



RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services Canada - Pacific
Region
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver
British C
V6Z 0B9

| | |
|---|--|
| Title - Sujet Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade LA MISE À NIVEAU DE LA STATION DE TRAITEMENT DES EAUX USÉES | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EZ899-211814/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 003 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client | Date 2022-03-28 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWY-039-9105 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier PWY-0-43157 (039) | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Pacific Daylight Saving Time PDT on - le 2022-04-11 Heure Avancée du Pacifique HAP | |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Park (PWY), Isabell | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwy039 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (604) 365-0073 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX () - |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: CSC – Kent & Mountain Institution – Agassiz, BC | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

Les documents français seront disponibles sur demande.

Amendment 003

This amendment is issued to:

1. extend the closing date;
2. revise Form 4 – Phase One – RFQ – Qualification Checklist
3. Insert Appendix B; and,
4. publish Addendum 003.

On Page 1,

Remove:

"Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin
at - à 02:00 PM
on - le 2022-04-04" and
"Time Zone
Fuseau horaire
Pacific Daylight Savings Time PDT"; and

Insert:

"Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin
at - à 02:00 PM
on - le 2022-04-11" and
"Time Zone
Fuseau horaire
Pacific Daylight Savings Time PDT".

Form 4 – Phase One – RFQ – Qualification Checklist

Delete: in its entirety

Insert:

FORM 4 – PHASE ONE – RFQ – QUALIFICATION CHECKLIST

The checklist included herein may serve as a quick reference tool for the Respondent and help prevent inadvertent omission of mandatory documents/information. However, Canada is not responsible for any failure of the Respondent to ensure that the Response is properly submitted and complete, notwithstanding whether or not the Respondent has relied on this checklist while preparing its submission.

| PHASE ONE – RFQ – QUALIFICATION CHECKLIST | Included in Submission |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Complete and submit Annex B – Identification of the Respondent | |
| Complete and submit forms as described in Appendix B for all nominated and feature projects | |
| Complete and submit Form 2 – Experience Certification | |
| Complete and submit Form 3 – Integrity Provisions | |
| Provide proof, in the form of a signed letter from an Insurer, stating that the Respondent is capable of providing comprehensive general liability insurance coverage of at least ten million (\$10,000,000) dollars aggregate limit per policy year. | |
| Provide proof, in the form of a signed letter from either a Financial Institution or an acceptable Bonding Company, stating that the Respondent has the capability of providing contract security in accordance with the Contract Security conditions referenced in section 2.4.2- Tender and Contract Documents, R2890D (2012-07-16)-Contract Security. The Respondent must provide proof that they have the capability of providing contract security in accordance with R2890D based on the full project value of \$ 20M <i>(to be adjusted to reflect the construction cost)</i> | |

Appendix B**Nominated and Feature Projects Form** (Maximum 3 pages in length per project)

Description of Nominated and Feature Projects should follow the format described and provide the information requested in this Appendix.

Respondent__**Respondent Member(s)**_____**Project number** (_____ sequentially numbered 1 to 10)**Feature Project?** (Yes/No, please only identify two (2) Feature Projects)

| Item | Notes to Respondents |
|----------------------------|--|
| Name of project | Details including official project name and contract number. |
| Location of project | Country, province/state, highway/road/ Project, site or project extents. |
| Client organization | Organization name. |
| Reference contact details | Key client contacts (individuals), name, title, role, telephone numbers, email addresses, mailing address and preferred language of correspondence. By providing this information you are authorizing the Company or the Company's representatives to contact these individuals for all purposes, including to gather information and documentation, in connection with the RFP. |
| Contract period | Contract commencement date, end of construction date and contract end date. |
| Time period of involvement | Commencement date and duration. |
| Description of project | Capital value, scope and complexity including detail on the use of wood in the project. |
| Current status of project | Describe the current status of project relative to key milestone events. |
| Contract Model | Contract structure i.e. public private partnership, design-build, etc. |
| Role(s) on project | Role, duties and responsibilities. |
| Other information | Any information the Respondent considers relevant to the Evaluation Criteria. |

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION (RFQ)

Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Upgrade
Kent & Mountain Institution, Agassiz, British Columbia
Project R.067583.001

Amendment No. 003 is raised to:

- A. Change in RFQ Closing Date**
 - B. Responses to Questions received from Proponents**
-

A. Change in RFQ Closing Date

The closing time of the RFQ has been extended to Monday, April 11th at 14:00.

B. Responses to Questions received from Proponents

- Q4 As you are most likely aware, supply chain and the cost of certain construction materials have been significantly affected** by the global Covid 19 pandemic, and more recently by the unrest in Ukraine and Russia. We note that Annex A – Part 5 of the RFQ document contains an estimate of \$15 - 20M dollars to complete the project. Can PWGSC please confirm whether this budget was developed prior to these market interruptions? Additionally, if the final project cost exceeds the estimated amount, is the project likely to be cancelled or proceed at the increased cost?
- A4** The estimate provided in the RFQ is nominal to give an idea of the order of magnitude of the project. It is not related to the amount of funding CSC has for the project.
- Q5 I'm reaching out specifically regarding the above noted WTPP and inquiring whether noise mitigation will be part of the consideration within the design of this RFQ?**
- A5** There are not currently plans to include this in the design of the WWTP.
- Q6 Please confirm that the preliminary design includes a geotechnical investigation that will be made available to the shortlisted proponents.**
- A6** Yes, some geotechnical investigation has been completed, there is a report, and it will be made available to the shortlisted proponents at the RFP stage.
- Q7 Please confirm that a comprehensive site survey has been completed and will be made available to the shortlisted proponents.**
- A7** At the RFP stage, we will provide all of the work that has been done to date, along with our requirements, and the Design Builder will need to include any additional preparatory or investigative work necessary.
- Q8 Please confirm that the regulatory work is being completed by the Owner's Engineer.**
- A8** There will be a role for the Design-Build team in participating in the regulatory approval process. These responsibilities will be defined in the RFP.
- Q9 Is a short-term groundwater license required for construction dewatering?**
- A9** Generally, construction-related permitting is the responsibility of the contractor. The RFP will confirm the permits and licenses obtained by the Owner.

Q10 Is a MWR registration required for the replacement plant and has the process been started?

A10 As a facility operated by the Federal Government on Federal land, the existing WWTP does not have a provincial MWR discharge permit, and it is not anticipated that the new facility will apply for a permit. However, The (Federal) Wastewater Systems Effluent Regulation applies to the WWTP, and effluent criteria for the new WWTP will be provided in the RFP.

Q11 Has an EIS for the receiving environment been completed for the new plant?

A11 Since there is no work being done to the outfall, and the new facility is being constructed to ensure long-term compliance with existing permits and regulations, we are not anticipating that the new WWTP will result in a change to the receiving environment from a regulatory/permitting perspective.

Q12 Is flood plain mapping available that identifies the 200-year flood elevation?

A12 We will be providing the Flood Construction Elevation at the RFP stage.

Q13 Are any changes required to the outfall as part of the project?

A13 No, work on the outfall will not be part of the project scope.

Q14 As per Amendment 2 Q&A #3, a \$30,000 honorarium is proposed for unsuccessful proponents who submit compliant proposals. The feedback we have received from qualified design professionals is that this amount is insufficient to carry out an RFP-stage bid design and proposal. From past experience, industry standard for honorariums of this project type and value is approximately 0.5% of the total construction value. With the provided budget of \$15M to \$20M, this would equate to an honorarium of \$75,000 to \$100,000. Please review and provide feedback on the proposed increase to the honorarium amount. If not increased, most designers will not commit to providing a proposal for both RFQ and RFP stages.]

A14 We will revise the honorarium amount to \$75,000.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.