



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Business Management and Consulting Services Division
/ Division des services de gestion des affaires et de
consultation

Terrasses de la Chaudière 5th Floor

Terrasses de la Chaudière 5e étage

10 Wellington Street

10, rue Wellington

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet RFP - New Contract - PM Resources	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47419-211699/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 010
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 47419-211699	Date 2021-06-18
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZG-423-39527	
File No. - N° de dossier 423zg.47419-211699	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2021-06-25 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Marcotte, Karen	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 423zg
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-8522 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment 010 is raised to:

1. Answer the following questions:

Question 39: It is typical for RFPs of this nature to request a bidder demonstrate its capability and strength through their experience in delivering a larger number of quality resources on a given contract, especially when the requirements of the RFP ask for experience on contracts that have a value of \$5M or greater. In this RFP, the current RTC1 requirement in each Workstream request the opposite. It is written to favour bidders who have been providing a single resource consistently over the past 5 years or a resource who has had to replace a resource (potentially over and over again) over a sequential period. These scenarios do not accurately reflect a bidder's capability to deliver similar relevant wide-ranging professional services like those for large RFPs; whereas a better reflection is the ability for a bidder to provide a higher volume of resources simultaneously over a period of time. For example, a bidder proving experience supplying five Business Analyst resources simultaneously shows the same or better capability than a bidder proving experience supplying one resource for four years. Given this, in addition to allowing bidders to demonstrate the delivery of a resource in a single resource category over a sequential period, will you also allow bidders to demonstrate experience providing a number of resources simultaneously in the same resource category on a contract?

Answer 39: MTC1-B of all workstreams states "the Bidder must demonstrate in its bid that the reference Contract(s) have a cumulative total of at least 2,000 billable days for the resource categories covering a period not more than three years."

Question 40: UX design services are typically services involving specialized activities that are conducted at the outset of a project and may be a pre-condition to proceeding with any major design initiative. Given the context of their role in projects will the Crown please revisit its response to Question 27 and accept contract values that include amendments for RTC2 on all workstreams?

Answer 40: Each contract identified must have an initial minimum value of \$1,000,000.00 (CAD) excluding applicable taxes, not including amendments.

Question 41: In Amendment 7 (June 15), question 29 stated: "Workstream 3, MTC1-B states that the Bidder must demonstrate 2,000 billable days for the PIA Specialist. The 2,000 hours requested for the other two Workstreams can be attained by combining two contract references for two resource categories, whereas in this Workstream, only one contract reference and resource category can contribute to the hours. This seems to have been addressed in the related Rated requirement (RTC1) where there is a requirement for fewer hours for maximum points; however, the hours for the Mandatory remains at the higher number. For consistency, we respectfully request that the Crown reduce the number of hours for the PIA Specialist to 1,000 hours for Workstream 3 MTC1-B in order to address these disparities."

Sollicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
474179-211699/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
47419-211699

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
010
File No. - N° du dossier
423ZG.47419.211699

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
423ZG
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

The answer to question 29 referred to the number of contracts to be used for the requirement, which unfortunately does not address the question. We respectfully request that the Crown re-address this question in the next amendment.

Answer 41: The criteria in MTC1-B will not be amended for Workstream 3.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged