



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumission -  
TPSGC

See herein for bid submission  
instruction /

Voir la présente pour les  
instructions sur la présentation  
d' une soumission

NA  
Ontario

**Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer**

**Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes**

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless  
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of  
the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf  
indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent  
les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Ontario Region  
10th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street  
Toronto  
Ontario  
M2N 6A6

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Bridge Engineering Services, Walpol Services d'ingénierie de ponts, pont tournant de Walpole, région de l'Ontario		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EQ754-211966/A		<b>Date</b> 2021-06-02
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EQ754-211966		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWL-0-43048 (034)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWL-034-2562		
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b>		2021-04-29
<b>Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale</b>		
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT <b>on - le 2021-06-22</b> Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE		
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Somaratna, Chinthaka		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwl034
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (416) 305-7369 ( )		<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>		
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> Wapole Island Swing Bridge Wallaceburg, Ontario		
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b>	<b>No - Non</b>
<b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

### **Amendment No. 003**

This amendment is being raised to provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

#### **REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION**

Q10. Minimum years experience are not provided for the requested roles and levels in APPENDIX B - PRICE OFFER and APPENDIX C – TEAM IDENTIFICATION. Would it be possible to specify the number of years of experience required for all discipline roles and levels in Appendix B and C?

R10. Numbers of years of experience are required on the evaluation pages. Cross references to Appendix B and C are not recommended because they may exceed 40 page limit and it will become a very time consuming task to follow through.

---

Q11. For APPENDIX B - PRICE OFFER, are all "Fixed Hourly Rates" to be firm "ceiling" rates for all by discipline Categories 1. To 7. and for "senior" and intermediate" levels?

R11. Yes

---

Q12. For APPENDIX B - PRICE OFFER, please clarify what the TOPOGRAPHIC AND BATHYMETRY SURVEY rates should include. Is this a rate for an individual surveyor or survey crew? Does it include vehicle and all other ancillary equipment required?

R12. This is for individual rate, disbursements and equipment should not be included.

---

Q13. Regarding 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience (2 persons), please confirm that "approximately two (2) pages per senior personnel" are to be included as part of the 40 page limit for the submission.

R13. Two (2) pages are to be included as part of the 40 page limit for submission.

---

Q14. Regarding 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience (2 persons), the statement "approximately two (2) pages per personnel" is omitted. Please confirm that for 3.2.4 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience (2 persons), shall also include "approximately two (2) pages per project personnel" and that these too are to be included as part of the 40 page limit for the submission.

R14. The two (2) pages per personnel, does not apply to section 3.2.5. It is up to the Offerors how many pages they want to include for section 3.2.5, and it will be as part of the 40 page limit for the submission.

Q15. Please confirm that the requested five 3.2.3 Past Experience descriptions are to be included as part of the 40 page limit for the submission.

R15. Confirmed.

---

Q16. If Offerors have worked on past Standing Offers, are individual call-up assignments emanating from a Standing Offer Agreement deemed acceptable to serve as one or more of the five "significant projects completed" being requested in Section 3.2.3 Past Experience?

R16. Yes.

---

Q17. Please clarify "significant projects completed within the last five (5) years". For example would design phase activities completed substantially be evaluated in full, even if construction has yet to commence and/or is in progress?

R17. To gain the full points, the Offeror should demonstrate that they have participated in a range of projects requiring a full scope of services in accordance with the Required Services (RS) section.

---

Q18. Please confirm that reference projects to be included as one or more of the five "significant projects completed" under Section 3.2.3 Past Project Experience, will not be considered in evaluation if those projects completed solely by a "Subconsultant/specialist" firm but they will be evaluated if the Offeror and Subconsultant/specialist firm form a joint venture in accordance with G118.

R18. The projects completed exclusively by Sub-Consultants will not be considered, however the projects completed as part of joint venture will be considered in evaluation.

---

Q19. The RFP reads that "Sub Consultant/specialist" can be named as part of the 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience. Can proponents also name "Sub Consultant/specialists" as part of 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience?

R19. For section 3.2.4, with the exception of Civil/Structural Bridge Engineering which must be performed in-house, Sub Consultant/specialist can be named as part of the personnel experience and same applies to section 3.2.5.

---

Q20. Both 3.2.4 and 3.2.5 indicate that "Past expertise and experience of personnel not within the Offeror's (or joint venture) organization will not be considered in the evaluation. For "Sub Consultant/specialist" personnel experience, does this requirement also apply for those personnel, that past experience must have been conducted while employed with the Sub Consultant's/specialist's current firm of employment?

R20. Yes.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.  
EQ754-211966/A

N° de la modif - Amd. No.  
003

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID  
pwl034

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.  
EQ754-211966

File No. - N° du dossier  
PWL-0-43048

N° CCC / CCC No. / N° VME - FMS

---

Q21. APPENDIX C – TEAM IDENTIFICATION lists both Senior Civil/Structural Movable Bridge Specialist Engineer as well as Senior Civil/Structural Fixed Bridge Specialist Engineer in separate line items. Can these two roles be fulfilled by the same individual?

R21. Yes

---

Q22. Please clarify if corporate CVs will be required for all positions stated in APPENDIX C – TEAM IDENTIFICATION. If so is it acceptable to include them in an appendix and would there be a page limit for each corporate CV? Also what scoring rating/weighting will be applied to the broader team credentials? It is recognized that the two 3.2.4 Senior Personnel and two 3.2.5 Project Personnel each have rating weighting of 15 points each (30 points total).

R22. Yes, corporate CVs will be required for all position stated in APPENDIX C -TEAM IDENTIFICATION, however, CVs submitted as part of APPENDIX C will not be considered/included in the point rated evaluation process.

---

**All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain the same.**