Questions & Answers

Title: Project Manager, level 3	
Solicitation Number: ISED-201531	Date: February 8, 2022

NO	Questions	Anguara
	`	Answers
1	Has there been an incumbent within twelve months (or greater) either as a Term; Casual or Agency Contract (Sole Source, THS, TSPS, TBIPS) performing these duties? a. If so, has the incumbent Vendor been invited? b. If so, can you provide the number of days worked on said contract along with the dollar value?	Yes, there have been incumbents through an existing contract (TSPS) within the past twelve months. a) The incumbent vendor was KPMG. They were not invited. b) The number of days worked is complex as there were various resources for various periods of time. For the initial contract year and option years, there has been between 1 to 3 contractors engaged at any time, with the dollar value as \$1,769,911.50.
2	Given the unknown nature of the on-site vs remote/working from home guidelines for the foreseeable future, can the client edit the Annex A/Statement of Work 8.0 location to allow for either a Remote or Hybrid (office/offsite) work location?	In Annex A, Section 8.0 states: "The contractor will carry out the work on Innovation, Science and Economic Development premises located at 235 Queen Street, Ottawa or through telework as determined by Innovation Canada." In other words, if determined by Innovation Canada, the contractor may work remotely.
3	In M1, is it assumed the Sr. Project Manager Resource has prior projects with ISED? - If the Proposed Resource does not have an ISED project, how	No, it is not assumed that the Sr. Project Manager Resources has prior projects with ISED. As indicated in the RFP for the Required Supporting Information:



	does a firm's Resource be compliant for M1? - Should this be removed?	"If the proposed resource has NOT been contracted by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (formerly Industry Canada) within the last five (5) years, nothing is required for M1." The vendor can respond by "Not Applicable" as a reasonable response.
4	Can you please confirm whether the resource submitted at this stage should be unilingual or bilingual?	Depending on the task, the work will be conducted in either English or French. This will be determined on a task-by-task basis. Therefore, resources submitted may be unilingual.
5	In regard to the subject solicitation, Corporate Mandatory requirement CM1, would ISED accept a reference contract where the work was under the "Project Executive" resource category if all other conditions were met? (As opposed to the "Project Manager" resource category").	No, only work done under the Project Manager resource category is deemed acceptable
6	The corporate mandatory criteria CM1 requires reference contracts with a minimum value of only \$100,000. The intention of this RFP is to award a contract with a value of up to \$3.75 million. In order to match the expected outcome of this solicitation, will the Crown please change this criteria to require referenced contracts with a minimum value of \$3.75 million?	Each client contract cited must have a minimum total contract value of \$100,000.00 for the stated resource category (TSPS/TBIPS Senior Project Manager or Senior Business Project Manager). To encourage competition, we will be leaving the minimum value as \$100,000.
7	Corporate requirement CM1 requests contract references with a minimum value of \$100,000 which the Bidder believes will not demonstrate the ability of a vendor to provide quality Project Manager resources at the level expected	The \$3.75M is the maximum of the contract value so we are not looking for vendors that can go over that amount. No, this will not be modified.



	I	T
	from this RFP's resulting contract. Will	
	the Crown please adjust CM1 to request	
	vendors provide examples of billed	
	contracts where the vendors have billed	
	over \$3.75 million for Project Managers?	
8	For CM1- Would the Crown allow for	It will be allowed if the work completed
	references not to come from TBIPS/TSPS	was performed under a contract with a
	since the Crown is allowing for corporate	crown corporation or provincial
	references to be given by "Crown Corps	government organizations. If the
	or Provincial government organizations"	contract was with a Government of
	and they do not use TBIPS or TSPS? As	Canada (GoC) department or agency, it
	long at the role/ category is of a Senior	must under a TSPS or TBIPS as a Senior
	Project Manager it will suffice?	Project Manager or Senior Business
		Project Manager.
	"The Bidder must provide TSPS/TBIPS	.,
	Senior Project Manager or Senior	
	Business Project Manager corporate	
	references for two (2) distinct and	
	separate Government of Canada (GoC)	
	client department/agency/crown	
	corporation or provincial government	
	organizations contracted within the last	
	eight (8) years."	
9	Page 17 indicates: b. Certification of	An amendment to the Certification of
	Language – English and French Essential -	Language will be changed to English <u>or</u>
	By submitting a bid, the Bidder certifies	French.
	that, should it be awarded a contract as	The resource, or individual proposed, can
	result of the bid solicitation, every	be unilingual. Submissions for the bid
	individual proposed in its bid will be	may be in either English <u>or</u> French.
	fluent in English and French. The	Thay be in citier English <u>or</u> French.
	individual(s) proposed must be able to	
	communicate orally and in writing in	
	,	
	English and French without any	
	assistance and with minimal errors.	
	Dago 32 states 0.0 OFFICIAL LANGUAGE	
	Page 33 states - 9.0 OFFICIAL LANGUAGE	
	- Depending on the task, the work will be	
	conducted in either English or French.	
	This will be determined on a task-by-task	
1		
	basis.	
	If the Crown could please clarify what	



10	Part 2 – Bidder Instructions, section 2.4	Inquired about when deadlines for
	Inquiries – Bid Solicitation (page 8)	questions
	indicates all inquiries must be submitted	
	"no later than 2:00 p.m. EDT on Friday,	
	February 4, 2022". Considering the bid	
	closes on this date, we assume this is an	
	error. Can the Crown please confirm the	
	deadline for questions?	
11	Given that Annex A – Statement of Work,	An amendment to the Certification of
	9.0 Official Language (page 33), indicates	Language will be changed to English or
	"Depending on the task, the work will be	French.
	conducted in either English or French.	
	This will be determined on a task-by-task	The resource, or individual proposed, can
	basis", please clarify the Certification of	be unilingual. Submissions for the bid
	Language at	may be in either English or French.
	Part 4 – Evaluation Procedures and Basis	
	of Selection (page 17) should either	
	pertain only to the resource included in	
	this bid, and thereby read: "By	
	submitting a bid, the Bidder certifies	
	that, every individual proposed in its bid	
	will be fluent in English and French. The	
	individual(s) proposed must be able to	
	communicate orally and in writing in	
	English and French without any	
	assistance and with minimal errors", or	
	be revised to include all potential	
	resources on the contract whether they	
	be on a TA requiring one or both	
	languages.	
12	With respect to the security requirement	11.0 will be amended to "The
	for the resource, page 33 of the RFP	contractor/offeror personnel requiring
	(Annex A, Section 11.0 Security) indicates	access to protected/classified
	they "will be required to have security	information, assets or sensitive work
	clearance at the Secret Level" and	site(s) must each hold a valid personnel
	section 7.4 Security Requirement, item 2	security screening at the level of
	also indicates Secret; however, both	reliability status or secret as required,
	Reliability and Secret are checked off in	granted or approved by the CSP,
	the Security Requirements Check List on	PWGSC"
	page 48 (Annex E). Can the Crown please	
_	confirm which is correct?	
13	PR5 of the Point Rated Evaluation	
	Criteria grid (Annex A, page 43) indicates	PR5 under Required Supporting
	"Demonstrated professional work	Information will be amended to:



	experience participating in stage-gate reviews" as the criteria. However, in the Required Support Information column it states "To provide context, Bidders must include a description of the project communication plan developed". Please confirm this should be corrected to state "To provide context, Bidders must include a description of the stage-gate review".	"To provide context, Bidders must include a description of the documents prepared for the stage-gate review process"
14	In reference to ANNEX F, BID SUBMISSION FORM, the contents of the form cut off midway through Security Clearance Level of Bidder section and reads as follows: "Security Clearance Level of Bidder [include both the level and the date it was granted] [Note to Bidders: Please ensure that the security clearance matches the legal name of the Bidder. If it does not, the". Will the crown please reissue a complete Bid Submission Form?	Complete Bid Submission Form has been corrected in the amended RFP
15	Referring to the RFP document, page 51, "ANNEX F, BID SUBMISSION FORM", the form seems to be incomplete in the PDF version. Would it be possible to have the complete "Bid Submission Form"?	Complete Bid Submission Form has been corrected in the amended RFP
16	Would it be possible to extend the deadline of this RFP by one week as well?	Solicitation date amended to February 11th, 2022
17	PR5 requires "experience participating in stage-gate reviews". In the "required supporting information" column of the grids, it says "To provide context, Bidders must include a description of the project communication plan developed." Can you please confirm if this is correct? If not, what details are required to provide context?	PR5 under Required Supporting Information will be amended to: "To provide context, Bidders must include a description of the documents prepared for the stage-gate review process"
18	Referring to the RFP document, page 40, Flexible Grid Evaluation Criteria Table, Section FG3 has mentioned, "Professional Work Experience -	As long as the professional work experience demonstrated is equivalent to the TSPS SA resource category



	Demonstrated	description and experience
	professional work experience as a Senior	requirements, it would be considered.
	1.	requirements, it would be considered.
	Project Manager as per the TSPS SA	
	resource category description and	
	experience requirements". Would the	
	Crown clarify whether the proposed	
	resource experience as a Senior Project	
	Manager would be considered/counted	
	under any vehicles, or the resource	
	experience is considered/counted under	
	this TSPS vehicle only?	
19	Based on the certification of language	Request has been granted and an
	stating that both English and French are	amended to the RFP to reflect the
	essential, vendors were of the	additional points under the Point-Rated
	understanding that a bilingual resource	Criteria (PR7)
	was required as it clearly states on page	
	17 of the solicitation that the individual	
	proposed in its bid will be fluent in	
	English and French. This changes the	
	scope of the experience and the pricing	
	strategy for the resource being bid and	
	now puts vendors who recruited	
	bilingual resources at a competitive	
	disadvantage. We request that the	
	Crown adds a rated requirement for 5	
	points for resources who are fully	
	bilingual.	
20	The SOW in ISED-201531 listed the	Point-Rated Criteria 7 will remain.
	official language as English or French,	
	which was confirmed in the Q&A posted	
	12 days after the RFP was issued. Based	
	on this, it is understandable that firms	
	would have completed their responses	
	with a unilingual resource. Changing the	
	scoring of the point-rated requirements	
	through subsequent Q&A causes	
	completed proposals with unilingual	
	resources to become less competitive	
	and does not meet PSPC's objectives for	
	fairness, openness, and transparency of	
	government procurement. Will ISED	
	please remove point-rated requirement	
	PR7?	

Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada

21	Will ISED kindly provide a one-week	Yes, we will grant a one-week extension
	extension to the closing date?	
22	As resources are already required to meet the TSPS Flexible Grid tasks, requiring resources to demonstrate "tasks and services similar to that outlined in Annex A – Statement of Work" is redundant and will result in an additional level of effort to evaluate these resources. Due to the redundancy,	In M2, it stated that "the proposed resource performed senior project manager tasks and services similar to that outlined in Annex A". A resource that has "demonstrated professional work experience as a Senior Project Manager as per the TSPS SA
	please confirm that a project manager who performed 50% of the tasks and services similar to that outlined in the TSPS category of 3.2 Project Manager will meet M2.	resource category description" would be similar to Annex A.