



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Public Works and Government Services Canada

See herein for bid submission
instructions

Voir la présente pour les
instructions sur la présentation
d'une soumission

NA

Manitoba

NA

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of
the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent
les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada
Northern Contaminated Site Program
Canada Place/Place du Canada
10th Floor/10e étage
9700 Jasper Ave/9700 ave Jasper
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 4C3

Title - Sujet Multidisciplinary Environmental Ser Services environnementaux multidisciplinaires	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EW699-220414/B	Date 2022-03-02
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client PWGSC EW699-220414	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
File No. - N° de dossier NCS-1-44066 (013)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$NCS-013-12213	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2022-01-24 Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Central Daylight Saving Time CDT on - le 2022-04-19 Heure Avancée du Centre HAC	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Wiebe, Amanda	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur ncs013
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (431) 335-3523 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 566-6167
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EW699-220414/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
PWGSC EW699-220414

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
006
File No. - N° du dossier
NCS-1-44066

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
NCS013
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

This amendment 006 is raised to:

1. Modify Solicitation EW699-220414/B as follows:

REFER TO GI 18: JOINT VENTURE

DELETE:

1. A joint venture is an association of two or more parties who combine their money, property, knowledge, expertise or other resources in a single joint business enterprise, sometimes referred as a consortium, to bid together on a requirement. Offerors who bid as a joint venture must indicate clearly that it is a joint venture and provide the following information:

- a. the name of each member of the joint venture;
- b. the Procurement Business Number of each member of the joint venture;
- c. the name of the representative of the joint venture, i.e. the member chosen by the other members to act on their behalf, if applicable;
- d. the name of the joint venture, if applicable.

INSERT:

1. A joint venture is an association of two or more parties who combine their money, property, knowledge, expertise or other resources in a single joint business enterprise, sometimes referred as a consortium, to bid together on a requirement. Offerors who bid as a joint venture must indicate clearly that it is a joint venture and provide the following information:

- a. the name of each member of the joint venture;
- b. the Procurement Business Number of the joint venture;**
- c. the name of the representative of the joint venture, i.e. the member chosen by the other members to act on their behalf, if applicable;
- d. the name of the joint venture, if applicable.

For resources put forward by a partnership to be considered in-house, the partnership must provide the information required from a joint venture as detailed in GI 18. Employees of parents, subsidiaries, partners, or affiliates of the Offeror will not be considered in-house unless they are a partner or joint venture Offeror under the applicable procurement business number (PBN). The partnership must have its own unique PBN and be registered on the IFR, if applicable. Regarding IFR status, if all parties to a joint venture or partnership are registered individually on the IFR, that is also acceptable.

REFER TO RESOURCE RESUME REQUIREMENTS

DELETE:

Project descriptions must be for projects that have been actively worked on within the last seven (7) years.

INSERT:

Project descriptions **should** be for projects that have been actively worked on within the last **ten (10)** years.

DELETE:

The Offeror is requested to provide at least two (2) descriptions within each resume of completed projects demonstrating each resource's experience under each project category. In order to be awarded points the role of the resource on the submitted project must be the same as the proposed role under each project category (i.e., Senior Professional or Intermediate Professional). For example, the Senior Professional proposed under 3.1 PH I/II/III ESA should have PH I/II/III ESA projects listed on their resume for evaluation.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EW699-220414/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
PWGSC EW699-220414

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
006
File No. - N° du dossier
NCS-1-44066

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
NCS013
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

INSERT:

The Offeror is requested to provide at least two (2) descriptions within each resume of completed projects demonstrating each resource's experience under each project category. For example, the Senior Professional proposed under 3.1 PH I/II/III ESA should have PH I/II/III ESA projects listed on their resume for evaluation.

2. Correct and error in a previous Question and Answer:

REFER TO AMENDMENT 004 QUESTION AND ANSWER 22:

Question 22. Page 88 - The RFSO specifies the number of years of recent and relevant experience required for each position. Please confirm that the number of years of experience is demonstrated through listing work history.

Answer 22: **Yes, that is correct, it is to be demonstrated through work history** ~~the detailed project examples provided.~~

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.

3. Address questions from Industry:

	Question	Answer
1	<p>Amendment 4 has changed the requirement for the two projects to be provided on CVs such that this experience should now be within the last 7 years. Companies selected team partners, prepared CVs and based proposal strategy on the assumption that they could use their best people without limiting their experience for a service to the last 7 years. This will require that team members and even companies be changed and will favour some firms. The requirement for corporate project experience being within the last 7 years is understood because staff can change companies and take that experience with them. However, a resource who has gained experience through their career keeps that experience, so recent experience may be less relevant and will likely favour resources with less, but more recent experience. This new requirement also creates significant additional work for those firms who were proactive in the proposal process, identified team members and prepared CVs early.</p> <p>For the above reasons, would PWGSC re-consider the new requirement presented in Amendment 4 for the two projects shown on resource CVs to be within the last 7 years.</p>	<p>Canada has changed the requirement to state that project examples should be for projects that were actively worked on within the last 10 years. Experience beyond 10 years may not be considered relevant due to changes in industry or advances in science. Also note that the solicitation closing date was extended in Amendment 004.</p> <p>See solicitation modifications above</p>
2	<p>How will PWGSC determine the years of experience of a resource. Typically on Federal bids, this is done by showing relevant projects on CVs that add up to the requirement (ie. 5 or 10 years). You have also asked for employment history on CVs. Will the employment history or the project experience be used to evaluate the years of experience on CVs?</p>	<p>Employment history will be used to determine years of experience.</p>
3	<p>Should the requested "complete listing and description of the resources" (per amendment 003) not be included in the 180 page count limit?</p>	<p>No, as this requirement forms part of the Management and Corporate proposals it will be included in the 180 page limit.</p>
4	<p>The revised instructions for Indigenous Benefits Criteria includes the following statement: "Offerors must include all reference material to be considered, material and/or documents outside the offer will not be considered. URL links to websites will not be considered. <u>No prior</u></p>	<p>To clarify the underlined statement, offers should included in their proposal all information they wish the evaluation committee to consider, the offer should not make any assumptions about what the evaluation committee knows about a firm because they have worked on federal government projects in the past.</p>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
 EW699-220414/B
 Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
 PWGSC EW699-220414

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
 006
 File No. - N° du dossier
 NCS-1-44066

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
 NCS013
 CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

	<p><u>knowledge or experience of the Evaluation Committee will be taken into consideration.</u></p> <p>- Please clarify the underlined statement.</p>	
5	<p>In SRE 3, under the “Resource Resume Requirements”, the RFSO states that “In order to be awarded points the role of the resource on the submitted project must be the same as the proposed role under each project category (i.e., Senior Professional or Intermediate Professional).”</p> <p>In Amendment 4, the response to Question 22 notes that the required number of years of experience for our key personnel (Senior Professionals and Intermediate Professionals) must be demonstrated through the detailed project descriptions provided in their resumes.</p> <p>Given both of these instructions, are we to understand that a Senior Professional must have 10 years of experience as a Senior Professional in particular? For example, would a person with 15 years of total experience, 7 of those as a Senior Professional, not be qualified for the Standing Offer Senior Professional position?</p>	<p>Amendment 04, the response to question 22 has been revised. Employment history will be used to determine years of experience. No, a Senior Professional doesn't have to have 10 years of experience as a senior resource.</p> <p>Refer to Solicitation modifications above.</p>
6	<p>Some Joint Ventures (JV) or Limited Partnerships (LP) rely on staff from one of its forming entities to undertake work on behalf of the JV or LP. In contract terms, this may be considered a sub-contract. Based on the RFP and clarifications, including the definition of in-house resource employees as described on p.88 of the RFP, we understand that resources employed by one of the parties to a JV or LP would be considered an in-house resource of the JV or LP. Please confirm.</p>	<p>That is correct.</p> <p>For resources put forward by a partnership to be considered in-house, the partnership must provide the information required from a joint venture as detailed in GI 18. Employees of parents, subsidiaries, partners, or affiliates of the Offeror will not be considered in-house unless they are a partner or joint venture Offeror under the applicable procurement business number (PBN). The partnership must have its own unique PBN and be registered on the IFR, if applicable. Regarding IFR status, if all parties to a joint venture or partnership are registered individually on the IFR, that is also acceptable.</p> <p>Any resulting SO will be issued in the name of the partnership. A PBN is not required at solicitation closing, but will be required prior to issuance of a Standing Offer. Offerors may register for a PBN on line at Supplier</p>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EW699-220414/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
PWGSC EW699-220414

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
006
File No. - N° du dossier
NCS-1-44066

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
NCS013
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

		Registration Information (https://srisupplier.contractsCanada.gc.ca/).
7	<p>Can you please provide clarification on the below question:</p> <p>Addendum #4 included the following question and response: <i>Q22. Page 88</i> <i>Q: The RFSO specifies the number of years of recent and relevant experience required for each position. Please confirm that the number of years of experience is demonstrated through listing work history.</i> <i>PSPC Response: Yes, that is correct, it is to be demonstrated through the detailed project examples provided.</i></p> <p>Our question: Can PSPC please clarify that in order to fully demonstrate an individual's years of experience, the project examples provided must span the period of time for which they are being considered in a role on this RFSO? i.e. Individual 'X' is submitting as a Senior Professional with 10 years of experience, do their project examples (minimum of 2 per resource) have to span a 10 year time period while also remaining relevant to the category to which they are named under? As well as remaining within the 3 page limit?</p>	<p>The response to Amendment 04, Question 22 has been revised. Resource resume project descriptions for both Senior and Intermediate Resources should be within the last 10 years. The project descriptions do not have to span a 10 year timeframe but need to have been worked on within the last 10 years. Project category experience beyond 10 years may not be considered relevant due to changes in industry or advances in science.</p>