



RETURN BIDS TO:

Canada Energy Regulator
517 - 10th Avenue SW
Calgary, AB, Canada T2R 0A8
Bid Email: proposals.propositions@neb-one.gc.ca

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

Comments

This documents contains no security requirement.

Proposal To: National Energy Board

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain The same.

Title		
Legislative Consulting Services		
Solicitation No.	Amendment No	Date
84084-21-0008	001	June 17, 2021
Solicitation Closes		Time Zone
at	02 :00 PM – 14h00	
on	June 25, 2021	
F.O.B.		
Plant: <input type="checkbox"/>	Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: <input type="checkbox"/>
Address inquiries to:		
Carol A. Hambleton		
Area code and Telephone No.	Facsimile No. / E-mail	
	Carol.Hambleton@cer-rec.gc.ca	
Destination – of Goods, Services, and Construction:		

Instructions: See Herein

Delivery required	Delivery offered
See Herein	
Vendor/firm Name and Address	
Telephone No.	
E-mail	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/firm (type or print)	
Signature	Date



This Amendment 0001 is raised to answer questions asked by potential bidders for the Solicitation# 84084-21-0008 as follows:

Question #1

In reviewing this Solicitation I note that on page 1 the date given for the end of the Solicitation is 2021-06-25 and on page 6 it is June 23, 2021. There is an apparent conflict here – could you please clarify.

Answer #1

Closing Date for this solicitation is June 25, 2021 at 14:00 Mountain Time

Question #2

In the point rated technical criteria, ID no.R1.2, the criteria states that the Bidder should clearly demonstrate that the Proposed Resource has drafted legislation associated with health and safety, security and environmental protection in **either of three fields** (the word **“or”** is used to separate the three items) and yet for the allocation of points it seems that 5 points are allocated to **each of the three criteria** for a maximum total of 15 points. Why is this so if the three fields are phrased as alternatives? (contrast Criterion no. R1.1 where the three areas are not phrased as alternatives).

Answer #2

Delete:

Proponent should clearly demonstrate that the Proposed Resource has drafted legislation for regulations or related documents associated with health and safety, security, and environmental protection in the following fields:

- 1) Petroleum development and management; or
- 2) Related to transportation by pipeline; or
- 3) Management system requirements for oil and gas pipelines.

Replace with:

Proponent should clearly demonstrate that the Proposed Resource has drafted legislation for regulations or related documents associated with health and safety, security, and environmental protection in the following fields:

- 1) Petroleum development and management;
- 2) Related to transportation by pipeline; and
- 3) Management system requirements for oil and gas pipelines.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.