



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions\Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada  
See herein for bid submission  
instructions/

Voir la présente pour les  
instructions sur la présentation  
d'une soumission

NA  
Ontario

**Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer**

**Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes**

Regional Individual Standing Offer (RISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle régionale (OCIR)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless  
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of  
the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf  
indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent  
les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Ontario Region  
10th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street  
Toronto  
Ontario  
M2N 6A6

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Environmental Services	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EQ447-220523/A	<b>Date</b> 2021-12-22
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EQ447-22-0523	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 007
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> TOR-1-44067 (024)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$TOR-024-8116	
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> 2021-11-22	
<b>Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale</b>	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Eastern Standard Time EST <b>on - le 2022-01-12</b> Heure Normale du l'Est HNE	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Brewster, Shannon	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> tor024
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (647) 273-1369 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> PWGSC / TPSGC Joseph Shepard Building 32 4900 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M2N 6A6	
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision change les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b>	<b>No - Non</b>
<b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

---

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT No. 007

This solicitation amendment No. 007 is raised to:

- 1) Publish questions and answers that have been received in relation to this solicitation; and
- 2) amend the solicitation.

\*\*\*\*\*

- 1) The following Questions and Answers have been provided:

### QUESTION 1:

*"For the Point Rated Criteria for R7, 2. Senior Resource Project Manager, as an individual can only be named in one position as indicated in Amendment No. 003, can we list more than one Senior Project Manager that meets all the requirements. For example, one of our Senior Project Managers meets all the requirements and has experience in Designated Substances and Hazardous Materials Surveys while the other Senior Project Manager meets all the requirements and has experience in drinking water sampling and analysis. Each individual Senior Project Manager would manage the specific project based on there experience and area of expertise as they are not the same person."*

### ANSWER 1:

No. We will only be evaluating one person on the role of Senior Project Manager.

### QUESTION 2:

*"With regard to Part 6.1 a) iii) of the RFSO, are we required to provide a list of individuals with our bid submission?"*

### ANSWER 2:

No.

### QUESTION 3:

Under Section 2.2 - R5 of the Points Rated Criteria (pg. 62 of 133), please clarify how the points are awarded to achieve a maximum of 16 per key resource.

### ANSWER 3:

There was a formatting issue when posted. It should read:  
Maximum points available for each proposed resource is 16 points.

- 16 points = Graduate degree
- 12 points = Undergraduate degree
- 8 points = College diploma

### QUESTION 4:

*"Under Stream 3 point-rated criteria R5: Social-Economic Initiative, there are five targeted groups listed (visible minorities, women, indigenous persons, youth, and veterans) and a total of 50 points allotted for social-economic initiatives demonstrated. Can you clarify whether there are 10 points allotted for each targeted group or whether it's 10 points allotted for each initiative, regardless of which group is targeted? For example, could 2 different corporate initiatives, both focussing on visible minorities, be awarded 10 points each?"*

### ANSWER 4:

10 points will be given for each social-economic initiative demonstrated. Two different social-economic initiatives, both focusing on the same under representative group will be accepted and will each be awarded 10 points.

---

**QUESTION 5:**

*“Under Section 2.2.1, for the two projects that must be submitted for each of the 5 key resources that demonstrate each proposed resource’s experience in their respective key position, do they have to be projects completed by the Offeror, or can the projects be completed by the individual in a previously held position with another firm?”*

**ANSWER 5:**

The projects must be completed by the proposed individual as it is the resource that is being evaluated in this section.

**QUESTION 6:**

*“Under the point rated criteria for corporate experience it is requested that the project descriptions do not exceed 1500 words. Item Project Management - R2 or R3 depending on the stream - requests that a subset of projects include a section demonstrating specific Project Management elements. Could you please confirm that for this subset of projects, the 1500 words excludes the project management section as per R2 or R3?”*

**ANSWER 6:**

No, the 1500 words doesn't exclude the project management section.

2) Solicitation Amendment:

2a. At Part 3, of the request for standing offer, **“Offer Preparation Instructions”**,

**Insert:** (c) April 2006, Canada issued a policy directing federal departments and agencies to take the necessary steps to incorporate environmental considerations into the procurement process Policy on Green Procurement (<https://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pol/doc-eng.aspx?id=32573>). To assist Canada in reaching its objectives, Offerors should:

- 1) Include all environmental certification(s) relevant to your organization (e.g. ISO 14001, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), Carbon Disclosure Project, etc.)
- 2) Include all environmental certification(s) or Environmental Product Declaration(s) (EPD) specific to your product/service (e.g. Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), ENERGYSTAR, etc.).

2b. At Part 7A, of the request for standing offer, **“Standing Offer”**,

**Delete:** Article 7.11(d), in its entirety, and

**Insert:** (d) the general conditions 2035 (2021-12-02) General Conditions – High Complexity – Services.

2c. At Part 7B, of the request for standing offer, **“Resulting Contract Clauses”**,

**Delete:** Article 7.2.1, in its entirety, and

**Insert:** 2035 (2021-12-02) General Conditions – High Complexity – Services, apply to and form part of the Contract.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.**