



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Canada Place/Place du Canada  
10th Floor/10e étage  
9700 Jasper Ave/9700 ave Jasper  
Edmonton  
Alberta  
T5J 4C3  
Bid Fax: (418) 566-6167

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Canada Place / Place du Canada  
10th Floor / 10e étage  
9700 Jasper Ave / 9700 ave Jasper  
Edmonton  
Alberta  
T5J 4C3

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Architectural Services - New Gateho	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EP922-222095/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> CSC EP922-222095	<b>Date</b> 2022-03-14
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWU-183-12225	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWU-1-44121 (183)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Mountain Daylight Saving Time MDT <b>on - le 2022-04-05</b> Heure Avancée des Rocheuses HAR	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Tikhonovitch (RPC), Alex	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwu183
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (780) 901-7940 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (418) 566-6167
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

**This solicitation amendment has been raised to respond to the following questions:**

Question #1: Section SC2 on page 25 indicates that “the consultant must perform and complete the services described in the project statement by an estimated completion date of September 2, 2022.”. Can you please clarify if this is correct as the date is different from the dates outlined in the Terms of Reference?

Answer: September 2, 2022 is correct. This is the date for completion of Phase 1 only. This is also the date indicated in the Terms of Reference Section 1.6.2 Schedule as the end of Phase 1, the last two weeks of which will be the PWGSC Quality Assurance Review.

Question #2: Can we include resumes in an Appendix and not have these count toward the 20-page limit?

Answer: No. Resumes need not be provided since this information is covered in the SRE Sections 3.2.1, 3.2.2 and 3.2.3. If resumes are provided they will count toward the 20 page limit.

Question #3: SRE 3.3.1.1 Licensing, Certification or Authorization and 3.3.1.2 Consultant Team Identification (page 29): Are we to include copies of architectural/SAA and engineering licenses in the proposal, or are we only required to include a statement that we have the proper licenses? If documentation is required, can we include these in an Appendix and not have these count toward the 20-page limit?

Answer: Proof of licenses need not be provided, but may be requested of the successful proponent following contract award. If license documents are provided in the submission they will count toward the 20 page limit.

Question #4: Regarding the construction documents prepared for this project - as noted in “Doing Business with PWGSC...”, Section 2,: Is 3-D modelling required to create a BIM model for this project? Are drawings mandated to be in REVIT or similar software or is AutoCAD acceptable?

Answer: The use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) software such as REVIT is encouraged but it is not mandatory. The use of AutoCAD software alone is acceptable.

Question #5: Would proponents be able to be provided with excerpts from the RPC Master Plan (2018) which would be considered relevant to the gatehouse project prior to submitting their proposals?

Answer: No. Neither the RPC Master Plan (2018) nor any excerpts from it will be provided. All relevant information for this project from that document for the purpose of this solicitation has been provided in the Terms of Reference document. Following contract award, the RPC Master Plan (2018) may be provided for further reference to the successful proponent.

Question #6: Would the PWGSC consider increasing the page limit? We want to ensure that we are able to respond to all of the requirements in an adequate manner and may not be able to do so within the 20 pages. Particularly, detailed information for 3 projects and key personnel for proponent and the sub-consultants will take a large sum of the allocated page limit.

Answer: No, the page limit will remain as stated in the Request for Proposal.