



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services

professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation E60ZR-202994/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 008
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20202994	Date 2021-10-01
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-629-39889	
File No. - N° de dossier 629el.E60ZR-202994	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2021-10-05 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Morin, Sylvie	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 629el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 859-0761 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Amendment 008 is raised to answer Bidders questions.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 22

Appendix C to Annex A - Resource Assessment Criteria (WS1), Resources 6 (A.5 ERP Technical Analyst, Level 2) and 7 (A.5 ERP Technical Analyst, Level 3): Of the eight tasks listed in criteria M-6.1 and M-7.1 respectively, three of them are identified in TBIPS as tasks associated with a Data Conversion Specialist and not an ERP Technical Analyst. We respectfully request that the following tasks be removed from the ERP Technical Analyst criteria as they are a function of a Data Conversion Specialist and already appear as 3 of the 4 tasks identified for the Data Conversion Specialist Level 2 and Level 3 in criteria M-10.1 and M-11.1 respectively on pages 18 and 19 of Appendix C to Annex A:

- Analyzing and coordinating data file conversions
- Completing mapping, interfaces, mock conversion work, enhancements, actual conversion, and verify completeness and accuracy of converted data; and
- Importing files from heterogeneous platforms

Answer 22

The requirement is to have experience with 5 of the 8 tasks, the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 23

In Amendment 4 QA17 PSPC says "To qualify for M2, the Bidder must use contracts with the same resource Categories and their level as listed in the requirement." It appears that PSPC is requiring that the contract must state the EXACT same TITLE (a word-for-word match?) for the billable days to qualify. What if the client's contract has referred to the resource category as a Senior and not Level 3? What if the client's contract has referred to the resource category as PeopleSoft and not ERP i.e. PeopleSoft Functional Analyst Level 3? Are billable days acceptable if the title listed in the contract is slightly different from the category name and level in M2? Otherwise the criteria appears to favour syntax/grammar over the substance of the work.

Answer 23

Category name must be the same. The level of experience is required as listed in the requirement, as per TBIPS:

Level 2: 5- < 10 years of experience

Level 3: 10+ years of experience

Question 24

Would PSPC please accept ERP Functional Analyst for the Tester role? Testing is a listed responsibility of the ERP Functional Analyst in the RFP SOW. Further, most government departments including PSPC do not use the Tester category. Instead, ERP Functional Analysts are retained to design and carry out the tests to ensure that the work of the technical/development teams meets the functional specifications. Accordingly, please confirm that PSPC will accept billable days for ERP Functional Analysts for the Tester category if the Bidder certifies and, if required the end client confirms, that the work involved testing activities.

Answer 24

Canada is not interested in evaluating resources at bid closing, rather the Bidder's capability to supply resources as required in M2. No mapping is required under this RFP.

To qualify for M2, the Bidder must use contracts with the same resource Categories and their level as listed in the requirement.

Question 25

Would PSPC please accept Business Transformation Architect for the Business Architect role for M2 in Workstream2? Due to the common standards and the use shared platforms such as My GCHR, clients across the government use Business Transformation Architects not Business Architects for this kind of work. Instead Business Transformation Architects who have deep understanding of the business and the shared platform are retained to develop and/or realign organizational models, business processes and system capabilities. Accordingly, we request that PSPC allow Bidders to present Business Transformation Architect billable days to satisfy the Business Architect category.

Answer 25

Canada is not interested in evaluating resources at bid closing, rather the Bidder's capability to supply resources as required in M2. No mapping is required under this RFP.

To qualify for M2, the Bidder must use contracts with the same resource Categories and their level as listed in the requirement.

Question 26

For Workstream 2 M2, in the Statement of Work the Project Administrator and Project Coordinator categories are virtually identical (there are literally 4 words difference between them). As such would PSPC please accept billable days for a Project Coordinator for the Project Administrator, provided that the total number of days presented exceeds the total combined requirement of both categories ?

Answer 26

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
E60ZR-202994/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
008

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
629el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
E60ZR-202994

File No. - N° du dossier
629elE E60ZR-202994

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Canada is not interested in evaluating resources at bid closing, rather the Bidder's capability to supply resources as required in M2. No mapping is required under this RFP.

To qualify for M2, the Bidder must use contracts with the same resource Categories and their level as listed in the requirement.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED