

CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REVIEW FORM (CPERF)

Interim

Project Title:	Contract No.:	Project No.:	Purchase Order (PO):	Purchase Order (PO):		
Firm's Legal Name: Firm's Operating Name: Firm's Complete Mailing Address: Contract Award Date: CONTRACT INFORMATON Interim Completion Date: Contract Award Amount: Final Completion Date: Final Amount: Final Completion Date: The following criteria are to be assessed by considering, at minimum, the considerations listed in Annex A. No. of Amendments: ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT CATEGORY SCALE Comments: No. of Amendments: POINTS Comments: No. of Amendments: POINTS OUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior Superior 17-20 Infacceptable Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Superior Superior 17-20 Infacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLI		110,000 110				
Firm's Complete Mailing Address: CONTRACT INFORMATON Contract Award Amount: Interim Amount: Final Amount: Final Amount: Final Amount: The following oriteria are to be assessed by considering, at minimum, the considerations listed in Annex A. ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT Comments: No. of Amendments: No. of Satisfactory Satisfactory 0.5 No. Satisfactory 0.6 0.5 No. Satisfactory 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6						
CONTRACT INFORMATON Contract Award Amount: Contract Award Date: Interim Amount: Interim Amount: Final Completion Date: Completion Date: Final Completion Date: Comp	Firm's Legal Name:		Firm's Operating Name:	Firm's Operating Name:		
Contract Award Amount: Interim Amount: Interim Amount: Interim Amount: Interim Amount: Final Completion Date: The following criteria are to be assessed by considering, at minimum, the considerations taked in Annex A. ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT Contract Award Date: Comments: Unacceptable Constract Award Amount: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 UNACCEVATION Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 0-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 0 Not Satisfactory Superior 0 Not Satisfactory S	Firm's Complete Mailin	ıg Address:	I			
Contract Award Amount: Interim Amount: Interim Amount: Interim Amount: Interim Amount: Final Completion Date: The following criteria are to be assessed by considering, at minimum, the considerations taked in Annex A. ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT Contract Award Date: Comments: Unacceptable Constract Award Amount: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 UNACCEVATION Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable O-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 0-5 Not Satisfactory Superior 0 Not Satisfactory Superior 0 Not Satisfactory S						
Interim Amount: Interim Completion Date: Final Completion Date: Final Completion Date: The following criteria are to be assessed by considering, at minimum, the considerations listed in Annex A. ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT CATEGORY SCALE POINTS Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COMMENT OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 CONTROL CONTROL COMMENT CONTROL CONTROL COMMENT CONT			Contract Award Date	Contract Award Date		
Final Amount: Final Completion Date: The following criteria are to be assessed by considering, at minimum, the considerations listed in Annex A. No. of Amendments: ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT CATEGORY SCALE POINTS Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 0-5 OUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY Unacceptable 0-6 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-6 0-6 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 0-0 0-0 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 0-5 0-5 TIME Unacceptable 0-5 0-0 COST CONTROL Unacceptable 0-5 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 0-5 Control Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF 0-5 0-5 0-5 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF 0-5 0-5 0-5		π.				
The following criteria are to be assessed by considering, at minimum, the considerations listed in Annex A. No. of Amendmenits: ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT Comments: Comments: ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT Comments: ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT Comments: ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT COMMENT C						
minimum, the considerations listed in Annex A. No. of Amendments: ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT CATEGORY SCALE POINTS Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: 0 Total Porcentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final General Comments: Title: Signature: Date: (synymmod) NAC Authorization	The following criteria a	re to be assessed by considerinc				
ADMINSTRATION / MANAGEMENT CATEGORY SCALE POINTS Comments: Unacceptable 0.5 0.5 Not Satisfactory 6.10 Satisfactory 11.16 Superior 17.20 0.5 0.5 QUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY 0.5 0.5 0.5 QUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY 0.5 0.5 0.5 Comments: Unacceptable 0.5 0.5 Not Satisfactory 11.16 1.17.20 0.5 TIME Satisfactory 6.10 1.16 1.16 Comments: Unacceptable 0.5 0.5 0.5 1.16				No. of Amendments:		
Not Satisfactory Satisfactory Unacceptable 6-10 (11-16) GUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 (11-16) 0-5 TIME 0-5 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 (11-16) 0-5 TIME 0-5 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 (11-16) 0-5 COST CONTROL 0-5 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 0-10 Satisfactory 11-16 0-10 Superior 17-20 0-10 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF Not Satisfactory 1-16 Superior 17-20 0-10 Satisfactory 1-16 0-10 Satisfactory 1-17-20 0					POINTS	
Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 0-1 Satisfactory Satisfactory 0-1 Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 Satisfactory Satisfactory 0-5 Not Satisfactory TIME 0-5 Not Satisfactory Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 Superior COST CONTROL Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 Superior QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF Total Points: 0 Result Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 General Comments: 0 Total	Comments:					
Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY						
QUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 TIME 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable O-5 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable O-5 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Onstatisfactory Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 General Comments: Signature: Date: (syny/mm/dd) NAC			-			
Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 TIME Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL COST CONTROL COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final General Comments: Signature: Date: (yyy/mm/dd)			Superior	17-20		
Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 TIME 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Unacceptable 0-5 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF Superior 17-20 Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 U		DESIGN / STUDY				
Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 OUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 OUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-	Comments:					
Superior 17-20 TIME 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory Satisfactory 6-10 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL 11-16 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 9 Superior 17-20 11-16 Superior 17-20 0 General Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 0-5 Satisfactory 11-16 0 Superior 17-20 0 Total Points: 0 0 Total Points: 0 0 Total Percentage Rating: Def Report 0% 1 Signature: Date: (yyy/mm/dd) 1 NAC Evaluator - <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
TIME Onacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: O Total Points: 0 Superior 17-20 0% Total Points: 0 0% General Comments: O Total Points: 0 MAC Evaluator Name: Signature: Date: (yyy/mmidd) NAC Authorization			-			
Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Points: Final General Comments: MAC Evaluator Name: Ext./cell: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd)			Superior	17-20		
Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF Not Satisfactory RESULT Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 General Comments: 0 Total Points: 0 General Comments: 11-16 Signature: View of Report: Final General Comments:						
Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF 11-16 RESULT Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF 0-5 RESULT Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory Superior 17-20 11-16 Superior 17-20 17-20 General Comments: 0 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Type of Report: Final General Comments: Signature: Date: (yyy/mm/dd) NAC Evaluator	Comments:					
Superior 17-20 COST CONTROL Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 0-5 Superior 11-16 Superior QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 Superior Superior 17-20 11-16 Superior 11-16 Superior Satisfactory 6-10 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 11-16 Superior 11-16 11-16 Superior Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% 17-20 General Comments: Vippe of Report: Final Name: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: Intervention Intervention						
COST CONTROL Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF 0-5 RESULT Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final General Comments: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyy/mm/dd) NAC Authorization			-			
Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final General Comments: NAC Evaluator Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd)			Superior	17-20		
Not Satisfactory Satisfactory Unacceptable RESULT 6-10 11-16 Superior Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 0-5 0 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory S				·		
Satisfactory Superior 11-16 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT 0-5 Not Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory 0-5 6-10 11-16 17-20 Comments: Unacceptable Not Satisfactory 0-1 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: 0 Final General Comments: Final NAC Evaluator Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Name: Ext./cell: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd)	Comments:					
Superior 17-20 QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Unacceptable 6-10 Satisfactory 6-10 11-16 Superior 17-20 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final			-			
QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final						
RESULT Unacceptable 0-5 Comments: Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: O 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final General Comments: Final NAC Evaluator Signature: Name: Title: Ext./cell: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd)				17-20		
Comments: Unacceptable 0-5 Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final General Comments: NAC Evaluator Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd)		S (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITT	OF			
Not Satisfactory 6-10 Satisfactory 11-16 Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final MAC Evaluator Name: Title: Ext./cell: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd)			Linaccentable	0.5		
Satisfactory Superior 11-16 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: 0% Final General Comments: Final	Commenta.					
Superior 17-20 Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final						
Total Points: 0 Total Percentage Rating: 0% Type of Report: Final						
NAC Evaluator Name: Title: Ext./cell: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd)					0	
Seneral Comments: Type of Report: Final NAC Evaluator Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Comment			Tot			
General Comments: NAC Evaluator Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) NAC Authorization Title:						
NAC Evaluator Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: NAC Authorization	Ormanal Commontor			Type of Keport.	ΓΙΙΙαΙ	
Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: NAC Authorization	General Comments:					
Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: NAC Authorization						
Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: NAC Authorization						
Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: NAC Authorization						
Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: NAC Authorization						
Name: Title: Signature: Date: (yyyy/mm/dd) Ext./cell: NAC Authorization	NAC Evaluator					
Ext./cell: NAC Authorization		Title	Signature:	Date: (www.mm/dd	1/	
NAC Authorization			Signature.		1)	
		!	<u>I</u>			
Name: Title. Signature. Date. (yyyyminivaa)		Titlo	Signature	Date: (unau/mm/d		
	Name:	The.	Signature.	Date. (yyyy/mm/d	u)	

ANNEX A – MINIMUM CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS

ADMINISTRATION / MANAGEMENT This is the rating of how the project was administered including the project delivery and overall consultant services. The extent to which the firm takes charge of and effectively manages the work has a direct effect on the inputs required of NAC. Consideration should be given to: -contract administration-correctness; -delivery of a comprehensive, reliable and effective services in a responsive, orderly and 'surprise free' manner; -appropriate understanding of the Consultant role, within the context of NAC operating environment, objectives and of the needs of the project; -application of initiative, judgement and attentiveness in providing services; management of Consultant Team: leadership, efficiency, fairness and ensuring proper level of service; -co-ordination of sub-consultants, if applicable; -sensitivity of external factors: awareness of current conditions in the building industry, in the local community and use of the information for the benefits of the project. QUALITY OF WORK / DESIGN / STUDY This is the rating of the quality of work. The following items should be considered: -understanding of the project objectives and constraints; -thoroughness of a logical approach in problem analysis and exploration of alternatives; -appropriatness of concept and sensitivity to context (physical and non-physical, image, site geography, function, client, etc.); -functional/technical requirements: effectiveness of concept in providing for functional and technical requirements: including flexibility and expansion; -aesthetic/spatial qualities and/or engineering 'elegance', if applicable: -functional performance for users: efficiency, safety, comfort and convenience, ease of operation and maintenance including engineering and architectural support elements/services, if applicable; -building science and engineering technology: equipment and construction systems, materials selections and detailing conductive to efficient construction and good life-cycle performance economics; judgement in balancing between use of new technology vs. reliance on proven technology, if applicable; -comprehensive investigation work; -logical analysis; -firm and substantiated recommendations; -larity of presentation; -quality of working documents (completeness, accuracy, co-ordination); -responsiveness to NAC input; -coverage of all aspects of process (all technical issues addressed, approval authorities, departmental and the NAC's procedures, etc.). TIME This is the rating of time planning and schedule control during the life of the project. Consideration must be given to conditions beyond the firm's control including NAC. The NAC Evaluator is to consider whether the following was provided: -timely and accurate contract administration and progress reporting; -on-schedule delivery of services in every stage; -effective effort to meet the scheduled milestone and completion dates as stipulated in the contract; -timeliness of estimating and cost plan monitoring. COST CONTROL This is the rating of the quality of cost planning and control during the life of the project. The following items should be considered: Consultant Costs: -management of the consultant services compared to the consultant's original proposal; -management of the design (if applicable) within NAC's original project budget; Construction Costs (if applicable): -consultant's final project estimate vs. contract award amount; -application of value engineering to design decisions; -appropriate balance of cost between building elements; -final construction contract value vs. final consultant estimate (project cost growth related to the original design quality). QUALITY OF TPS/CPS (IF APPLICABLE) / QUALITY OF RESULT This is the rating of the quality of tender and construction phase services, if applicable. The following items should be considered: -quality and timeliness of Amendments / Addendums during tender phase; -responsiveness to NAC requests; -quality of response to NAC requests; -timeliness of shop drawings / submission reviews; -quality and timeliness of reporting; -did the Consultant keep NAC informed of all activities relating to the project work? -did the Consultant promptly correct and provide solutions to mistakes in their work, at no cost? -did the Consultant's actions cause delay to the overall project?