



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Shared Systems Division (XL)/Division des systèmes
partagés (XL)

Terrasses de la Chaudière

4th Floor, 10 Wellington Street

4th etage, 10, rue Wellington

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet National Cybercrime Solution Projec Solution nationale en matière de cybercriminalité	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation M7594-205915/D	Amendment No. - N° modif. 017
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client M7594-205915	Date 2021-06-30
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$XL-155-39352	
File No. - N° de dossier 164xl.M7594-205915	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2021-07-13 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Kumar, Rajesh	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 164xl
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 914-7906 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Solicitation Amendment #017 is raised to:

1. Post Questions and Answers

The Solicitation is amended as follows:

1. Questions and Answers:

Question #	Question	Response
158	In Canada's Response 90 in Amendment 007, to the question "Please confirm if Partner and Police Users will be added to Azure AD natively or if RCMP is using a B2C approach?", Canada responded: "Both approaches will be used and must be supported by the Solution." Does it mean some P3 users will be invited into RCMP Azure AD as guest users via Azure AD B2B service and other P3 users will sign up using their emails with passwords or using their social media accounts such as Facebook, Google or LinkedIn via Azure AD B2C service? Amendment 001 Section 4.6 d) iv) states that "Cloud Deployment The Solution must use Azure AD (Active Directory) functionality for Identity and Access Management for Internal RCMP and external partner Users of the Solution. " which seems to indicate no social accounts should be used. Please clarify the requirement.	RCMP will add some policing partners natively to Azure AD as well as federating to some partners and providing credentials to other partners. RCMP is considering using Azure AD in conjunction with either Azure B2B and Azure B2C, or another Government of Canada internal ICAM solution, in either scenario, credentials will be limited to qualified domain names as appropriate to the nature of the partner and the level of access they will be granted. The Contractor must work with the RCMP to ensure that the NCS supports the ICAM model.
159	In the response to Question 156, PSPC indicated that the required security clearances for personnel performing working on the Phase 1 – Prototype are "ON SITE – Facility Access II with escort" and "ON SITE – Facility Access II without escort". Please confirm that there are no required clearances for other personnel performing work on the Phase 1 – Prototype (e.g., personnel performing work at the Contractor's premises or another off-site location).	Canada requires that all personnel performing work on the Phase 1 – Prototype obtain and maintain "Facility Access Level II" as per the definition in the Personnel Security section of SRCL Security Guide – NCS Prototype in Annex C – Security Requirement Check List – Phase 1 - Prototype.
160	Please confirm that the bidder would have the opportunity after Bid submission to revise and reduce their costs for Pricing Table 3 for final evaluation based on learnings and efficiencies identified during the Prototype phase. Therefore, the revised, lower costed Table 3 submission would then be used for final Bid Award calculation.	No. The bidder's price submitted at bid closing will be the price used by Canada for purposes of the bid evaluations as per part 4 of the RFP. Canada strongly recommends that bidders put forward their best pricing offer at the time of bid closing.

Question #	Question	Response
161	<p>Throughout this RFP process, we have submitted a number of questions regarding the Prototype phase in order to achieve the right balance of scope that would meet RCMP's critical needs to evaluate the right solution for this important program, while at the same time, making this phase a commercially reasonable pre-sales investment for the Respondent.</p> <p>Unfortunately, to-date, the Prototype phase requirements remain as is, as per the Q&A addenda. These requirements are a significant burden and upfront investment required by the Respondents, without only minimal \$225K return for a 5 month duration project. The requirements essentially define a "production ready" solution – not a "proof of concept or Prototype", which is material effort on configuration, development and documentation creation for RCMP/GC specific requirements. Unfortunately, with scope remaining as is, this will result in our inability to provide a strong competitive response for your evaluation and best decision making.</p> <p>We respectfully request final consideration by RCMP on the scope and scale of the Prototype phase to enable a commercially reasonable expectation from Respondents. Would RCMP consider a number of options that would reduce the impact on the Respondents, and increase the probability of you receiving multiple competitive bids to evaluate? Such as, the following options. However, adjusting just 1 of these scope levers will not be sufficient, we propose that a 50-70% reduction in scope of the Prototype would be a fair investment ask of Respondents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the # of unique RCMP scenarios requiring custom development in platform? • Reducing the scope of the scenarios – reduce the demonstration / significant requirements to integrate to multiple systems and data sets. • Reduce the amount of real-time workflows / business rules and logic. • Reduce the scope of "proof of production" evaluation, including Protected B, PKI guidelines, etc. • Reduce the amount of interoperability to demonstrate for a production ready system 	<p>Canada is seeking a Solution in accordance with the RFP. The requirements for the prototype solution are to assess the viability of the Supplier's solution with the scenarios prior to Canada making a selection decision for the deployment of the Phase 2 Full Solution. The scenarios are therefore an important requirement and criteria for Canada to assess the proposed solutions prior to committing and investing in the Phase 2 Full Solution.</p> <p>As part of this agile procurement process, Canada actively engaged Industry on all aspects of its requirements and draft bid solicitation document during the industry engagement process. The feedback from industry was appreciated and duly reviewed by Canada. Where appropriate, Canada considered industry feedback and incorporated these in the final posted RFP. More specifically, feedback received from industry on the adequacy of the price envelope for the prototype solution resulted in Canada amending the price from \$100,000 to \$225,000 (including PoP test if applicable). The price increase was in consideration of Canada's requirements and supplier's efforts to deliver the production ready solution for Canada's CUA assessment.</p> <p>To meet NCS project specific objectives, it is Canada's position that the scenarios as presented for Canada's CUA assessment and the pricing approach for the prototype phase remains unchanged.</p>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
M7594-205915/D
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
M7594-205915

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
017
File No. - N° du dossier
164xl.M7594-205915

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
164XL
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Question #	Question	Response
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Reduce the requirement for resources on the Prototype phase to be Canadian security cleared resources, allowing broader / more cost-effective resources to work on the development. OR narrow the requirement to only those that have any access to Protected B data during this phase. <p>Alternatively, would RCMP consider a “paid / cost recovery” Prototype phase to help offset some of the investment required by Bidders. Similar to the ESDC BDM process – Prototype Phase was a paid engagement. The ESDC BDM program required significant upfront investment by Bidders, however, the return was reasonable, as it was committed that only 4 Consortia were “qualified” and only providers in a 10-year contract. Whereas this RFP, there is no commitment or potential for cost recovery.</p> <p>We remain committed to RCMP and this fantastic opportunity and are confident that, if the above could be adjusted to a reasonable approach, we could present you with a very compelling solution for your evaluation.</p> <p>We respectfully request a timely response to inform our final gate decision for a bid / no bid approvals.</p>	
162	Would Canada please extend the submission deadline for the National Cybercrime Solution (NCS) (M7594-205915/C) by one month. The rationale is that there are still outstanding questions and clarifications required for the prototype that remain unanswered. It is in RCMP's interests to continue to refine prototype scope for a successful outcome that helps NC3 achieve its mandate in the most timely manner possible.	No. Based on past requests from Industry, Canada has previously extended the closing date. The closing date remains July 13, 2021, as stated in Solicitation Amendment #010.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BID SOLICITATION REMAIN
UNCHANGED.**