QUESTIONS & ANSWERS FOR RFSO 1000227016

| Q&A | QUESTIONS & ANSWERS |
|-----|---|
| Q5 | For the above referenced RFP, we have noticed that two different closing dates are noted. On the RFSO cover page, the closing date is July 23, 2021 and Buyandsell.gc.ca has a closing date of August 3, 2021. Can you please confirm which date is correct? |
| A5 | The closing date for this RFSO is July 23, 2021 |
| Q6 | The solicitation asks for two Project Personnel in M2, each with two years of experience and accreditations with PGO or PEO. Task 2 of the RFSO includes Risk Assessment components (i.e. HHERA) and PGO/PEO are not applicable to these specializations. Would a candidate with an equivalent level of Risk Assessment experience and/or other qualifications (i.e. QPRA) be accepted in lieu of PGO/PEO "good standing" or "in-training status"? |
| A6 | A candidate with the QPRA designation is only accepted for work related to the Risk Assessment component under Task 2 of the RFSO. Please state that specifically in the proposal, and provide curriculum vitae of the candidate along with documentation proof. Note that qualifications of the QPRA candidate has to follow the definition under section 6 of the O. Reg 153/04. For grading purposes, only project personnel candidate proposed that meet the mandatory requirement M2 will be considered. |
| Q7 | Can a sub-consultant be named to a Key Personnel role for evaluation in M2 and R3? Similarly, can a sub-consultant-led project be named for evaluation in R4? |
| A7 | Qualified personnel from the sub-consultant can be named as a Key Personnel role for evaluation in M2 and R3. Sub-consultant-led project can also be summarized as per evaluation criteria R4. The Consultant and sub-consultant in this case can be considered as a joint venture (refer to section 7.9). Note that the personnel proposed has/have to be the same personnel conducting the work during a Call-up. Any resource substitution or replacement has to follow section S.W.9 of the RFSO. |
| Q8 | The solicitation makes mandatory two Senior Field Technicians, each with five years of experience and Ontario Association of Certified Engineering Technicians and Technologists (C.E.T.) designation. |
| | 1) Would an Applied Science Technologist (A.Sc.T.) designation from the Ontario Association of Certified Engineering Technicians and Technologists be acceptable instead of a C.E.T. designation? |
| | 2) Would a C.E.T. designation from another province outside of Ontario (for example, C.E.T. designation from Certified Technology Professionals of Nova Scotia (TechNova)) be acceptable? |
| A8 | 1) A.Sc.T. designation from OACETT is accepted; |
| | 2) Designations from Ontario would be preferred. However, if the personnel with equivalent designation of C.E.T. from another province of Ontario has minimum years of |

experiences and technical knowledge working in Ontario (as per M2 and R3), it will be accepted.