



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
→ TPSGC

See herein for bid submission  
instructions/

Voir la présente pour les  
instructions sur la presentation  
dune soumission

NA

Ontario

NA

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise  
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation  
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,  
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Ontario Region  
10th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street  
Toronto  
Ontario  
M2N 6A6

<b>Title - Sujet</b> BCLB Deck Replacement RFP Burlington Canal Lift Bridge Deck Replacement Project	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EQ754-221116/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 004
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> R.089504.500	<b>Date</b> 2021-12-16
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWL-034-2584	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWL-1-44041 (034)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Eastern Standard Time EST <b>on - le 2021-12-21</b> Heure Normale de l'Est HNE	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b>	
<b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Somaratna, Chinthaka	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwl034
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (416) 305-7369 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (416) 952-1257
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> PSPC Engineering Assets Strategy Sector RUE LAURIER PDP III-8A1-104 GATINEAU QC K1A 0S5	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

### **Amendment No. 004**

This amendment is being raised to 1) incorporate revisions to the following sections of the Request for Proposal: a) PART 5 – SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION (SRE), SRE 3.2 RATED REQUIREMENTS, 3.2.1 Achievements of Proponent on Projects, and 2) provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

#### **1) Revisions to the Request for Proposal**

**Reference: PART 5 – SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION (SRE), SRE 3.2 RATED REQUIREMENTS, 3.2.1 Achievements of Proponent on Projects**

**Proponants are instructed to:**

**Delete:** The Proponent or Joint Venture will present a maximum of (3) projects where construction phase ended (issuance of the construction Substantial Performance certificate by the Owner) in 2011 or later. At least one project description should clearly demonstrate that the Proponent has experience in the rehabilitation of moveable lift bridges; more specifically the rapid replacement of lift spans on a moveable structure of a similar size and in a similar setting to the Burlington Canal Lift Bridge.

**Insert:** The Proponent or Joint Venture will present a maximum of (3) projects where construction phase ended (issuance of the construction Substantial Performance certificate by the Owner) in 2011 or later. At least one project description should clearly demonstrate that the Proponent has experience in the rehabilitation of moveable lift bridges; more specifically the rapid replacement of lift spans decking on a moveable structure of a similar size and in a similar setting to the Burlington Canal Lift Bridge.

#### **2) REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION**

---

Q15. Under the staged construction along the main lift span, can temporary TCBs, construction materials and equipment stay within the work zone? Under normal circumstances, traffic would not be allowed on the bridge during lifting operations and it is uncertain whether the extra weight and eccentricity will be a concern.

R15. The consultant is responsible for ascertaining the safe lifting capacity of the bridge, determining the appropriate balance, staging the work and ensuring that the lift span remains within operating tolerances throughout the life of the project.

---

Q16. **On RFP page 30 of 54, Section 3.2.1:** Did PWGSC meant to write "... more specifically the rapid replacement of **grid deck** on a moveable structure of a similar size..." rather than "lift spans"?

## Achievements of Proponent on Projects

A project is said to be comparable and relevant if it is similar or greater in scope, cost, and challenges. It is the responsibility of the Proponent to clearly demonstrate the project's comparability and relevance.

The Proponent or Joint Venture will present a maximum of (3) projects where construction phase ended (issuance of the construction Substantial Performance certificate by the Owner) in 2011 or later. At least one project description should clearly demonstrate that the Proponent has experience in the rehabilitation of moveable lift bridges; more specifically the rapid replacement of lift spans on a moveable structure of a similar size and in a similar setting to the Burlington Canal Lift Bridge.

With respect to the projects described, the Proponent should provide evidence:

- That at least two (2) projects were completed or at least reached Substantial Performance (as defined in GC1.1.4 of R2810D) within the last ten (10) years; and
- That, as of Bid Close, the construction of the third project described was at least fifty percent (50%) completed within the last ten (10) years.

R16. Confirmed, this should read "...rapid replacement of lift span **decking** on a moveable structure...".

Q17. Can PWGSC confirm whether the Traffic Study is to include microsimulation analysis of the QEW in support of the complete closure of the bridge to vehicular traffic that complies with the Ministry of Transportation of Ontario's modelling guidelines?

R17. Yes that is an accurate assessment.

Q18. Can PWGSC confirm what traffic data will be available and what traffic models will the consultant have access to when performing the Traffic Study?

R18. All traffic data available has been provided to bidders through the tender attachments. If further information is required, the consultant is required to collect this data on site or acquire it from other sources (municipalities/Ministry of Transportation of Ontario).

Q19. The area to the south of the bridge/canal is under Hamilton Conservation Authority's (HCA) regulated area and as such requires a permit from HCA. However, does PWGSC obtain permits from Conservation Authorities and therefore, require a permit from Hamilton Conservation Authority?

R19. The Federal lands surrounding the bridge are not subject to the Conservation Authorities Act. As such, no permits are required. However, any land use activities beyond the federal lands will require Conservation Authority permits (potential staging and laydown) but these will typically be the requirement of the contractor.

Q20. Section PR 3.2 (d) of the RFP states that the "Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks environmental assessment requirements will need to be included". From our review, there are no provincial environmental assessment triggers. Can PWGSC please confirm?

R20. As this project will be taking place on federal land, the federal Impact Assessment Act will apply to this project, provincial environmental assessment requirements will not be required. A report will be prepared by PSPC in compliance with the Impact Assessment Act section 82 for Projects carried out on federal land. This will be undertaken in a separate contract, and mitigation measures will be provided to the consultant to consider and include in the specification package.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.  
EQ754-221116/A

N° de la modif - Amd. No.  
004

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID  
pwl034

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.  
R.089504.500

File No. - N° du dossier  
PWL-0-44041

N° CCC / CCC No. / N° VME - FMS

---

-----  
Q21. With respect to Peregrine Falcons, does the 2021 Peregrine Falcon Management Plan include or supersedes the requirements in the 2006 Peregrine Falcon Management Plan? If no and if we need to follow any recommendations in the 2006 document, can PWGSC provide the 2006 document.

R21. The 2006 Peregrine Falcon Management Plan has been superseded by the 2021 plan. As such, PWGSC will not be posting the 2006 version.

-----  
Q22. With respect to the Designated Substance Report, can PWGSC confirm whether PWGSC meeting Ontario Regulations 278 (Designated Substance – Asbestos on Construction Projects and in Buildings and Repair Operations) and 490 (Designated Substances).

R22 PWGSC requires the areas of the Bridge that will be potentially disturbed as part of the project to be screened for all the Designated Substances defined by the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act (including O. Reg. 490/09). The survey must include a detailed assessment including recommendations for the management of these materials which may require special attention as required under the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act (including O. Reg. 278/05), but must also include considerations under the Canada Labour Code Part II.

-----

**All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain the same.**