

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS FOR RFSO 1000227016

Q&A	QUESTIONS & ANSWERS
Q9	<p>Could you please confirm that points will be awarded for awards/publications/accomplishments for not only the Senior Project Personnel, but also for the Senior Field Technicians and the Project Personnel?</p>
A9	<p>It is confirmed that points will be awarded for the awards/publications/accomplishments for each assessed Technical Personnel (i.e., Senior Project Personnel, Senior Field Technicians and Project Personnel). Points will be awarded according to Technical Evaluation Criteria R3 (3.1).</p>
Q10	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. M3 requires projects to be from the last five (5) years. Can this be changed to ten (10)? 2. In M3, the Risk Management and Remediation Planning project must be on Federal Lands. Can this be relaxed to include Territorial Lands. <p>The reasons for asking these questions are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Five years is not very long in the scheme of things. 2. We have examples of Remediation Planning that involve significant First Nations involvement, but on lands that are regulated by the Territorial Government, not the Federal Government.
A10	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No, only projects from the last five (5) years will be considered. For this Standing Offer, ISC values Consultants that have the capacity and ability to complete multiple projects simultaneously, as there could be multiple call-ups during the same fiscal year. 2. No, risk management and remediation planning project cannot be relaxed to include territorial lands. The department's risk management and remediation projects mostly occur on Federal Lands. Although we greatly value First Nations involvement, projects that happen on Territorial Lands may have significantly different regulatory framework than those on Federal Lands.
Q11	<p>The RFP states: "The Offeror is encouraged to submit CVs for more than two (2) candidates for each Personnel Category. For the purpose of Offer evaluation, ISC will only evaluate two (2) candidates with the highest number of years of relevant experiences. Additional candidates and their technical qualifications may be evaluated later and considered when selecting a successful Offeror for a Call-up".</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Can we specify in the proposal which two proposed personnel we would like to have evaluated under each staff category as opposed to default to the two candidates with the highest number of years of relevant experience? 2) Do the CVs for the extra personnel (beyond the 2 per category) need to follow the same requirements as the CVs for the 2 staff to be evaluated?
A11	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Yes, you can specify the two proposed personnel you would like to be evaluated. 2) It is recommended that the extra personnel to follow the same requirements. Any extra personnel proposed can be considered as a replacement for the two

	<p>evaluated personnel from the same staff category. As stated in SW9 of the RFSO, each of the new resource(s) must meet Mandatory Requirement - M2, M3. The re-calculation of Point-Rated Technical Criteria R2 and R3 must each achieve 60% of the points available.</p>
--	--