

## EVALUATION TEAM – SCORING

Evaluate criterion based on the comparison of each submission against an absolute scale rating of 0 to 10 (10 for superior to 0 points for 'did not submit information'). Consider the following table in order for each evaluation team member to share a common understanding of the evaluation scale.

### SAMPLE

NON RESPONSIVE	VERY POOR	WEAK	AVERAGE	SUPERIOR
0 points	1 – 2 point	3 – 5 points	6 – 8 points	9 – 10 points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Did not submit information which could be evaluated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Does not meet the requirement</li> <li>▪ Weaknesses can't be corrected</li> <li>▪ Proponent lacks qualifications and experience</li> <li>▪ Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements</li> <li>▪ Sample projects not related to this project's needs</li> <li>▪ Unacceptable</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Lacks details</li> <li>▪ Weaknesses can be corrected</li> <li>▪ Proponent generally lacks qualifications and experience</li> <li>▪ Team is weak – either missing component or overall experience is weak</li> <li>▪ Sample projects generally not related to this project's need</li> <li>▪ Little capability to meet performance requirements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Satisfies requirement</li> <li>▪ No significant weaknesses</li> <li>▪ Proponent is qualified and experienced</li> <li>▪ Team covers all components and will likely meet requirements</li> <li>▪ Sample projects generally related to this project's needs</li> <li>▪ Average capability, should be adequate for effective results</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ More than satisfies requirement</li> <li>▪ No apparent weaknesses</li> <li>▪ Proponent is well qualified and experienced</li> <li>▪ Strong team – some members have previously worked together</li> <li>▪ Sample projects directly related to this project's needs</li> <li>▪ Superior capability, should ensure effective results</li> </ul>

