



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier/11,rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

This document contains a security requirement.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Parliamentary Precinct Division/Acquisitions de la Cité
parlementaire
222 Queen Street / 222, rue Queen
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet NPB Envelope Rehabilitation National Press Building Envelope Rehabilitation Lots 2 and 3	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP761-210004/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20210004	Date 2021-06-14
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PPS-015-28211	
File No. - N° de dossier 015pps.EP761-210004	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2021-06-18 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Ramenason, Anja	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 015pps
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 854-5742 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: PWGSC/TPSGC National Capital Area (Ottawa) Phase III, Place du Portage 11 Laurier Street Gatineau - QC K1A 0S5 Canada	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Amendment will be presented in two parts as follow:

- 1. Questions and Answers**
- 2. Revisions to the Request for Proposal**

PART 1 - QUESTION AND ANSWERS- SERIE III

Q12. Is it possible to obtain the following, in preparation of our bid:

1. 2017 BCR and Feasibility report by Nadine and Robertson Martin
2. Available mechanical and electrical record (as-built) drawings
3. Anticipated construction budget per discipline

The reason for the request, on behalf of the Mechanical and Electrical Consultant Teams, was to determine a better understanding of the scope and work required and more accuracy in fees. For instance there is a significant difference in M&E scope between major replacements and localized upgrades/modifications to the existing systems. Therefore, understanding the condition of current systems through background reports, can help define and quantify the scope more accurately.

R12. As indicated in the Project Brief, section PD 8 Existing documentation, the various existing studies and reports will be made available at contract award. Mechanical and Electrical scope of work is to be limited to a minor upgrades and minimal investments. No major mechanical / Electrical upgrade is to be expected from this project.
The anticipated construction budget is estimated at \$3.5 millions. Anticipated construction budget per discipline will be discussed with the selected proponent.

Q13. We would like to respectfully request an extension to the submission deadline.

R13. Please refer to Amendment 005.

Q14. For schematic design – how many design options are to be considered as part of this phase of the work?

R14. 3 design options are required at schematic design phase.

Q15. Does PSPC have a site servicing report that can be provided identifying natural gas, water, sewer, electrical services to the building?

R15. The available report will be made available at contract award to the selected proponent.

Q16. Please confirm translation requirements as there are conflicting descriptions. Will all submission documents require bilingual documents, or only for Lot 3? The project brief is unclear on this front. The question seeks to confirm that the only bilingual documents required will relate to deliverables for the successful proponent.

R16. Deliverables are to be submitted in English only.

- Q17.** Does PSPC have an anticipated construction budget for Lot 2 and Lot 3 work? This is required to determine the level of sustainability intervention PSPC is requesting in order to bid sustainability support appropriately
- R17.** The anticipated construction budget is estimated at \$3.5 million.
- Q18.** On page 22 of the project brief there is mention of 5 packages of sketches and scope of work. Please elaborate on the meaning and intent of these packages - who are these packages for? PSPC, the CM, others?
- R18.** This section relates to RS 1b Heritage Asset Condition Assessment. In preparing the Condition Assessment report, additional on-site investigations may be required, which may require investigative openings or dismantling of elements including heritage elements. The "5 packages of sketches and scope of work" relates to the consultant explanation of the additional on-site investigations work that the consultant identified and needed for completing the Heritage Asset Condition Assessment. 5 investigations have been factored in for budgeting purposes. These packages are for PSPC to review and approve, and for the CM to carry out.
- Q19.** On page 29 of the project brief and Section 4.3 there is mention of a 33% submission of construction documents however in section 4.2 scope and activities section it only refers to 66%, 99%, and final submissions. Please confirm that there is no 33% submission during the construction documents phase.
- R19.** Please refer to Part 2 of this amendment.
- Q20.** On page 23 of the project brief, there are asterisks beside several scope items identified as needing to be maintained (not upgraded to meet current code requirements. What is the significance of these asterisks? No footnote is identified
- R20.** The asterisks should be interpreted as "only if deemed necessary". Please also refer to "Description of services" for more details.
- Q21.** Does PSPC have a security design brief to define the physical security requirements required for the project?
- R21.** For security requirements, please refer to Appendix E – Security requirements check list.
- Q22.** PSPC mentions consultant involvement in risk management workshops at various stages in the program. Please confirm how many workshops the consultant will be requested to participate in.
- R22.** The consultant will be requested to participate in a minimum of 1 risk management workshop.
- Q23.** PSPC has requested that the Construction Documents be separated into 3 packages. For the Design Development phase, please confirm if PSPC will require 3 separate reports or will the design development report be developed as one document
- R23.** The Construction Documents 3 packages are (RS 4 4.1):
- Lot 2: Mechanical, Electrical, Commissioning, Interior Finishes and Diesel Generator / Tank;

- Lot 3 - A: Masonry and Exterior Architectural Lighting (pre-purchase of terracotta due to lead time necessary);
- Lot 3 - B: Windows.

For the Design Development phase, 3 separate reports will be required using the same 3 package breakdown as above:

- Lot 2: Mechanical, Electrical, Commissioning, Interior Finishes and Diesel Generator / Tank;
- Lot 3 - A: Masonry and Exterior Architectural Lighting (pre-purchase of terracotta due to lead time necessary);
- Lot 3 - B: Windows.

- Q24.** Does PSPC have a specified format for the design narrative, and reports for decision logs, and calculations described on page 29 of the project brief?
- R24.** There is no specified format for design narrative, reports for decision logs, and calculations described on page 29 of the project brief. Please refer to Appendix D – Doing Business with PWGSC documentation and deliverables manual.
- Q25.** How many bidder site visits will be required by PSPC for the project based on the delivery method of the project (3 packages)? This question refers to the number of site visits for the project at time of tender, as the delivery is proposed to be done in multiple packages.
- R25.** The expectation is two site visits for the project at time of tender, one for Lot 2 and one for Lot 3.
- Q26.** What is the frequency of progress reports that PSPC would like during construction?
- R26.** During construction progress reports are required monthly.
- Q27.** Will the three construction scopes of work identified in the three construction document packages be completed in parallel or in series? This question refers to the required three construction document packages.
- R27.** The three construction document packages will be completed in series due to necessity of pre-purchase of terracotta due to lead time.
- Q28.** Is PSPC requesting full time or part time site review services during construction?
- R28.** During construction, site review services required are part time and on needed basis. The frequency will be determined during construction phase and heavily depends on the site conditions and construction schedule.
- Q29.** The evaluation criteria for years of experience of key personnel results in significant gaps between senior personnel. Would PSPC consider reducing the difference between 15, 20, and 25 years of experience to 10% per category rather than 20% per category? At these levels, staff are considered senior and are proficient at their responsibilities, thus the gap between them should not be as significant as the gap between 0, 10, and 15 years of experience.
- R29.** Please refer to Part 2 of this amendment.

- Q30.** Can PSPC provide a template for how they would like the Construction Document Report to be presented?
- R30.** Please refer to Appendix D – Doing Business with PWGSC documentation and deliverables manual. No template for Construction Document Report will be provided.
- Q31.** Commissioning section under RS 6 identifies that the consultant is to prepare the operations and maintenance manual. This is typically specified by the consultant, prepared by the general contractor, and reviewed by the consultant team at the completion of the project. Please confirm that this process is acceptable.
- R31.** The consultant will provide review only for the operations and maintenance manual.
- Q32.** Under 4.1: Please confirm the exact requirements of the GAP analysis required for both Lot 2 & 3
- R32.** The GAP analysis required is between the existing documents, including last Feasibility Study and the current site conditions.
- Q33.** Are there existing electrical drawings available including Single Line Diagrams?
- R33.** Yes, the existing drawings will be provided after contract award to the selected proponent.
- Q34.** Are the annual fire alarm verification reports available?
- R34.** The annual fire alarm verification reports will be provided after contract award to the selected proponent.
- Q35.** Has there been a sound level test been conducted in the last 5 years for the fire alarm system?
- R35.** This information is not available at this time and will be provided after contract award to the selected proponent.
- Q36.** Are any of the interior lighting fixtures considered to be heritage and cannot be replaced?
- R36.** No, there is'nt any of the interior lighting fixtures considered to be heritage and that cannot be replaced.
- Q37.** Are peak electrical demands for the last 12 months available for review?
- R37.** No, peak electrical demands for the last 12 months are not available for review.
- Q38.** Is the consultant expected to look at the accessibility requirements for the life safety systems?
- R38.** Yes, the consultant is expected to look at the accessibility requirements for the life safety systems.

Q39. For the exterior lighting – will renderings be required for new lighting of the façade?

R39. Yes, renderings and mock-up of the full design will be required for exterior lighting.

Q40. We request an extension of the submission deadline

R40. Please refer to Amendment 005

Q41. The scoping table on page 19 states “replace or upgrade air distribution and exhaust ventilation”. Please clarify if the air distribution and exhaust systems require replacement or local upgrades/modifications. There is a significant difference in M&E scope between major replacements and localized upgrades/modifications to the existing systems. If local upgrades, please define and quantify the scope.

R41. As the building is not going to be kept for longer time, upgrades would be the preferred option.

Q42. Please clarify how many fan coils and VAV boxes have to be replaced.

R42. The selected consultant will need to provide this information based on their gap analysis.

Q43. Please indicate expected budget for the mechanical and electrical work.

R43. Budget for the mechanical and electrical work is expected to be \$1 million.

Q44. Would you consider a proposal submission extension.

R44. Please refer to Amendment 005.

Q45. Amendment no. 3 introduces three new subconsultants to select and collect fixed fees and billing rates. We request a one-week extension to the submission date to permit us review scope and collect pricing from suppliers

R45. Please refer to Amendment 005.

Q46. The project makes note of new lighting on the heritage façade. In our opinion a lighting consultant is important for this component.

R46. Services of a lighting consultant are required for the new lighting on the heritage façade, however the CV is not required to be presented for 3.2.4 – Experience of Key Personnel. Please also refer to the revision in Part 2.

- Q47.** Are the newly added consultants required to meet the security requirements? If so, will an updated form F be provided?
- R47.** For security requirements, please refer to Appendix E – Security requirements check list. Please also refer to Part 2 of this amendment.
- Q48.** In light of numerous concurrent proposal deadlines and the rather large number of sub-consultants whose input is required for this solicitation, we respectfully request an additional week to complete our proposal.
- R48.** Please refer to Amendment 005.
- Q49.** Are applicants permitted to use the same project for different project experience write-up sections? As in, if the Proponent Firm and a Key Sub-Consultant worked together on a project are both permitted to use this project for their respective project experience write-ups (Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.3)? Would this result in a loss of points or outright disqualification in any way?
- R49.** Yes, applicants permitted to use the same project. It will not result in a loss of points or outright disqualification in any way.
- Q50.** RS 2 - 2.2 Scope and Activities request "complete detailed structural and seismic analysis, sensitivity analysis". Is it the expectation of this project to complete a detailed structural and seismic analysis of the building as a whole to inform interventions at the façade or to treat the façade as a non-structural component and analyze accordingly?
- R50.** Yes, the expectation of this project is to complete a detailed structural and seismic analysis of the building as a whole to inform interventions at the façade. This will allow for the further development and understanding of façade and future building rehabilitation options.
- Q51.** In regards to the APPENDIX G – PROJECT BRIEF / TERMS OF REFERENCE – PD 6 Project Schedule : Milestones and Associated Deliverables from Amendment #3 – Which section of the Technical Proposal should the schedule be included under and how exactly is it being evaluated.
- R51.** Please refer to SRE 3 section 3.2.4 Understanding o the project.
- Q52.** Can you please clarify if it is the Consultant team or Construction Manager who is to provide Clerk of Works? Is this Clerk of Works position also considered principal site representative?
- R52.** CM will manage the site. Consultant may be required to provide a part-time supervision of some aspects of the work, such as reconstruction of terra cotta bricks, window replacement, and eventual exterior lighting mock-up and installations.
- Q53.** Please confirm that hydrothermal modelling is not required as part of the fixed fee services.

R53. Hydrothermal modelling is not required as part of the fixed fee services.

Q54. Given that there are a series of important questions which have not been responded to yet, it appears to us that the submission deadline needs to be further extended to allow proponents enough lead time to fairly work material into the submission. Can you please confirm that this will be the case?

R54. Please refer to Amendment 005.

PART 2 - REVISION TO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

1. SRE 3 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION

Requirement **3.2.4 Understanding of the Project** is modified as Requirement **3.2.5.a Understanding of the Project**

Requirement **3.2.5 Scope of Services** is modified as Requirement **3.2.5.b Scope of Services**

2. SRE 3 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION

DELETE:

Delete : 3.2.4. Experience of Key Personnel in its entirety

REPLACE WITH:

Replace with the following:

3.2.4 Experience of Key Personnel

The Proponent should provide CVs of the Key Personnel listed in 3.1.2.d. that describe the expertise, performance, achievements and experience of these individuals regardless of their past association with the current Proponent firm. This is the opportunity to emphasize the strengths of the individuals on the team, to recognize their past responsibilities, commitments and achievements. All Key Personnel identified, should have at least 10 years' experience in their field of expertise. If multiple functions are proposed to be performed by one Key Personnel, it should be identified here.

Information that should be supplied for each key personnel:

- Individual's name, title and name of firm
- Professional accreditation details (province, year, status, etc.)
- Accomplishments/achievements/awards
- A description of expertise and experience (including number of years) relevant to this project
- A demonstration of the role(s), responsibility(ies) and degree of involvement of the individual on past projects

Key Personnel listed in 3.1.2.d.	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Available Points
	Individual has less than 10 years of experience. Individual does not possess qualifications related to this requirement	Individual has more than 10 years of experience. However individual lacks qualifications related to this requirement	Individual has more than 10 years of experience. Individual has an acceptable level of qualifications related to this requirement	Individual has more than 12 years of experience. Individual have qualifications directly related to this requirement	Individual has more than 15 years of experience. Individual have qualifications directly related to this requirement	Individual has more than 20 years of experience. Individual have qualifications directly related to this requirement	15 points

3. SRE 3 SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION

DELETE:

Delete : 3.3 Evaluation and Rating in its entirety

REPLACE WITH:

Replace with the following:

3.3 EVALUATION AND RATING

Only the technical components of the proposals which are responsive will be reviewed, evaluated and rated by a PWGSC Evaluation Board in accordance with the following to establish Technical Ratings:

Criterion	Weight Factor	Rating	Weighted Rating
3.2.1. Experience of Proponent on Projects	1.5	0 - 10	0 – 15
3.2.2. Experience of Specialists on Projects	2.0	0 - 10	0 – 20
3.2.3. Experience of Key Sub-consultants on Projects	1.0	0 - 10	0 – 10
3.2.4. Experience of Key Personnel	1.5	0 - 10	0 – 15
3.2.5.a. Understanding of the Project	1.0	0 - 10	0 – 10
3.2.5.b. Scope of Services	1.0	0 - 10	0 – 10
3.2.6. Management of Services	1.0	0 - 10	0 – 10
3.2.7. Design Philosophy / Approach / Methodology	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
Technical Rating	10.0		0 - 100

Evaluation Table

PWGSC Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Proponent's response to the evaluation criteria. All the Rated Requirements except for 3.2.4 - Achievements of Key Personnel, will be rated with even numbers (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the generic evaluation table below. Refer to 3.2.4 for the table to be used for evaluating Achievements of Key Personnel.

Rated Requirements	0 point	INADEQUATE	WEAK	ADEQUATE	FULLY SATISFACTORY	STRONG
		2 points	4 points	6 points	8 points	10 points
3.2.1. 3.2.2. 3.2.3.	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Sample projects not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally related to this requirement	Sample projects directly related to this requirement	Leads in sample projects directly related to this requirement
<u>3.2.5.a</u> <u>3.2.5.b</u> 3.2.6. 3.2.7.	Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Lacks complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements.	Has some understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understanding in some areas of the requirements.	Demonstrates a good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates a very good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the requirements.

To be considered further, proponents **must** achieve a minimum Technical Rating of fifty (50) points out of the hundred (100) points available as specified above.

No further consideration will be given to proponents not achieving the pass mark of fifty (50) points.

4. APPENDIX C – PRICE PROPOSAL FORM

DELETE:

Delete : **2. Optional Services - if and when required Table 2** in its entirety

REPLACE WITH:

Replace with the following:
Table 2

Time Based Fees R1230D (2018-06-21) [GC 5 - Terms of Payment– Architectural and/or Engineering Services](#)
for Optional Services

Service	Estimated Hours Column A	Hourly Rates** Column B	Time Based Fee Column C (C=AxB)
Prime Architect			
Lead Project Architect	500	\$.....	\$.....
Key Sub-consultants / Specialists Firms			
Lead Conservation Architect	500	\$.....	\$.....
Senior Architect	500	\$.....	\$.....
Intermediate Architect	500	\$.....	\$.....
Junior Architect	500	\$.....	\$.....
Architectural Technologist	500	\$.....	\$.....
Masonry Conservator (with technical expertise in Terra Cotta)	500	\$.....	\$.....
Other			
Cost Consultant	500	\$.....	\$.....
Commissioning Specialist	500	\$.....	\$.....
Sustainability specialist	500	\$.....	\$.....
Building envelope specialist	500	\$.....	\$.....
Lighting consultant	500	\$.....	\$.....
Structural			
Lead Structural Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Senior Structural Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Intermediate Structural Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Junior Structural Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Mechanical			
Lead Mechanical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Senior Mechanical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Intermediate Mechanical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Junior Mechanical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Mechanical Technologist	500	\$.....	\$.....
Electrical			

Lead Electrical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Senior Electrical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Intermediate Electrical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Junior Electrical Engineer	500	\$.....	\$.....
Electrical Technologist	500	\$.....	\$.....
Fire Protection and Building Code Specialist			
Lead Fire Protection and Building Code Specialist	500	\$.....	\$.....
Fire Protection and Building Code Technologist	500		
MAXIMUM TIME BASED FEES FOR OPTIONAL SERVICES	\$.....(B)		

5. APPENDIX F - INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT

DELETE:

Delete : APPENDIX F - INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT in its entirety

REPLACE WITH:

Replace with the following:

APPENDIX F – INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT

PRIME CONSULTANT (Proponent Architect)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

Specialists Firms (Conservation Architect)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

APPENDIX F – INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT (CONT'D)

Specialists Firms (Structural Engineer with Heritage Building Conservation Specialty)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

Specialists Firms (Masonry Conservator with Technical Expertise in Terra Cotta)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

APPENDIX F – INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT (CONT'D)

Key Sub-Consultants (Cost Estimator)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

Key Sub-Consultants (Mechanical Engineer)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

APPENDIX F – INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT (CONT'D)

Key Sub-Consultants (Electrical Engineer)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

Key Sub-Consultants (Fire Protection and Building Code Specialist)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

APPENDIX F – INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT (CONT'D)

Key Sub-Consultants (Commissioning Specialist)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

Key Sub-Consultants (Sustainability Specialist)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

Key Sub-Consultants (Building Envelope Specialist)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

APPENDIX F – INFORMATION RELATED TO SECURITY REQUIREMENT (CONT'D)

Key Sub-Consultants (Lighting consultant)	
Legal Name of Firm:	
Complete Address:	
Telephone Number:	
CISD File Number:	
Organization Security Clearance:	

6. APPENDIX G - PROJECT BRIEF / TERMS OF REFERENCE – RS 4 Construction documents – Lots 2 and 3 – 4.2 Scope and Activities

The first paragraph of this section is modified as

DELETE:

Prepare and submit Construction Documents. Assume three separate work packages.

- Submit each work package as 66% draft for review by PWGSC, incorporate PWGSC comments;
- Submit each work package as 99% draft for review by PWGSC, incorporate PWGSC comments and resubmit as Final;
- Risk Management analysis, plan are not required in RS 4 – Construction Documents. PWGSC will conduct risk management workshops with consultant participation

REPLACE WITH:

Replace with the following:

Prepare and submit Construction Documents. Assume **four** separate work packages.

- **Submit each work package as 33% draft for review by PWGSC, incorporate PWGSC comments;**
- Submit each work package as 66% draft for review by PWGSC, incorporate PWGSC comments;
- Submit each work package as 99% draft for review by PWGSC, incorporate PWGSC comments and resubmit as Final;
- Risk Management analysis, plan are not required in RS 4 – Construction Documents. PWGSC will conduct risk management workshops with consultant participation

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED