



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving -
PWGSC

Voir dans le document/
See herein

NA

Quebec

NA

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

National Individual Standing Offer (NISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle nationale (OCIN)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

TPSGC/PWGSC
1550 Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

Title - Sujet OAC Nationale - Feux d'alignement	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation F3051-200035/A	Date 2022-04-04
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client F3051-200035	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
File No. - N° de dossier QCV-0-43148 (025)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCV-025-18301	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2022-02-25	
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2022-04-13 Heure Normale du l'Est HNE	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Novac, Ioana	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcv025
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (438) 355-1366 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: 6 Bases de la GCC panacanadiennes. Liste dans l'énoncé des besoins	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

**Title: NATIONAL STANDING OFFER FOR RANGE LIGHTS
AMENDMENT 002**

Included in the present Amendment:

1. Questions and Answers (from 3 to 8)
 2. Modification no. 1 to the Requirement (related to the Q&A)
 3. Modification no. 1 to the Request for Standing Offer (related to the Q&A)
-

1. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

A. Question 3:

With reference to section "4.2 Basis of Selection":

Please confirm if the evaluation is based on each lantern type and up to 4 contracts are expected to be awarded.

Answer 3:

Yes, the evaluation will be performed per lantern type. One offeror can be awarded one or multiple standing offers. As a result, one, two, three or four standing offers can be awarded.

B. Question 4:

With reference to section "4.2 Basis of Selection"

It is not clear whether The evaluation ratio will be "40 % for the technical merit and 60 % for the price" or "60 % for the technical merit and 40 % for the price". Items 4.2.3 to 4.2.5 does not match the definitions and examples of 4.2.7.

Answer 4:

The ratios described in criteria 4.2.3 to 4.2.5 are consistent with our requirement (40 % for the technical merit and 60 % for the price). There is an error in the table given as an example in criteria 4.2.7. Please ignore it.

C. Question 5:

With reference to ANNEX B BASIS OF PAYMENT, line items 1.01 to 1.05, please clarify if the prices corresponding the line items 1.01 to 1.05 includes delivery charges to destination (DDP) or it is FOB manufacturer location?

The cost of shipment has increased significantly since last year. Line item 1.06 asks for the delivery charges of a combination of different types of range lights. Based on our experience, this combination does not reflect the actual quantity of each order called on. Any changes in this combination lead to a completely different delivery charge. It is not clear how many of each range light types are going to be ordered by each location at each time. Therefore, the evaluation table does not represent the actual costs paid by Canada.

It is noted that the delivery charge of this combination of goods is included in the price table only for evaluation purposes. However, in order to be fair, the ratio of the delivery charge and the value of the goods should be reasonable to replicate the most probable case scenario. We believe the given combination for the delivery charges does have a significant impact on the evaluation price while it might not represent the actual quantity of each order called on.

We think the following change would resolve this issue to some extent and would be a better option:

To remove line item 1.06 and ask for the prices of line 1.01 to 1.05 on DDP basis. That would be the total cost of goods which must matter to Canada the most.

Answer 5:

The line items 1.01 to 1.05 DO NOT include delivery charges.

We understand that the costs associated with transportation have become a very significant issue. Your proposal is reasonable. However, we believe that providing a price for transportation by type, quantity and delivery point becomes a significant overhead for bidders and may discourage them from bidding. In addition, the prices listed would only be valid at the time of proposal writing. Article 1.06 will not be deleted and we remind you that in accordance with article 3.1 of the request for Standing Offer, the offerors must submit their offer in accordance with annex B Basis of Payment.

D. Question 6:

With reference to Table 0-5 Additional mandatory technical criteria for lanterns Type 4 , CTOS 4.2. There would be significant change in pricing to Canada for the required Horizontal angle of divergence of 8°. Would you please confirm if Canada will accept Horizontal angle of Divergence equal to 10° for lanterns Type 4?

Answer 6:

We have requested an 8° angle of divergence because it is a common criterion for most manufacturers for Type 4 lanterns. We will accept products with a divergence angle greater than 8°.

E. Question 7:

4.2 Basis of Selection on page 9, Point 3 specifies that ratio of 40% for the technical bid and 60% for the price will be used for selection and points 4 and 5 confirm these points. However table for Basis of Selection example shows technical merit score receiving 60% and pricing receiving 40% ratio. Please verify 40% for pricing and 60% is for technical.

Answer 7:

Please see answer to question no. 4

F. Question 8:

Regarding 7.B.2.1.1 Warranty period, the amendment statement reads "*When the Contractor must make the necessary repairs or corrections where the Work is located, the Contractor is responsible for all costs incurred for the necessary repairs or adjustments and Canada will not reimburse the Contractor for these costs.*"

Industry standard for warranties normally cover defective material and freight to original shipping location. E.g. below: The Contractor must pay for the transportation of the equipment to Contractor's premises for replacement, repair or rectification from the delivery location specified in the Contract. The Contractor must pay for the transportation of the equipment to the delivery location specified in the Contract.

Answer 8:

We should read that the warranty is to cover the cost of transportation from the point of delivery of the product and not from the location where the product will be installed. CCG will forward the defective product to the manufacturer for repair or replacement. **Therefore, this paragraph's amendment is deleted** (see point 3. "Clause Update" at the end of this document).

2. MODIFICATION NO. 1 TO THE REQUIREMENT

ANNEX A REQUIREMENT

The Table **Error! No text of specified style in document.-1**: Additional mandatory technical criteria for Type 4 lanterns is being modified as follows:

DELETE:

Ref	Description of the criteria	Requirement or value
3.5.1.	Light intensity	Each Type 4 lantern must be capable of providing a light intensity of 110 000 Cd ± 2000 Cd
3.5.2.	Horizontal angle of divergence	The supplier must offer a range of Type 4 lanterns that can cover horizontal divergence angles of up to 8° @ 50% light intensity.

INSERT:

Ref	Description of the criteria	Requirement or value
3.5.3.	Light intensity	Each Type 4 lantern must be capable of providing a light intensity of 110 000 Cd ± 2000 Cd
3.5.4.	Horizontal angle of divergence	The supplier must offer a range of Type 4 lanterns that can cover horizontal divergence angles up to a minimum of 8° @ 50% light intensity.

3. MODIFICATION NO. 1 TO THE REQUEST FOR STANDING OFFER

A. ANNEX C MANDATORY TECHNICAL CRITERIA AND POINT RATED TECHNICAL CRITERIA

The Table **Error! No text of specified style in document.-1** Additional mandatory technical criteria for lanterns Type 4 is being modified as follows:

DELETE:

# identification	Description	REQUIREMENT/VALUE	REFERENCE Bidder's Specifications(should indicate the reference to the technical documentation included in Bid or indicate the exact information)
CTOS 4.1	Light intensity	Each Type 4 lantern must be capable of providing a light intensity of 110 000 Cd ± 2000 Cd	
CTOS 4.2	Horizontal angle of divergence	The supplier must offer a range of Type 4 lanterns that can cover horizontal divergence angles of up to 8° @ 50% light intensity.	

INSERT:

# identification	Description	REQUIREMENT/VALUE	REFERENCE Bidder's Specifications(should indicate the reference to the technical documentation included in Bid or indicate the exact information)
CTOS 4.1	Light intensity	Each Type 4 lantern must be capable of providing a light intensity of 110 000 Cd ± 2000 Cd	
CTOS 4.2	Horizontal angle of divergence	The supplier must offer a range of Type 4 lanterns that can cover horizontal divergence angles up to a minimum of 8° @ 50% light intensity.	

B. The clause 7.B.2.1.1 Warranty period is being modified as follows:

DELETE:

1. Section 09 of general conditions 2010A (2021-12-02) is amended by replacing the 12-month period with a period of 60 months.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.
F3051-200035/A
N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.
F3051-200035

N° de la modif - Amd. No.
002
File No. - N° du dossier
QCV-0-43148

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID
QCV025
N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

-
2. Section 09 of general conditions 2010A (2021-12-02) is amended by deleting paragraph 2 and substituting the following:

The Contractor must pay for the transportation of the Work or any part of the Work to the Contractor's premises for replacement, repair or rectification. The Contractor must pay for the transportation of the Work or any part of the Work that is replaced or rectified to the delivery location specified in the Contract or at another location designated by Canada. However, where Canada is of the opinion that such relocation is impractical, the Contractor must make the necessary repairs or corrections where the work is located. When the Contractor must make the necessary repairs or corrections where the Work is located, the Contractor is responsible for all costs incurred for the necessary repairs or adjustments and Canada will not reimburse the Contractor for these costs.

All other provisions will remain in effect.

INSERT:

1. Section 09 of general conditions 2010A (2021-12-02) is amended by replacing the 12-month period with a period of 60 months.

All other provisions will remain in effect.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED