



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bids must be submitted by using the epost Connect service provided by the Canada Post Corporation

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

### Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Major Projects - Pacific / Projets majeurs - Pacifique  
1230 Government Street, Suite 401  
1230, rue Government, bureau 401 -  
Victoria  
British C  
V8W 3X4

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Title - Sujet</b> Regulatory Support Services<br>Faro Mine Remediation Project  |  |
| <b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b><br>EZ897-221594/A   | <b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b><br>003      |
| <b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b><br>EZ897-221594  | <b>Date</b><br>2022-03-18                    |
| <b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b><br>PW-\$FAR-002-8351   |  |
| <b>File No. - N° de dossier</b><br>FAR-1-44126 (002)   | <b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>       |
| <b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b><br><b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> Pacific Daylight Saving Time PDT<br><b>on - le 2022-04-11</b> Heure Avancée du Pacifique HAP |  |
| <b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b><br><b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>           |  |
| <b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b><br>Taron, Rebecca  | <b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b><br>far002 |
| <b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b><br>(250) 857-2810 ( )   | <b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b><br>( ) -          |
| <b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b><br><b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>  |  |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>  | <b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b> |
| <b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b><br><b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>   |  |
| <b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b><br><b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>   |  |
| <b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b><br><b>(type or print)</b><br><b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b><br><b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b> |  |
| <b>Signature</b>   | <b>Date</b>                                  |

---

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

### REGULATORY SUPPORT SERVICES

Faro Mine Remediation Project (FMRP)  
Faro Mine Complex, Yukon Territory

---

Amendment No. 003 is raised to:

- A. Provide answers to questions received (Q21);
  - B. Amend Annex G – Technical Evaluation
    - 1. Amend Section 1.3.3 – R3 – Disciplines – Additional Resource Capacity
- 

#### A. ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED (Q21)

**Question 21: Role and Responsibilities of the Regulatory Services Contractor, Annex A Statement of Work, Section 4.1.3 (a-g) and 4.1.5 (a-k) of the RFP, provides a list of disciplines in which services will or may be required, several of these disciplines are similar but do not match the disciplines listed in Annex G Technical Evaluation, Section R2 and R3. Could you please provide clarification regarding the differences between the two sets of requirements in these sections? Specifically, would it be possible to address the questions below:**

- a. 4.1.3 (c) Human health and ecological risk assessment are listed as one discipline as is typical for HHERA. However, in R2 and R3 they are split. Can you please confirm that while there is a split between R2 and R3, the requirement is still for a human health and ecological risk assessor, and that this can be met by one individual?
- b. 4.1.3 (d) Aquatic life effects assessment vs R3 aquatic effects assessment. Can you confirm that the request in R3 should state aquatic life assessment, and can you confirm this is equivalent to aquatic health risk assessment?

#### Answer 21:

- a. There is a requirement for human health and ecological risk assessments. These requirements can be met by the same individual; however, they do not have to be.
- b. Yes, please refer to the amendment to R3 below. The requirement is the ability to assess impacts on aquatic life more so than physical elements of the aquatic environment, hence *Aquatic life effects assessment*. *Aquatic life effects assessment* can be read to mean aquatic health risk assessment.

## **B. AMEND ANNEX G – TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

### **B.1 Amend Section 1.3.3 – R3 – Disciplines – Additional Resource Capacity**

**DELETE Section 1.3.3 – R3 – Disciplines – Additional Resource Capacity, point 2. h. in its entirety and REPLACE with the following:**

- h. Aquatic life effects assessment;

**All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.**