



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
→ TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Detection, Simulation and Optical Systems Division

Place du Portage III, 8C2

11 rue Laurier Street

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet VICTORIA CLASS SUBMARINES VICTORIA CLASS SUBMARINE TRAINERS	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8482-195554/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8482-195554	Date 2021-07-23
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$QT-011-28250	
File No. - N° de dossier 011qt.W8482-195554	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2021-08-31 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Derby(QT Div), Sandra	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 011qt
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (873) 355-4982 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation amendment 004 is issued to respond to questions.

Question #1:

Incorrect reference - Amendment 1 – ANNEX A– SOW

16.15 Non-Disclosure Agreements

The Contractor is solely responsible to obtain from the following organizations, and maintain for the duration of the Contract, those necessary agreements which will permit the Contractor to fully perform the Work:

- a. Canada, for use of TDP and trainer related data supplied as GFI;
- b. The OEMs identified in section 17.17 above, as required to perform the duties and provide the services identified in this SOW; and"

Recommendation: to replace section 17.17 for section 16.13

Answer to Question #1:

Canada is in agreement with the recommendation that the reference to section 17.17 be changed to section 16.13.

Question #2:

Bookmark Error - Amendment 1 – ANNEX – SOW – Table of Contents (ToC) Part 4

Answer to Question #2:

Please disregard this error indication. These Qualifications were removed from the SOW but the ToC was not updated.

Question #3:

Bookmark Error - ANNEX C BEP

Answer to Question #3:

Please disregard this error indication.

Question #4:

Scoring Table Requirement - Appendix 2 to ANNEX C – Technical Proposal

B19 and B6ii- Assessment of the SCT/MCT Trainer OSRs' knowledge/understanding of the Submarine Officer Qualification Course (SOQC) course/training.

This course was discontinued more than 10 years ago, when the NCM and Officer's Submarine Qualification Courses were merged into one Basic Submarine Qualification (BSQ) Course.

So, no one can score above zero for this item.

Recommendation: removing this item from the Technical Proposal scoring.

Answer to Question #4:

Our sources indicate that the SOQC course officially ended in 2015. So as not to disadvantage candidates who have experience in only one of the SOQC and BSQ courses, the scoring criteria is changed as follows:

Criteria B6 in Table 1 of Appendix 2 to ANNEX C is changed from:

Assessment of the proposed Project Manager's knowledge/understanding of the following courses/training:

- | | | |
|------|--|----|
| i. | Basic Submarine Qualification (BSQ); | /5 |
| ii. | Submarine Officer Qualification Course (SOQC); and | /5 |
| iii. | Control Room Watchkeeper (Dived) (CRWK(D)); | /5 |

to:

Assessment of the proposed Project Manager's knowledge/understanding of the following courses/training:

- | | | |
|-----|---|----|
| i. | Basic Submarine Qualification (BSQ) course or
Submarine Officer Qualification Course (SOQC); and | /5 |
| ii. | Control Room Watchkeeper (Dived) (CRWK(D)); | /5 |

Criteria B18 in Table 1 of Appendix 2 to ANNEX C is changed from:

Assessment of the SCT/MCT Trainer OSRs' knowledge/understanding of the Basic Submarine Qualification (BSQ) course/training:

- | | | |
|---|--------|----|
| - | OSR #1 | /5 |
| - | OSR #2 | /5 |
| - | OSR #3 | /5 |
| - | OSR #4 | /5 |

to:

Assessment of the SCT/MCT Trainer OSRs' knowledge/understanding of the Basic Submarine Qualification (BSQ) course/training or Submarine Officer Qualification Course (SOQC) course/training:

-	OSR #1	/5
-	OSR #2	/5
-	OSR #3	/5
-	OSR #4	/5

Criteria B19 is deleted from Table 1 of Appendix 2 to ANNEX C.

See answer to Question 5 for changes to additional scoring parameters.

Question #5:

Incorrect Scoring - Appendix 2 to ANNEX C – Point Rated Evaluation Criteria

TABLE 4 – TOTAL SCORE FOR POINT-RATED EVALUATION

Shows 550 score for “Technical”, but the Technical scoring table adds up to 560.

Recommendation: to amend TOTAL SCORE FOR POINT-RATED EVALUATION – Technical Minimum Score from 336 to 330.

Answer to Question #5:

Taking into consideration the responses to Questions 4, 5, 6 and 7:

- a) The Technical Capability Maximum score on page 13 of Appendix 2 to ANNEX C is changed from 550 to 535.
- b) The Minimum Technical Scoring in Table 4 of Appendix 2 to ANNEX C is changed from 330 to 320.
- c) The Maximum Possible Technical Score in Table 1 of section 2.2.5 of ANNEX C is changed from 550 to 535.
- d) The Maximum Possible Score in Table 1 of section 2.2.5 of ANNEX C is changed from 65 to 60 for the Project Manager, SCT/MCT OSR #1, SCT/MCT OSR #2, SCT/MCT OSR #3, and SCT/MCT OSR #4.
- e) The Minimum Technical Score to Pass in Table 1 of section 2.2.5 of ANNEX C is changed from 330 to 320.
- f) The Maximum Total Score in Table 4 of Appendix 2 to ANNEX C, and in Table 1 in section 2.2.5 of ANNEX C, are each changed from 760 to 745.

Question #6:

Incorrect Scoring - Appendix 2 to ANNEX C – BID EVALUATION PLAN (BEP)

Table 1 summarizes the method of evaluation for this BEP.

Recommendation: to amend Technical Proposal Score from 550 to 560.

Answer to Question #6:

See answer to Question #5.

Question #7:

Incorrect Scoring - Appendix 2 to Annex C to VCT - (BEP)

Table 4 - Total Score for Point-Rated Evaluation - Total bidder Score is 760, should be 770.

Recommendation: to clarify that in Table 4 The Technical, Management and Comprehension scores are used to assess each as a Pass/Fail. It is unclear from the RFP if this is an individual scoring or a total minimum scoring pass/fail?

Answer to Question #7:

See answer to Question #5.

Also, note that the pass/fail assessment indicated in Table 4 of Appendix 2 to ANNEX C, and in Table 1 of section 2.2.5 of ANNEX C, will be applied to each of the Technical, Management and Comprehension scores.

Question #8:

OSR Schooling and Training Validation - Appendix 1 to ANNEX C – Mandatory Requirements Evaluation Criteria

Recommendation: Change T4 to the following, "All seven (7) VCT OSRs have a secondary school education or have successfully completed QL6A training within the Canadian Forces.

Answer to Question #8:

Canada is in agreement with the recommendation.

Question #9:

Appendix 3 to Annex C and Appendix I – Pricing Schedule

1) Table 1, "PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES" and Table 2, "BUILDING S-100 CLEANING SERVICES" have "Contract Periods" specifically stating start and end months and years, e.g. "01 Oct 2021 to 31 March 2022".

Table 3, "OSR FIRM DAILY LABOUR RATES for CONTRACT PERIOD", Table 3a, "FIRM DAILY LABOUR RATES for OPTION PERIODS", Table 4a, "FIRM HOURLY RATES FOR ADDITIONAL WORK REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT PERIOD", and, Table 4, "FIRM HOURLY RATES FOR ADDITIONAL WORK REQUIREMENTS OPTION PERIODS", all have rate period

stated as "FY 21/22".

Recommendation: To clarify if the "FY 21/22" is the same as "01 Oct 2021 to 31 March 2022"? If not, what is the start and end dates of each of the "FY"s?

Answer to Question #9:

For Table 3, yes the Contract Period in Table 3 FY 21/22 is the same as 01 Oct 2021 to 31 March 2022 from Table 1. Start and end dates for all other fiscal years is 01 April to 31 March.

Question #10:

Appendix 3 to Annex C and Appendix I – Pricing Schedule

2) Will the "BID PRICE CALCULATION" table, Item No. 1, "Year 1 FY 21/22" be equal to Table 1, "PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES" contract period "01 Oct 2021 to 31 March 2022", times 6, for 6 months? e.g.:

"BID PRICE CALCULATION" table, Item No. 1, "Year 1 FY 21/22"

=

Table 1, "PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES" contract period "01 Oct 2021 to 31 March 2022", times 6

"BID PRICE CALCULATION" table, Item No. 1, "Year 1 FY 22/23"

=

Table 1, "PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES" contract period "01 Apr 2022 to 31 March 2023", times 12

Answer to Question #10:

For anywhere that the contract period states 01 October 2021 to 31 March 2022 the calculation is times 6. For fiscal years e.g. 2022/2023 the calculation is times 12.

Question #11:

Appendix 3 to Annex C and Appendix I – Pricing Schedule

3) Is Table 3, "OSR FIRM DAILY LABOUR RATES for CONTRACT PERIOD", "SCT/MCT OSR", "FY 21/22" rate applied to Table "BID PRICE CALCULATION", Item No. 3, "Year 1 FY 21/22"?

Answer to Question #11:

Yes it is applied but for FY 21/22 the calculation should be based on 115 days

Question #12:

Appendix 3 to Annex C and Appendix I – Pricing Schedule

4) In the "BID PRICE CALCULATION" table, is "Year 1 FY 21/22" only 6 months? If so, should the multiplier be 115 days instead of 230 days" for Item Nos. 3 to 9?

Answer to Question #12:

Yes the Year 1 is only 6 months so the multiplier should be 115 days for items 3 to 9.

Question #13:

Appendix 3 to Annex C and Appendix I – Pricing Schedule

5) In the "BID PRICE CALCULATION" table, should there be a "Year 7 FY 27/28" column?

Answer to Question #13:

Yes a column for Year 7 should be added for a total of 7 columns.

Question #14:

Appendix I – Pricing Schedule - SETF OSR

The SETF is a collection of electrical trainers that are managed by the Miscellaneous Trainers OSR. There is no specific OSR for the SETF.

Recommendation: removing SETF OSR from:
3. OSR FIRM DAILY LABOUR RATES for CONTRACT PERIOD
3a. FIRM DAILY LABOUR RATES for OPTION PERIODS

Answer to Question #14:

Canada is in agreement with the recommendation. There is no SETF OSR therefore columns that mention SETF should be removed.