



Questions & Answers

Title: Business Analyst, level 2 and level 3	
Solicitation Number: ISED-201532	Date: January 25, 2022

NO	Questions	Answers
1	<p>Has there been an incumbent within the past twelve months (or greater) either as a Term, Casual, or Agency Contract (THS, TSPS, TBIPS) performing these or similar duties?</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. If so, who is the incumbent Vendor and have they been invited?</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. If so, can you provide the number of days worked on said contract along with the dollar value?</p>	<p>Yes, there have been incumbents through an existing contract (TSPS) within the past twelve months.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a. The incumbent vendor was Veritaaq. They were invited.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b. The number of days worked is complex as there were various resources for various periods of time. For the initial contract year and option years, there has been between 1 to 3 contractors engaged at any time, with the dollar value as \$1,769,911.00.</p>
2	<p>In the subject RFP the point rated grids for the Senior Business Analyst resource and the Intermediate Business Analyst resource appear to be the same. Is this a mistake or are they meant to have the same criteria?</p>	<p>Yes, the Point-Rated Evaluation grid for Intermediate and Senior are the same. Clients must meet the Mandatory Criteria requirements before proceeding to the Point-Rated Evaluation.</p>
3	<p>At RFP page 46 of 60, , Flexible grid - TSPS Category :2.1 Business Analyst - Intermediate, can you please confirm the scoring for FG3 is for Intermediate resources and that the min pass mark and sub total are correct for an intermediate Business Analyst (flex grid sub total indicates Senior Business Analyst) ?</p>	<p>FG3 of page 46 of 60, should state "Intermediate Business Analyst". RFP is amended accordingly to correct this error. Scoring for FG3 is for Intermediate resources.</p>



4	At RFP page 48 of 60, PR1 - should the sub elements be labelled as PR1a-q versus PR1r to hh?	Correct, sub elements should be labelled PR1a-q. RFP is amended accordingly to correct this error.
5	At RFP page 49 of 60, PR3 -should the sub elements be labelled as PR3a-e versus PR3f-j?	Correct, sub elements should be labelled PR3a-e. RFP is amended accordingly to correct this error.
6	For PR3 for both the Senior and Intermediate grids, can the Crown please confirm that candidates do not need to have experience with all 5 business process modelling techniques listed? Can the Crown also confirm that the 9+ years of experience can be achieved using a combination of techniques and that the candidates do not need to have 9+ years with each technique they have experience with?	<p>Correct, candidates are not required to have experiences in all PR3a-PR3e business process modelling techniques.</p> <p>Yes, this can be achieved with a combination of techniques. To get 10 points, they need to have 9 years or more of experience using any of the techniques and they should be stated in the response. This is counted by the years of professional work experience, not the amount of time spent on each technique.</p>