI1), Integrity Provisions – Proposal, **section 3a**.
- ☐ Integrity Provisions - Declaration of Convicted Offences – **with its bid, as applicable** in accordance with the Ineligibility and Suspension Policy (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>) and as per R1110T (2017-08-17), General instructions 1 (GI1), Integrity Provisions – Proposal, **section 3b**.

### PHASE TWO:

- ☐ Verification of Team - confirmed Phase One team identification information
- ☐ Proposal - one (1) original plus five (5) copies
- ☐ Front page of RFP
- ☐ Front page(s) of any solicitation amendment

In a separate envelope:

- ☐ Price Proposal Form - one (1) completed and submitted in a separate envelope

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## Project Brief

## Listed in Attachments

## Annex A – IBP CAPACITY ASSESSMENT

Name	Firm/Organization	Contact Number	Email address
Shawn Bailey	University of Manitoba	807-464-1151	shawn.bailey@umanitoba.ca
David Kitazaki	DK Architecture	640-506-5426	dk@dkarchitecture.ca
David Fortin	David T Fortin Architect Inc	705-929-5848	david@dtf-arch.com
Jason Surkan	David T Fortin Architect Inc	306-280-5588	jason@dtf-arch.com
Meighan Giesbrecht	Casola Koppe Architects	204-430-2422	meighan.g@gmail.com
Ryan Gorrie	Brook McIlroy Inc.	204-515-0780 x401	rgorrie@brookmcilroy.com
Danny Roy	Brook McIlroy Inc	416-504-5997 x235	droy@brookmcilroy.com
Ray Gosselin	Ray Gosselin Architect Limited	306-522-3720	ray@rgal.ca
Matthew Hickey Brian Porter	Two Row Architect	519-445-2137	<a href="mailto:matt@tworow.com">matt@tworow.com</a> <a href="mailto:info@tworow.com">info@tworow.com</a>
Alanna Quock	Regenerative Design	604-346-8820	alanna@regenativedesign.com
Patrick Stewart	Patrick R. Stewart Architect	778-246-1862	patrickreidstewart@gmail.com

## APPENDIX A - TEAM IDENTIFICATION FORMAT

For details on this format, please see SRE in the Request For Proposal.

The prime consultant and other members of the Consultant Team shall be, or eligible to be, licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by provincial or territorial law.

### I. Prime Consultant (Proponent - Architect):

Firm or Joint Venture Name: .....

.....

.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....

.....

.....

.....

### II. Key Sub Consultants / Specialists:

#### Landscape Architect

Firm Name: .....

.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....

.....

.....

#### Indigenous Architect

Firm Name: .....

.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....

.....

.....



Civil Engineer

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Mechanical Engineer

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Structural Engineer

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Electrical Engineer

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Electronic Security Systems Specialist

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Buildign Code Specialist

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Sustainability Specialist

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Cost Consultant

Firm Name: .....  
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....  
.....  
.....

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## APPENDIX B - DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS FORM

**Project Title:**

**Name of Proponent:**

**Street Address:**

**Mailing Address:**

**Telephone Number:** (    )

**Fax Number:** (    )

**E-Mail:**

**Procurement Business Number:**

<b>Type of Organization:</b>  _____ Sole Proprietorship  _____ Partnership  _____ Corporation  _____ Joint Venture	<b>Size of Organization:</b>  Number of Employees _____  Graduate Architects / Professional Engineers _____  Other Professionals _____  Technical Support _____  Other _____
--	---

---

## APPENDIX B - DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS FORM (CONT'D)

### Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity - Certification

I, the Proponent, by submitting the present information to the Contracting Authority, certify that the information provided is true as of the date indicated below. The certifications provided to Canada are subject to verification at all times. I understand that Canada will declare a proposal non-responsive, or will declare a consultant in default, if a certification is found to be untrue, whether during the proposal evaluation period or during the contract period. Canada will have the right to ask for additional information to verify the Proponent's certifications. Failure to comply with any request or requirement imposed by Canada may render the proposal non-responsive or constitute a default under the contract.

For further information on the Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity visit Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC)-Labour's website.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ (YY/MM/DD) (If left blank, the date will be deemed to be the bid closing date.)

Complete both A and B.

A. Check only one of the following:

- ☐ A1. The Proponent certifies having no work force in Canada.
- ☐ A2. The Proponent certifies being a public sector employer.
- ☐ A3. The Proponent certifies being a federally regulated employer being subject to the *Employment Equity Act*.
- ☐ A4. The Proponent certifies having a combined work force in Canada of less than 100 permanent full-time and/or permanent part-time employees.
- A5. The Proponent has a combined work force in Canada of 100 or more employees;  
and

---

## APPENDIX B - DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS FORM (CONT'D)

- ( ) A5.1. The Proponent certifies already having a valid and current Agreement to Implement Employment Equity (AIEE) in place with ESDC-Labour.

**OR**

- ( ) A5.2. The Proponent certifies having submitted the Agreement to Implement Employment Equity (LAB1168) to ESDC-Labour. As this is a condition to contract award, proceed to completing the form Agreement to Implement Employment Equity (LAB1168), duly signing it, and transmit it to ESDC-Labour.

B. Check only one of the following:

- ( ) B1. The Proponent is not a Joint Venture.

**OR**

- ( ) B2. The Proponent is a Joint Venture and each member of the Joint Venture must provide the Contracting Authority with a completed Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity - Certification. (Refer to the Joint Venture section of the General Instructions)

---

## APPENDIX B - DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS FORM (CONT'D)

---

### Former Public Servant (FPS) - Certification

Contracts awarded to former public servants (FPS) in receipt of a pension or of a lump sum payment must bear the closest public scrutiny, and reflect fairness in the spending of public funds. In order to comply with Treasury Board policies and directives on contracts awarded to FPS, proponents must provide the information required below before contract award. If the answer to the questions and, as applicable the information required have not been received by the time the evaluation of proposals is completed, Canada will inform the Proponent of a time frame within which to provide the information. Failure to comply with Canada's request and meet the requirement within the prescribed time frame will render the proposal non-responsive.

### Definitions

For the purposes of this clause,

"former public servant" is any former member of a department as defined in the *Financial Administration Act*, R.S., 1985, c. F-11, a former member of the Canadian Armed Forces or a former member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. A former public servant may be:

- (a) an individual;
- (b) an individual who has incorporated;
- (c) a partnership made of former public servants; or
- (d) a sole proprietorship or entity where the affected individual has a controlling or major interest in the entity.

"lump sum payment period" means the period measured in weeks of salary, for which payment has been made to facilitate the transition to retirement or to other employment as a result of the implementation of various programs to reduce the size of the Public Service. The lump sum payment period does not include the period of severance pay, which is measured in a like manner.

"pension" means a pension or annual allowance paid under the *Public Service Superannuation Act* (PSSA), R.S., 1985, c.P-36, and any increases paid pursuant to the *Supplementary Retirement Benefits Act*, R.S., 1985, c.S-24 as it affects the PSSA. It does not include pensions payable pursuant to the *Canadian Forces Superannuation Act*, R.S., 1985, c.C-17, the *Defence Services Pension Continuation Act*, 1970, c.D-3, the *Royal Canadian Mounted Police Pension Continuation Act*, 1970, c.R-10, and the *Royal Canadian Mounted Police Superannuation Act*, R.S., 1985, c.R-11, the *Members of Parliament Retiring Allowances Act*, R.S., 1985, c.M-5, and that portion of pension payable to the *Canada Pension Plan Act*, R.S., 1985, c.C-8.

---

## APPENDIX B - DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS FORM (CONT'D)

### Former Public Servant in Receipt of a Pension

As per the above definitions, is the Proponent a FPS in receipt of a pension?

YES ( ) NO ( )

If so, the Proponent must provide the following information, for all FPS in receipt of a pension, as applicable:

- (a) name of former public servant;
- (b) date of termination of employment or retirement from the Public Service.

By providing this information, proponents agree that the successful Proponent's status, with respect to being a former public servant in receipt of a pension, will be reported on departmental websites as part of the published proactive disclosure reports in accordance with Contracting Policy Notice: 2019-01 and the Guidelines on the Proactive Disclosure of Contracts.

### Work Force Adjustment Directive

Is the Proponent a FPS who received a lump sum payment pursuant to the terms of a work force reduction program? YES ( ) NO ( )

If so, the Proponent must provide the following information:

- (a) name of former public servant;
- (b) conditions of the lump sum payment incentive;
- (c) date of termination of employment;
- (d) amount of lump sum payment;
- (e) rate of pay on which lump sum payment is based;
- (f) period of lump sum payment including start date, end date and number of weeks;
- (g) number and amount (professional fees) of other contracts subject to the restrictions of a work force adjustment program.

## APPENDIX B - DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS FORM (CONT'D)

### Name of Proponent:

#### DECLARATION:

I, the undersigned, being a principal of the proponent, hereby certify that the information given on this form and in the attached proposal is accurate to the best of my knowledge. If any proposal is submitted by a partnership or joint venture, then the following is required from each component entity.

.....  
name signature

.....  
title

I have authority to bind the Corporation / Partnership / Sole Proprietorship / Joint Venture

.....  
name signature

.....  
title

I have authority to bind the Corporation / Partnership / Sole Proprietorship / Joint Venture

.....  
name signature

.....  
title

I have authority to bind the Corporation / Partnership / Sole Proprietorship / Joint Venture

During proposal evaluation period, PWGSC contact will be with the following person: \_\_\_\_\_.

Telephone Number: (    ) \_\_\_\_\_ Fax Number: (    ) \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

This Appendix "B" should be completed and submitted with the Phase One proposal, but may be submitted afterwards as follows: if Appendix "B" is not completed and submitted with the proposal, the Contracting Authority will inform the Proponent of a time frame within which to provide the information. Failure to comply with the request of the Contracting Authority and to provide the certifications within the time frame provided will render the proposal non-responsive.



Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## APPENDIX C - PRICE PROPOSAL FORM

### INSTRUCTIONS:

- Complete this Price Proposal Form and submit in accordance with the instructions in this solicitation.
- Price Proposals are not to include Applicable Taxes.
- PROPOSERS SHALL NOT ALTER THIS FORM

**Project Title:**

**Name of Proponent:**

---

**The following will form part of the evaluation process:**

---

### REQUIRED SERVICES

**Fixed Fee (R1230D (2018-06-21), GC 5 - Terms of Payment – Architectural and/or Engineering Services)**

SERVICES	FIXED FEE
RS1 Pre-Design Services	\$.....
RS2 Schematic Design	\$.....
RS8 Estimating and Cost Planning	\$.....
RS9 Sustainable Development Strategies and Reports	\$.....

**MAXIMUM FIXED FEES** \$.....

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## APPENDIX C - PRICE PROPOSAL FORM (CONT'D)

---

---

### The following will NOT form part of the evaluation process

---

Canada may accept or reject any of the following fees, disbursements and/or hourly rates. Canada reserves the right to negotiate on these fees, disbursements and/or hourly rates.

#### DISBURSEMENTS

**At cost without allowance for mark-up or profit, supported by invoices/receipts - see clause R1230D (2018-06-21), GC 5 - Terms of Payment– Architectural and/or Engineering Services, section GC5.12 Disbursements:**

Topographic Survey	\$100,000.00
Geotechnical Investigation	\$200,000.00
Environmental Impact Assessment	\$100,000.00
Translation Services	<u>\$10,000.00</u>

<b>MAXIMUM AMOUNT FOR DISBURSEMENTS</b>	<b><u>\$410,000.00</u></b>
---	----------------------------

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## APPENDIX C - PRICE PROPOSAL FORM (CONT'D)

### THE FOLLOWING HOURLY RATES MAY BE USED FOR FUTURE CONTRACT AMENDMENTS

#### Principals

Name	\$ per hour
.....	\$.....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$.....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$.....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$ .....
.....	\$.....

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## APPENDIX C - PRICE PROPOSAL FORM (CONT'D)

### Staff

Name / Position	\$ per hour
Architect.....	.....
Landscape Architect.....	.....
Indigenous Architect.....	.....
Mechanical Engineer.....	.....
Structural Engineer.....	.....
Civil Engineer.....	.....
Electrical Engineer.....	.....
Electronic Security Systems Specialist.....	.....
Building Code Specialist.....	.....
Sustainability Specialist.....	.....
Cost Consultant.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....
.....	.....

**END OF PRICE PROPOSAL FORM**

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EC016-211079/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
R.101386.001

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
File No. - N° du dossier

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
PWJ005  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME  
PWJ-0-43065

---

## Appendix D

### Doing Business with PWGSC Documentation and Deliverables Manual



## Doing Business with PWGSC

### Documentation and Deliverables Manual



---

## Contents

1	General .....	1
1.1	Effective Date .....	1
1.2	Authority .....	1
1.3	Purpose .....	1
1.4	Scope .....	1
1.5	Harmonization with Terms of Reference .....	1
1.6	Departmental Name Change .....	1
1.7	Terminology .....	1
1.8	Definitions .....	2
2	Construction Documents .....	3
2.1	General .....	3
2.2	Drawings .....	4
2.3	Building Information Modelling (BIM) .....	6
2.4	Specifications .....	6
2.5	Addenda .....	10
3	Cost Estimates .....	12
3.1	Cost Estimates Submission Formats .....	12
3.2	Classes of Cost Estimates for Construction Projects .....	12
4	Project Schedules .....	14
4.1	Schedule Format .....	14
4.2	Progress Report .....	14
Appendix A	Checklist for the Submission of Construction Documents .....	17
Appendix B	Drawings and Specifications Table of Contents Template .....	22
Appendix C	Addenda Formatting Template .....	23
Appendix D	Directory Structure and Naming Convention Standards for Construction Tender Documents ..	24

---

## Revisions

Version	Date	Description
0.1	August 14, 2017	Draft version for consultation.
1.0	January 12, 2018	Original Issuance



---

# **1 General**

## **1.1 Effective Date**

January 12, 2018

## **1.2 Authority**

This manual is issued by the authority of the Director General, Technical Services, Real Property Branch (RPB), Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC).

## **1.3 Purpose**

This document provides architectural and engineering (A&E) consultants with the requirements for producing deliverables for PWGSC projects in order to ensure a well-documented design process, and facilitate review by PWGSC staff.

## **1.4 Scope**

This document shall apply to design-bid-build projects undertaken by PWGSC on its own behalf as well as for other government departments (OGDs). It is applicable to all regions of PWGSC and can be supplemented with regional addendum.

## **1.5 Harmonization with Terms of Reference**

This document shall be used in conjunction with the project's Project Brief / Terms of Reference (TOR). In case of a conflict between documents, the requirements of the TOR prevail over those of this document.

## **1.6 Departmental Name Change**

In the fall of 2015, Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) was renamed Public Services and Procurement Canada (PSPC).

This name change is occurring in a phased approach, and for most documents PSPC should be used. However, all contract documents shall use the legal name Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) until the name has been changed in legislation.

## **1.7 Terminology**

This document utilizes the following terminology:

- “shall” is used to express a requirement, a provision the Consultant is obligated to meet;
- “should” is used to express a recommendation; and
- “may” is used to express an option or that which is permissible within the limits of this document.

---

## 1.8 Definitions

**Addenda:** Changes to the construction documents or tendering procedures, issued during the tendering process.

**Construction Documents:** The drawings and specifications (including addenda).

**Drawings:** The graphic means of showing work to be done, as they depict shape, dimension, location, quantity of materials and relationship between building components.

**Reports:** Written account given of a particular matter after thorough investigation or consideration prepared by the Consultant.

**Specifications:** Written descriptions of materials and construction processes in relation to quality, colour, pattern, performance and characteristics of materials, installation and quality of work requirements.

---

## 2 Construction Documents

### 2.1 General

This section provides direction to Consultant firms on the preparation of construction documents (namely specifications and drawings) to be submitted to PWGSC for real property projects across Canada.

Specifications, drawings, and addenda shall be complete and clear so that contractors can prepare bids without guesswork.

#### 2.1.1 Principles of PWGSC Contract Documents

Contact documents shall be prepared based on common public procurement principles. PWGSC does not use Canadian Construction Documents Committee (CCDC) documents.

PWGSC is responsible for preparing and issuing the construction contract and the terms and conditions as well as all other related bidding and contractual documents. For detailed information, the standard acquisition clauses and conditions commonly used by PWGSC in the contracting process are available on the [buyandsell.gc.ca](http://buyandsell.gc.ca) website.

#### 2.1.2 Translation

When bilingual documents are required in the Terms of Reference, all documentation including drawings, specifications, reports as well as all bidder questions shall be in both official languages.

Ensure that English and French documents are equal in all respects. There can be no statements where one version takes precedence over the other.

#### 2.1.3 Construction Documents Definitions

Unless otherwise indicated in the Project Brief / Terms of Reference, construction document submissions (33%, 50 or 66%, 99%, and 100% / final) shall meet the definitions outlined below. Further discipline based requirements may be included in the TOR.

- 33%: shall demonstrate general intent of design and compliance and alignment with relevant standards. Summary specification required, but not a full specification.
- 50% or 66%: shall show full system, all components, requirements, and lack only minor details on drawings. Specifications shall be well advanced and contain major work and material requirements and lack only minor details.
- 99%: shall be for final review by PWGSC, lacking no detail and complete with a project specific specification.
- 100% (or final): shall address comments by PWGSC as required, signed and sealed by the responsible design professional in compliance with various provincial jurisdiction requirements, ready for tender.

#### 2.1.4 Quality Assurance

It is the sole responsibility of the Consultant firms to undertake their own quality control process and to review, correct, and coordinate their documents (between disciplines). The Consultant shall also ensure the constructability of their design.

---

### 2.1.5 Quality Assurance Deliverables

For every construction document submission (33 %, 50 % or 66 %, 99 % and 100 %), the Consultant shall provide:

- a completed and signed Checklist for the Submission of Construction Documents (see Appendix A); and
- an index as per Appendix B.

### 2.1.6 Terminology & Quantities

The Consultant shall use the term “Departmental Representative” instead of Engineer, PWGSC, Owner, Consultant or Architect. “Departmental Representative” means the person designated in the Contract, or by written notice to the Contractor, to act as the Departmental Representative for the purposes of the Contract, and includes a person, designated and authorized in writing by the Departmental Representative to the Contractor.

Notations such as “verify on site,” “as instructed,” “to match existing,” “example,” “equal to,” “equivalent to,” and “to be determined on site by Departmental Representative” shall not be indicated in specifications nor in drawings, as such wording promotes inaccurate and inflated bids.

Construction documents shall permit bidders to bid accurately. If a precise quantity is impossible to identify (e.g. cracks to be repaired), then provide an estimated quantity for bidding purposes (to be used in conjunction with unit prices). Ensure that the terminology used throughout construction documents is consistent and does not contradict applicable codes and standards.

### 2.1.7 Units of Measure

All units of measure within drawings and specifications shall be based on the International System of Units (SI).

## 2.2 Drawings

### 2.2.1 General

Drawings shall be prepared in accordance with the [PWGSC National CADD Standard](#) and the Canadian Standards Association CSA B78.5-93: *Computer-Aided Design Drafting (Buildings)*. Drawing shall also meet the following criteria:

- dimensions shall be in metric only (no dual dimensioning);
- no trade names present on any drawings; and
- no specification-type notes are on any drawing.

### 2.2.2 Information to be Included

Drawings should show the quantities of the elements, the configuration of the project, the dimensions, and details of how the work is constructed. There should be no references to future work or information that will be changed by future addenda. The scope of work should be clearly detailed, and elements not in the Contract should be eliminated or kept to an absolute minimum.

### 2.2.3 Title Blocks and Revision Notes

PWGSC title block shall be used for drawings and sketches (including addenda).

The percent of drawing completion should be included in the revision notes. Revision notes shall be inputted during design development, but cleared for 100% complete drawing (ready for tender).

---

## 2.2.4 Drawing Numbers

Drawings should be numbered in sets according to the type of drawing and the discipline involved as indicated in the following table. The requirements of the *PWGSC National CADD Standard* supersede these requirements, where warranted.

Discipline	Drawing
Demolition	D01, D02, etc.
Architecture	A01, A02, etc.
Civil	C01, C02, etc.
Landscaping	L01, L02, etc.
Mechanical	M01, M02, etc.
Electrical	E01, E02, etc.
Structural	S01, S02, etc.
Interior Design	ID01, ID02, etc.

## 2.2.5 Presentation Requirements

Present the drawings in sets, providing the applicable demolition, site plan, civil, landscaping, architecture, structural, mechanical, and electrical drawings in that order. All drawings should be of uniform standard size.

## 2.2.6 Legends

Provide a legend of symbols, abbreviations, references, etc., on the front sheet of each set of drawings, or in the case of large sets of drawings, provided the legend immediately after the title sheet and index sheets.

## 2.2.7 Schedules and Tables

Where schedules or tables occupy entire sheets, locate them at the back of each set of drawings for convenient reference.

## 2.2.8 North Arrow

Include a north arrow on all plans. Orient all plans in the same direction for easy cross-referencing. Wherever possible, lay out plans so that the north point is at the top of the sheet.

## 2.2.9 Drawing Symbols

Follow generally accepted drawing conventions, understandable by the construction trades and in accordance with PWGSC publications.

## 2.2.10 As-Built Drawings

As-built drawings are official record drawings and shall represent as constructed conditions including location and size of equipment, devices, plumbing lines, mechanical and electrical equipment, structural elements etc. As-built drawings shall be updated in CAD, handwritten notes are not acceptable.

## 2.2.11 Submission Format

Unless otherwise stated in the Terms of Reference, drawing submissions shall be in electronic and hard copy format.

---

### **2.2.11.1 Drawing Hard Copy Deliverable Format**

Drawing submitted in hard copy shall be:

- printed to scale with black lines on white paper;
- bound with staple or other means into sets, where presentations exceed 50 sheets, the drawings for each discipline may be bound separately for convenience and ease of handling; and
- of a paper size as agreed to with the Departmental Representative.

### **2.2.11.2 Drawing Electronic Copy Deliverable Format**

Drawing submitted electronically shall be provided:

- without password protection or printing restrictions;
- in two formats:
  - PDF/E-1 (in compliance with ISO 24517-1);
  - .dwg format; and
- in accordance with Appendix D.

## **2.3 Building Information Modelling (BIM)**

PWGSC is committed to using non-proprietary or “OpenBIM” standards. As such, the Consultant is not required to use any specific proprietary software format. For the sake of legacy information quality, the Consultant shall use the international standards of interoperability for BIM (IFC) in all cases where models are submitted. Consultants shall work with software that is compliant to this standard.

Where used, BIM shall not replace the submission requirements outlined by this document. Rather, consultants shall submit models in addition requirements outlined herein.

Where BIM is used, models and modelled information shall be submitted in the following two formats:

- .native (whichever format is native to the Modelling software used by the Consultant);
- .ifc (Industry Foundation Classification – IFC4 – [ISO 16739:2013](#)); and

All Modelled Information, and Model Information Exchanges shall conform to:

- Project-specific requirements, such as they are laid out in the Project Execution Plan, Project Documentation and Model Element Table; and
- The project-identified BIM Standards & Guidelines.

Models for electronic submissions shall be organized as per Appendix D.

## **2.4 Specifications**

### **2.4.1 National Master Specification**

Specifications prepared for PWGSC shall follow the most current version of the [National Master Specification \(NMS\)](#) format offered by the National Research Council.

The Consultant has overriding responsibility for the content of construction project specifications. For each specification, he or she shall edit, amend, and supplement the NMS template as deemed necessary to produce an appropriate project specification free of conflict and ambiguity. The Consultant should refer to the latest *NMS User's Guide* and *NMS Development Guide* issued by the National Research Council for further guidance on using the NMS.

---

## 2.4.2 Index

Specifications shall include an index which list all specification sections, including numbers of pages, as well as the division and section names in the format shown in Appendix B.

## 2.4.3 Specification Organization

Narrow scope sections describing single units of work should be used for complex work. Broad scope sections may be used for less complex work. The Consultant shall use consistently for the entire specification either the NMS 1/3 page format, the NMS 2/3-page format or the Construction Specifications Canada (CSC) full-page format.

Start each section on a new right hand page and show the PWGSC project number, NMS section title, NMS section number, page number, and specification date on each page. The project title, and Consultant's name are not to be indicated.

## 2.4.4 Standards

Code and standard references in the NMS may not be up to date, the Consultant shall ensure that the project specification use the current applicable edition of all references quoted.

## 2.4.5 Specifying Materials

Specifications should make use of generic names in referencing construction materials. The Consultant should refer to the latest version of the *NMS Development Guide* issued by the National Research Council for further details. The term "Acceptable Manufacturers" shall not be used, as this restricts competition and does not ensure the actual material or product will be acceptable.

### 2.4.5.1 Alternate Products and Materials

Alternative materials to those specified may be considered during the solicitation period; however, the onus will be on the Consultant to review and evaluate all requests for approval of alternative materials.

### 2.4.5.2 Sole Sourcing

Sole sourcing of materials and/or work is only allowed in exceptional and justifiable circumstances. Prior to including sole source materials and/or work, the Consultant shall contact the Departmental Representative to obtain approval for the sole sourcing. Consultants shall provide proper justification for all individual sole source requirements.

Sole sourcing for materials and work may be required when performing work on existing proprietary systems, such as fire alarm systems, building automation systems (BAS) etc.

Wording for the sole source of work should be in Part 1 as follows:

Designated Contractor

- .1 Retain the services of [\_\_\_\_\_] to do the work of this section.

Wording for the sole source of building automation system should be in Part 1 as follows:

Designated Contractor

- .1 Retain the services of [\_\_\_\_\_] or its authorized representative to complete the work of all building automation system sections.

Wording for the sole source of building automation system should be in Part 2 as follows:

## Materials

- .1 There is an existing [\_\_\_\_\_] system presently installed in the building. All materials must be selected to ensure compatibility with the existing [\_\_\_\_\_] system.

Wording for the sole source of materials (i.e. fire alarm systems) should be in Part 2 as follows:

### Acceptable Materials

- .1 The only acceptable materials are [\_\_\_\_\_].

## 2.4.6 Measurement for Payment

The measurement for payment shall be provided in lump sum or unit prices.

### 2.4.6.1 Unit Prices

Unit prices should only be used in instances where the quantity can only be roughly estimated (e.g. earth work). The approval of the Departmental Representative shall be sought in advance of their use. In each applicable NMS section where unit prices are used, add new or replace paragraph title “Measurement for Payment” with “Unit Prices.” and use the following wording:

[The work for this section] or [define the specific work if required, e.g. rock excavation] will be paid based on the actual quantities measured on site and the unit prices stated in the Bid and Acceptance Form.

Provide a unit price table, sample shown below, to designate the work to which a unit price arrangement applies. The table shall include:

- the price per unit and the estimated total price for each item listed;
- a complete description of each type of work covered; and
- items as described in the referenced specification section.

Item	Specification Reference	Class of Labour, Plant or Material	Unit of Measurement	Estimated Quantity	Price per Unit GST/HST extra	Estimated Total Price GST / HST extra
TOTAL ESTIMATED AMOUNT						

## 2.4.7 Cash Allowances

Construction documents shall be complete and contain all of the requirements for the contractual work. Cash allowances are to be used only under exceptional circumstances (i.e. utility companies, municipalities), where no other method of specifying pricing is appropriate.

To include cash allowances, obtain approval from the Departmental Representative in advance, and use Section 01 21 00 – Allowances of the NMS to specify the criteria.

## 2.4.8 Warranties

The 12-month warranty period specified in PWGSC’s standard acquisition clauses and conditions with regard to the contract should typically be retained as is. Extended warranties should only be used where experience has shown that serious defects are likely to appear after expiry of the standard one-year warranty period. When necessary to extend beyond the 12 month warranty period,



---

use the following wording in Part 1 of the applicable technical sections, under the heading “Extended Warranty”:

For the work of this Section [\_\_\_\_], the 12 month warranty period is extended to [\_\_\_\_] months.

Where the extended warranty is intended to apply to a particular part of a specification section, modify the previous text as follows:

For [\_\_\_\_], the 12 month warranty period is extended to [\_\_\_\_] months.

## **2.4.9 Miscellaneous Requirements**

Paragraphs noted as “Scope of Work” shall not be included. Within Part 1 – General of specifications, the paragraphs “Summary” and “Section Includes” shall not be utilized.

## **2.4.10 Specification Coordination**

All sections of the specifications shall be coordinated, including the “Related Sections” portion of specifications and appendices. References to non-existent sections shall not be present within the specifications.

## **2.4.11 Regional Guide**

The Consultant should contact the Departmental Representative to obtain the region’s requirements for Division 01 (General Requirements) or other short-form specifications as appropriate.

## **2.4.12 Health and Safety**

All project specifications are required to include Section 01 35 29 – Health and Safety Requirements. Confirm with the Departmental Representative to determine if there are any instructions to meet regional requirements.

## **2.4.13 Subsurface Investigation Reports**

If required, subsurface investigation report(s) shall be included after Section 31, and the following paragraph added to Section 31:

Subsurface Investigation Report(s)

- .1 Subsurface investigation report(s) are included in the specification following this section.

If the Departmental Representative determines that it is not practical to include the subsurface investigation report(s), alternate instructions will be provided.

Where tender documents are to be issued in both official languages, the subsurface investigation report(s) shall be issued in both languages.

In addition to providing the subsurface investigation report(s), the foundation information required by the current *National Building Code of Canada* (Division C, Part 2, 2.2.4.6) shall be included on foundation drawings.

## **2.4.14 Prequalification and Pre-Award Submissions**

Do not include in the specifications any mandatory contractor and/or subcontractor prequalification or pre-award submission requirements that could become a contract award condition. If a

---

prequalification process or a pre-award submission is required, contact the Departmental Representative.

There should be no references to certificates, transcripts, samples, the license numbers of a trade or subcontractor, or any other documentation or item being included with the bid.

#### **2.4.15 Contracting Issues**

Specifications describe the workmanship and quality of the work and shall not contain any contracting issues. Division 00 of the NMS is not used by PWGSC, except for the Seals page 00 01 07 and the Table of Contents 00 01 10. In specifications, remove all references to the following:

- general instructions to bidders;
- general conditions;
- Canadian Construction Documents Committee (CCDC) documents;
- priority of documents;
- security clauses and clearances;
- terms of payment or holdback;
- the tendering process;
- bonding requirements;
- insurance requirements;
- alternative and separate pricing;
- site visits (mandatory or optional); and
- the release of lien and deficiency holdbacks.

#### **2.4.16 Specification Submission Format**

Unless otherwise stated in the Terms of Reference, specification submissions shall be in electronic and hard copy format.

##### **2.4.16.1 Specification Hard Copy Deliverable Format**

Specifications submitted in hard copy shall be printed on both sides of 216 mm x 280 mm white bond paper.

##### **2.4.16.2 Specification Electronic Copy Deliverable Format**

Specifications submitted electronically shall be:

- provided in PDF/A (in compliance with ISO 19005) format, without password protection and printing restrictions; and
- in accordance with Appendix D.

### **2.5 Addenda**

#### **2.5.1 Format**

Prepare addenda using the format shown in Appendix C. No signature-type information is to appear.

Every page of the addendum (including attachments) shall be numbered consecutively. All pages shall have the PWGSC project number and the appropriate addendum number. Sketches shall appear in the PWGSC format, signed and sealed.

No Consultant information (name, address, phone #, Consultant project #, etc.) should appear in addenda or their attachments (except on sketches).

---

### **2.5.2 Content**

Each item should refer to an existing paragraph of the specification or note/detail on the drawings. The clarification style is not acceptable.

Where there are many or major changes to a section or drawing, consider deleting the entire section or drawing and replacing it with a new version.

---

## 3 Cost Estimates

### 3.1 Cost Estimates Submission Formats

#### 3.1.1 Format

Construction cost estimates for projects shall be prepared in the elemental analysis format, which is in accordance with the latest edition issued by the Canadian Institute of Quantity Surveyors (CIQS) for all PWGSC regions excluding Quebec. Within Quebec region the cost estimates shall be prepared in the Unifomat II format.

#### 3.1.2 Contents

All cost estimates shall contain the following:

- introduction narrative complete with an outline description of the cost estimate basis;
- description of information obtained and used in the cost estimate including the date received;
- listing of notable inclusions;
- listing of notable exclusions;
- listing of items/issues carrying significant risk;
- summary of the itemized cost estimate;
- itemized breakdown of cost estimate by elemental analysis for Class B, C, and D; and
- itemized breakdown of costs estimate in both elemental analysis and National Master Specification division format for Class A, including measured quantities, unit rate pricings and amounts for each item of work.

Allowances, if deemed necessary by Consultant, shall contain the following:

- design allowance to cover unforeseen items during design phase;
- escalation allowance for changes in market conditions between the date of the cost estimate and the date tender is called;
- construction allowance to cover unforeseen items during construction; and
- the basis of calculations of the above allowances.

### 3.2 Classes of Cost Estimates for Construction Projects

PWGSC applies a detailed, four-level classification using the terms Class A, B, C and D. Apply these estimate classifications at the project stages as defined in the TOR. For projects required to be submitted to Treasury Board (TB) for approval: an indicative estimate shall be at least a Class D and a Substantive Estimate shall be at least a Class B.

#### 3.2.1 Class D (Indicative) Estimate

Based upon a comprehensive statement of requirements, an outline of potential solutions and/or functional program, this estimate is to provide an indication of the final project cost that will enable ranking to be made for all the options being considered. This cost estimate shall be prepared in elemental analysis format. The level of accuracy of a Class D cost estimate shall be such that no more than a 20% design allowance is required.

#### 3.2.2 Class C Estimate

Based on schematic/conceptual design and/or comprehensive list of project requirements, this estimate shall be adequately detailed and shall be sufficient for making the correct investment decision. This cost estimate shall be based on measured quantities of all items of work and prepared

---

in elemental analysis format. The level of accuracy of a Class C cost estimate shall be such that no more than a 15% design allowance is required.

### **3.2.3 Class B (Substantive) Estimate**

Based on design development drawings and outline specifications, which include the preliminary design of all major systems and subsystems, as well as the results of all site/installation investigations, this estimate shall provide for the establishment of realistic cost objectives and be sufficient to obtain effective project approval.

This cost estimate shall be based on measured quantities of all items of work and prepared in elemental analysis format. The level of accuracy of a Class B cost estimate shall be such that no more than a 10% design allowance is required.

### **3.2.4 Class A (Pre-Tender) Estimate**

Based on completed construction drawings and specifications prepared prior to calling competitive tenders, this estimate shall be sufficient to allow a detailed reconciliation and/or negotiation with any contractor's tender submission. This cost estimate shall be based on fully measured quantities of all items of work and prepared in both elemental analysis and Trade division format as per MasterFormat™. The level of accuracy of a Class A cost estimate shall be such that no more than a 5% design allowance is required.

---

## 4 Project Schedules

### 4.1 Schedule Format

Project schedules shall be submitted in the .mpp file extension (compatible with MS Project). The schedule shall include:

- major and minor milestones;
- activities representing discrete elements of work assigned to one person which:
  - are named using verb-noun combination (i.e. Review Design Development Report);
  - contain realistic durations in days;
- project logic linking activities with appropriate relationships finish-start (FS), finish-finish (FF), start-start (SS); and
- Identification of the critical path activities.

### 4.2 Progress Report

The progress report shall detail the progress of each activity up to the date of the report. It shall also include any logic changes made, both historic and planned; projections of progress and completion; as well as the actual start and finish dates of all activities being monitored.

The contents of each progress report will vary depending on the requirements at each project phase. A progress report should include:

- an executive summary;
- a narrative report;
- a variance report;
- a criticality report;
- an exception report (as required);
- the master schedule with cash flow projections; and
- the detailed project schedule (network diagram or bar charts).

#### 4.2.1 Executive Summary

The executive summary should provide a synopsis of narrative, variance, criticality and exception report, and is not to exceed one page.

#### 4.2.2 Narrative Report

The project narrative shall detail the work performed to date, comparing work progress to planned, and presenting current forecasts. This report should summarize the progress to date, explaining current and possible deviations and delays and the required actions to resolve delays and problems with respect to the Detailed Schedule, and Critical Paths.

#### 4.2.3 Variance Report

The variance report, with supporting schedule documentation, should detail the work performed to date and compare work progress to work planned. It should summarize the progress to date and explain all causes of deviations and delays and the required actions to resolve delays and problems with respect to the detailed schedule and critical paths. The variance report shall be presented in the following format:

---

Paper size: Letter  
Paper format: Portrait  
Title format: Project Title, Report Type, Print Date, Data Date, Revision Block  
Body text: Narratives for each report to match other reports  
Columns: Activity ID, Activity Name, Planned Finish, Revised Finish, Variance, Activity % Complete

#### 4.2.4 Criticality Report

The criticality report identifies all activities and milestones with negative, zero, and up to five days' Total Float. It is used as a first sort for ready identification of the critical paths, or near-critical paths, through the entire project. The criticality report shall be presented in the following format:

Paper size: Letter  
Orientation: Portrait  
Title format: Project Title, Report Type, Print Date, Data Date, Revision Block  
Body text: Narratives for each report to match other reports  
Columns: Activity ID, Activity Name, Duration, Start, Finish, Activity % Complete, Total Float

#### 4.2.5 Exception Report

The exception report shall be provided when unforeseen or critical issues arise. The Consultant shall advise the Departmental Representative and submit the details and proposed solutions in the form of an exception report. The report shall include sufficient description and detail to clearly identify:

- scope changes, including identifying the nature, reason, and total impact of all identified and potential project scope changes affecting the project;
- delays and accelerations, including identifying the nature, reason, and total impact of all identified and potential duration variations; and
- options enabling a return to the project baseline, including Identifying the nature and potential effects of all proposed options for returning the project within the baselined duration.

The exception report shall be provided in the following format:

Paper size: Letter  
Orientation: Portrait  
Title format: Project Title, Report Type, Print Date, Data Date, Revision  
Body text: Narrative to match other reports

Paper size: Letter  
Orientation: Landscape  
Title format: Project Title, Report Type, Print Date, Data Date, Revision  
Columns: Activity ID, Activity Name, Duration, Remaining Duration, Start, Finish, Total Float

---

#### **4.2.6 Master Schedule**

A master schedule including cash projection shall be provided in the following format:

Paper size: 11X17  
Orientation: Landscape  
Columns: Activity ID, Activity Name, Duration, Activity % Complete, Start, Finish,  
Total Float  
Footer format: Project Title, Report Type, Print Date, Data Date, Revision Block  
Sorting: Early Start, then Early Finish, then Activity ID based on the WBS.

#### **4.2.7 Detailed Project Schedule**

A detailed project schedule shall be provided along with a network diagram or bar charts in the following format:

Paper size: 11X17  
Orientation: Landscape  
Columns: Activity ID, Activity Name, Duration, Activity % Complete, Start, Finish,  
Total Float  
Footer format: Project Title, Report Type, Print Date, Data Date, Revision Block  
Sorting: Early Start, then Early Finish, then Activity ID based on the WBS.



## Appendix A Checklist for the Submission of Construction Documents

Date:	
Project Title:	Project Location:
Project Number:	Contract Number:
Consultant's Name:	PWGSC Departmental Representative
Review Stage (stages may vary at discretion of project team): 33% <input type="checkbox"/> 50% or 66% <input type="checkbox"/> 99% <input type="checkbox"/> 100% <input type="checkbox"/>	

Drawings\Design			
Item	Verified by	Explanations	Action By
<b>1 Index</b>			
<b>1a</b> The index shows a complete listing of drawing titles and numbers.			
<b>2 Title Blocks</b>			
<b>2a</b> The title block is as per the <i>PWGSC National CADD Standard</i> .			
<b>3 Units</b>			
<b>3a</b> All units of measure are metric.			
<b>4 Trade Names</b>			
<b>4a</b> Trade names are not used.			
<b>5 Specification Notes</b>			
<b>5a</b> There are no specification-type notes.			
<b>6 Terminology</b>			
<b>6a</b> The term "Departmental Representative" is used instead of "Engineer," "PWGSC," "Owner," "Consultant," or "Architect."			
<b>6b</b> Notations such as "verify on site," "as instructed," "to match existing," "example," "equal to," "equivalent to," and "to be determined on site by" are not used.			
<b>7 Information to be included</b>			
<b>7a</b> The project quantities, configurations, dimensions, and construction details are included.			
<b>7b</b> References to future work and elements not in the tender documents do not appear or are kept to an absolute minimum and clearly marked.			

<b>Drawings\Design</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Verified by</b>	<b>Explanations</b>	<b>Action By</b>
<b>8 Quality Assurance</b>			
<b>8a</b> Coordination review of the design between various disciplines has been completed by the Consultant.			
<b>8b</b> Constructability review of design has been performed.			
<b>9 Signing and Sealing</b>			
<b>9a</b> Every final drawing bears the seal and signature of the responsible design professional in compliance with various provincial jurisdiction requirements.			

<b>Specifications</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Verified by</b>	<b>Explanations</b>	<b>Action by</b>
<b>1 National Master Specification</b>			
<b>1a</b> The current edition of the National Master Specification (NMS) has been used.			
<b>1b</b> Sections have been included for all work identified on drawings and sections have been edited.			
<b>2 Index</b>			
<b>2a</b> The index shows a complete list of specifications sections with the correct number of pages.			
<b>3 Organization</b>			
<b>3a</b> Either the NMS 1/3- or 2/3-page format or the Construction Specifications Canada full-page format is used consistently for the entire specifications.			
<b>3b</b> Each section starts on a new page and the project number, section title, section number, page number and date is shown on each page.			
<b>3c</b> The Consultant's name is not indicated.			
<b>4 Terminology</b>			
<b>4a</b> The term "Departmental Representative" is used instead of "Engineer," "PWGSC," "Owner," "Consultant," or "Architect."			
<b>4b</b> Notations such as "verify on site," "as instructed," "to match existing," "example," "equal to," "equivalent to," and "to be determined on site by" are not used.			
<b>5 Dimensions</b>			
<b>5a</b> Dimensions are provided in metric only.			
<b>6 Standards</b>			
<b>6a</b> The current edition of all references quoted is used.			
<b>7 Specifications Materials</b>			
<b>7a</b> The method of specifying materials uses recognized standards. Actual brand names and model numbers are not specified.			
<b>7b</b> Materials are specified using standards and performance criteria.			

<b>Specifications</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Verified by</b>	<b>Explanations</b>	<b>Action by</b>
<b>7c</b> Non-restrictive, non-trade name “prescription” or “performance” specifications are used throughout.			
<b>7d</b> The term “Acceptable Manufacturers” is not used.			
<b>7e</b> No sole sourcing has been used.			
<b>7f</b> If sole sourcing has been used, the correct wording has been used and a justification, estimate, and specification have been provided to the Departmental Representative for the sole-sourced products.			
<b>8 Measurement for Payment</b>			
<b>8a</b> Unit prices are used only for work that is difficult to estimate.			
<b>9 Cash Allowances</b>			
<b>9a</b> No cash allowances have been used or if they have, approval from the Departmental Representative has been received.			
<b>10 Miscellaneous Requirements</b>			
<b>10a</b> No paragraphs noted as “Scope of Work” are included.			
<b>10b</b> In Part 1 - General of any section, the paragraphs “Summary” and “Section Includes” are not used.			
<b>11 Specification Coordination</b>			
<b>11a</b> The list of related sections and appendices are coordinated.			
<b>12 Health and Safety</b>			
<b>12a</b> Section 01 35 29.06 – Health and Safety Requirements is included.			
<b>13 Subsurface Investigation Reports</b>			
<b>13a</b> Subsurface investigation reports are included after Section 31.			
<b>14 Prequalifications</b>			
<b>14a</b> There are no mandatory contractor and/or subcontractor prequalification requirements or references to certificates, transcripts, licence numbers of a trade or subcontractor, or other such documentation or item included in the bid.			

<b>Specifications</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Verified by</b>	<b>Explanations</b>	<b>Action by</b>
<b>15 Contracting Issues</b>			
<b>15a</b> Contracting issues do not appear in the specifications.			
<b>15b</b> Division 00 of the NMS is not used except 00 01 07 (Seals Page) and 00 01 10 (Table of Contents).			
<b>16 Quality Assurance</b>			
<b>16a</b> There are no specification clauses with square brackets “[ ]” or lines “—” indicating that the document is incomplete or missing information.			
<b>17 Signing and Sealing</b>			
<b>17a</b> Every final specification bears the seal and signature of the responsible design professional as required. Seals and signatures shall be shown in NMS section 00 01 07.			

I confirm that the drawings and specifications have been thoroughly reviewed and that the items listed above have been addressed or incorporated. I acknowledge and accept that by signing, I am certifying that all items noted above have been addressed.

Consultant's Representative: \_\_\_\_\_

Firm name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

---

## Appendix B Drawings and Specifications Table of Contents Template

### B.1 General

List all drawings by number and title.

For specifications, list all divisions, sections (by number and title), and the number of pages in each section.

### B.2 Sample Table of Contents

---

**Project No:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Table of Contents** **Index**  
**Page 1 of** \_\_\_\_\_

---

#### DRAWINGS:

C-1	Civil
L-1	Landscaping
A-1	Architecture
S-1	Structural
M-1	Mechanical
E-1	Electrical

#### SPECIFICATIONS:

DIVISION	SECTION	NO. OF PAGES
01	01 00 10 – General Instructions	.....XX
	01 14 25 – Designated Substances Report	.....XX
	01 35 30 – Health and Safety	.....XX
23	23 xx xx	
26	26 xx xx	

---

## Appendix C Addenda Formatting Template

### C.1 Instructions

To re-issue a drawing with an addendum:

- indicate the drawing number and title; and
- list the changes or indicate the revision number and date.

To re-issue a specification with an addendum:

- indicate the section number and title; and
- list all changes (i.e. deletions, additions, and replacements) by article or paragraph.

The addendum, drawings and specifications should be sent as separate files.

### C.2 Sample Addendum

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Addendum Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**The following changes in the bid documents are effective immediately.  
This addendum will form part of the construction documents.**

#### **DRAWINGS:**

- 1 A1 Architecture
  - .1

#### **SPECIFICATIONS:**

- 1 Section 01 00 10 – General Instructions
  - .1 Delete article (xx) entirely.
  - .2 Refer to paragraph (xx.x),  
delete the following: ...  
and replace with the following: ...
- 2 Section 23 05 00 – Common Work Results - Mechanical
  - .1 Add new article (x) as follows:

---

## **Appendix D Directory Structure and Naming Convention Standards for Construction Tender Documents**

### **D.1 Electronic Submissions**

Electronic submittals of drawings, specification and models shall be in the following format unless otherwise specified in the Terms of Reference or instructed by the Departmental Representative:

- On media burned to read only memory (ROM) on either CD-ROM or DVD+R where:
  - CD-ROMs comply with ISO 9660:1988 standards;
  - DVD+Rs are 4.7 GB, single-sided, single-layer and comply with ISO/IEC 17344:2006 standards;
  - media is “closed” upon completion of burning; and
  - media is usable in such a way that files may be accessed and copied from it.

If BIM model size is greater than storage capacity of a DVD, refer to Terms of Reference or contact the Departmental Representative for transmission instructions.

Some projects may require the Consultant to upload files to an electronic system outlined in the Terms of Reference or as instructed by the Departmental Representative.

### **D.2 Directory Structure**

#### **D.2.1 1<sup>st</sup> Tier Subfolder**

The 1st tier of the directory structure shall be “Project #####” where ##### represents each digit of the Project Number. The Project Number must always be used to name the 1st tier folder and it is always required. Free text can be added following the Project Number, to include such things as a brief description or the project title.

#### **D.2.2 2<sup>nd</sup> Tier Subfolder**

The 2<sup>nd</sup> tier of the directory structure shall consist of: “Bilingual - Bilingue”, “English” and “Français” folders. The folders of the 2nd tier cannot be given any other names since the Government Electronic Tendering System (GETS) uses these names for validation purposes. At least one of the “Bilingual - Bilingue”, “English” and “Français” folders is always required, and these must always have one of the applicable subfolders of the 3rd tier.

#### **D.2.3 3<sup>rd</sup> Tier Subfolder**

The 3<sup>rd</sup> tier of the directory structure shall consist of: “Drawings - Dessins”, “Drawings”, “Models”, “Specifications”, “Reports”, “Dessins”, “Modèles”, “Devis” and “Rapports”. The folders of the 3rd tier cannot be given any other names since GETS also uses these names for validation purposes. There must be always at least one of the applicable 3rd tier folder in each document.

#### **D.2.4 4<sup>th</sup> Tier Subfolder - Drawings**

The 4th-tier subfolders for Drawings should reflect the various disciplines of the set of drawings. Because the order of appearance of the subfolders on the screen will also determine the order of printing, it is necessary to start with a number the identification name of the subfolders in the “Drawings – Dessins”, “Drawings” and “Dessins” folders. The first subfolder must be always reserved for the Title Page and/or the List of Drawings unless the first drawing of the set is an actual numbered discipline drawing.



---

The 4<sup>th</sup> tier “Drawings” and “Dessins” folder shall follow the naming convention:

## - Y

Where:

## = a two digit number ranging from 01 to 99 (leading zeros must be included)

Y = the title of the folder Example: 03 – Mechanical

For the “Drawings - Dessins” folder:

## = Y - Z

Where:

## = a two digit number ranging from 01 to 99 (leading zeros must be included)

Y = the English title of the folder

Z = the French title of the folder

Example:

04 - Electrical – Électrique

The numbering of the 4<sup>th</sup> tier subfolders is for sorting purposes only and is not tied to a specific discipline. For example, “Architecture” could be numbered 05 for a project where there is four other disciplines before “Architecture” in the set of drawings or 01 in another project where it’s the first discipline appearing in the set.

The order of the drawings shall be the same as in the hard copy set. GETS will sort each drawing for both screen display and printing as per the following rules:

- The alphanumerical sorting is done on an ascending order;
- The alphanumerical order of the subfolders determines the order of appearance on the screen as well as the order of printing (as an example: all the drawing PDF files in the 01 sub-older will be printed in alphanumerical order before the drawings in the 02 sub- folder etc.);

Each drawing PDF file within each subfolder will also be sorted alphanumerically. This will determine the order of appearance on the screen as well as the order of printing (i.e. Drawing A001 will be printed before Drawing A002, Drawing M02 before Drawing M03, etc.).

#### **D.2.5 4<sup>th</sup>-Tier Subfolders for Specifications**

The “Specifications” and “Devis” folders must have 4<sup>th</sup> tier subfolders created to reflect the various elements of the specifications. Because the order of appearance of the subfolders on the screen will also determine the order of printing, it is necessary to start with a number the identification name of the subfolders in the “Specifications” and “Devis” folders.

The 4<sup>th</sup> tier subfolders for specifications must adhere to the following standard naming convention for the “Specifications” and “Devis” folders:

## - Y

Where:

## = a two digit number ranging from 01 to 99 (leading zeros must be included)

Y = the title of the folder

Example:

---

## 02 – Divisions

Numbering of the 4th tier subfolders is for sorting purposes only and is not tied to an element of the specifications.

It is essential to ensure that the order of the elements of the specifications on the CD-ROM be exactly the same as in the hard copy. GETS will sort each element of the specifications for both screen display and printing as per the following rules:

- The alphanumerical sorting is done on an ascending order.
- The alphanumerical order of the subfolders determines the order of appearance on the screen as well as the order of printing (as an example: all the specifications PDF files in the 01 subfolder will be printed, in alphanumerical order before the PDF files in the 02 subfolder, etc.).
- Each specifications PDF file within each subfolder will also be sorted alphanumerically. This will determine the order of appearance on the screen as well as the order of printing (i.e. Division 01 will be printed before Division 02, 01 - Appendix A before 02 - Appendix B, etc.).

---

## D.2.6 Directory Structure Example

The following is an example of the directory structure for the tender document, refer to previous sections for requirements, and use only sections applicable to the given project:

```
Project #####
  Bilingual – Bilingue
    Drawings – Dessins
      01 - Drawing List – Liste des dessins
      02 – Demolition – Démolition
      03 – Architecture – Architectural
      04 – Civil – Civil
      05 – Landscaping - Aménagement paysager
      06 – Mechanical – Mécanique
      07 – Electrical – Électricité
      08 – Structural - Structural
      09 – Interior Design – Aménagement intérieur
  English
    Drawings
      01 - Drawing List
      02 – Demolition
      03 – Architecture
      04 – Civil
      05 – Landscaping
      06 – Mechanical
      07 – Electrical
      08 – Structural
      09 – Interior Design
    ...
    Models
    Specifications
      01 – Index
      02 – Divisions
      03 – Appendices
    Reports
  Français
    Dessins
    Modèles
    Devis
    Rapports
```

## D.3 Naming Convention for PDF Files

Each drawing, specifications division or other document that are part of the tender documents must be converted in PDF format (without password protection) in accordance with the following standard naming convention and each PDF file must be located in the appropriate subfolder of the directory structure.

### D.3.1 Drawing File Names

Each drawing must be a separate single page PDF file. The naming convention of each file shall be:

X### - Y

Where:

---

X = the letter or letters from the drawing title block (“A” for Architecture or “ID” for Interior Design for example) associated with the discipline

### = the drawing number from the drawing title block (one to three digits)

Y = the drawing name from the drawing title block (for bilingual drawings, the name in both English and French is to appear).

Example:

A001 - First Floor Details

Each drawing that will be located in the appropriate discipline 4th tier subfolders must be named with the same letter (“A” for Architecture Drawings for example) and be numbered. The drawing number used to name the PDF file must match as much as possible the drawing number of the actual drawing (the exception being when leading zeros are required).

The following important points about drawings are to be noted:

- The drawing PDF files within each subfolder are sorted alphanumerically for both displaying and printing. If there are more than 9 drawings in a particular discipline the numbering must use at least two numerical digits (i.e. A01 instead of A1) in order to avoid displaying drawing A10 between A1 and A2. The same rule applies when there are more than 99 drawings per discipline i.e. three digits instead of two must be used for the numbering (for example M003 instead of M03);
- If drawing PDF files are included in the “Bilingual - Bilingue” folder, these cannot be included as well in the “English” and/or “Français” folders;
- If drawings not associated with a particular discipline are not numbered (title page or list of drawings for example), these will be sorted alphabetically. While this does not represent a problem if there is only one drawing in the subfolder, it could disrupt the order when there are two or more drawings. If the alphabetical order of the drawings name does not represent the order on the hard copy set, the drawings are to be named as per the following standard convention when converted in PDF format to ensure proper display and printing order.

### **D.3.2 Specifications**

Each specifications division must be a separate PDF file and all pages contained in each PDF file must have the same physical size (height, width). The drawings and specifications index must also be a separate PDF file. If there are other documents that are part of the Specifications (e.g. Appendix or other) these are to be separate PDF files as well.

### **D.3.3 Documents Other Than Specifications Divisions**

Because PDF files within the Specifications subfolders are sorted alphanumerically (in ascending order) for both on screen display and printing order, all files that appear in folders other than the “Divisions” subfolder must be named using a number:

## - Y

Where:

## = Two digit number ranging from 01 to 99 with leading zeros required

Y = Name of the document

Example:

01 – Drawings and Specifications Index

---

#### **D.3.4 Specifications Divisions**

The specifications divisions must be named as follows:

Division ## - Y

Where:

Division ## = the actual word “Division” followed by a space and a two digit number ranging from 01 to 99 (with leading zeros required)

Y = name of the Specifications Division as per CSC/CSI MasterFormat™

Example:

Division 05 – Metals

The Numbering of the Divisions cannot be altered from CSC/CSI MasterFormat™ even if some Divisions are not used in a given project. For example, Division 05 will always remain Division 05 even if Division 04 is not used for a given project.

#### **D.4 Media Label**

The CD-ROM or DVD+R shall be labeled with the following information:

Project Number / Numéro de projet

Project Title / Titre du projet

Documents for Tender / Documents pour appel d'offres

Disk X of/de X

Example:

Project 123456 / Projet 123456

Repair Alexandra Bridge / Réparation du pont Alexandra

Documents for Tender / Documents pour appel d'offres

Disk 1 of/de 1