



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Travaux publics et Services gouvernementaux  
Canada

Voir dans le document/

See herein

NA

Québec

NA

**Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer**

**Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes**

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

Cette demande d'offre à commandes comporte des exigences relatives à la sécurité.

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Travaux publics et Services gouvernementaux  
Canada  
Place Bonaventure, portail Sud-Oue  
800, rue de La Gauchetière Ouest  
7e étage, suite 7300  
Montréal  
Québec  
H5A 1L6

<b>Title - Sujet</b> RFSO Construction Laboratory		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EE520-211659/A		<b>Date</b> 2021-06-10
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EE520-211659		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 006
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> MTC-0-43192 (790)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$MTC-790-16143		
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b>		2021-04-22
<b>Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale</b>		
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT <b>on - le 2021-06-16</b> Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE		
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Guilbault, Isabelle		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> mtc790
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (514) 476-8192 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -	
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>		
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>		
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b>	<b>No - Non</b>
<b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

**REQUEST FOR STANDING OFFER (RFSO)  
Construction Laboratory Services**

**AMENDMENT 006**

**THE REQUEST FOR STANDING OFFER DOCUMENT IS MODIFIED AS MENTIONED BELOW:**

Tenderers are advised that the following "*Answers to questions from bidders*" form integral part of the tender documents.

***Answers to questions from bidders***

Questions # 16 to 18		
Number	Questions	Answers
#16	We understand that for Zone 1, four bidders will be selected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Will each bidder be assigned to a specific area (Zone 1A, 1B or 1C) or may each of them be called upon to work in any of these areas?</li></ul>	The tender document is clear on this point.
#17	Would it be possible to have more information on how resources will be assessed for Zone 1? We understand that our resources will be assessed by area. Since we must present 5 resources for each of the zones (1A, 1B and 1C), so a total of 15 resources, should we understand that an equal score is assigned to each of the 15 resources? Will a duplication of resources by area be negatively perceived by PWGSC?	Resources will be assessed in the "Project Personnel Expertise and Experience" section. No, the repetition of a competent resource is not viewed negatively by PWGSC.
#18	Finally, in relation to section 3.2 Rated requirements, criterion 3.2.4 – Skills and experience of project personnel, item 3 (page 82), it states: "If the same team member covers more than one discipline, the offeror must clearly identify it. The curriculum vitae will then be evaluated twice, i.e. for each of the disciplines identified." <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Does each discipline required of the standing offer request have to be represented by key personnel?</li></ul> Since the CVs are presented by area and not by discipline, we have difficulty understanding how the CV will be evaluated twice since we need to present only 5 CVs per area. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Would it be possible to specify how this section will be evaluated?</li></ul>	See answers to Q7 of amendment 003 and Q11 of amendment 004.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED**