

## ATTACHMENT 2

### RATED CRITERIA

Where required, bidders must substantiate their response in accordance with the rating method described in the rating method column below.

The bids will be scored with respect to each point-rated requirements of Table. The Bid Evaluation Team will use the provided Bidder's Response column to acquire where point-rated information has been provided in the bid. Each point-rated requirement will be assessed in accordance with the Rating Method column in Table 1.

**Table 1- Acquisition Point Rated Criteria**

Number	Point Rated Technical Criterion	Rating Method	Cross reference to Acq SOW	Bidder's Response	Score
ART1	The Bidder should have the ability to manage the continuous hardware and software development required to manage obsolescence and requirement growth.	Documentary. Points will be awarded based on the evidence of a minimum of 2 projects demonstrating the Simulation Application within the last 10 years.	4.2.2.1		4 point for 4 demonstrated process and history  7 points for 6 or more demonstrated process and history up to a maximum of 7 points

Number	Point Rated Technical Criterion	Rating Method	Cross reference to Acq SOW	Bidder's Response	Score
<b>ART2</b>	The Bidder should have the ability to provide training.	Documentary. Points will be awarded based on the evidence of a minimum of 2 projects demonstrating the ability to provide training/Simulation Application within the last 10 years.	8.1.1.1		0 point for 2 demonstrated process and history  4 points for 4 demonstrated process and history  7 points for 6 or more demonstrated process and history up to a maximum 7 points
<b>ART3</b>	The bidder should list the number of Fixed Wing Aircraft Entities offered.	Points will be awarded based on the number of additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.	A1.9.3		1 point for 2 additional entities up to a maximum of 2 points for 4 additional or more entities over the minimum
<b>ART4</b>	The bidder should list number of Pods, Targeting, and Aircraft Munitions Entities offered.	Points will be awarded based on the number of additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.	A1.9.7		1 point for 1 additional entities up to a maximum of 2 points for 2 additional or more entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.

Number	Point Rated Technical Criterion	Rating Method	Cross reference to Acq SOW	Bidder's Response	Score
<b>ART5</b>	The number of Anti-Aircraft Weapons Anti-aircraft Gun Entities offered.	Points will be awarded based on the number of additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.	A1.9.8		1 point for 2 additional or more entities over the minimum listed in the SOW
<b>ART6</b>	The number of Canadian Entities offered.	Points will be awarded based on the number of additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.	A1.11.22.5		1 point for 2 additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW up to a maximum of 2 points for 4 additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW
<b>ART7</b>	The range of Artillery Platforms, Rocket Launchers and Mortars Entities and munitions offered.	Points will be awarded based on the number of additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.	A1.6.1.17		2 points for exceeding range of 4500m from the observer
<b>ART8</b>	The Detectability and Direction of Entities offered must be a minimum of 6 nautical miles.	Points will be awarded based on the number of additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.	A1.11.24.2 and A1.11.24.3		3 points for 2 to 3 nautical miles over the minimum  6 points for 4 plus miles over minimum <b>Maximum: 6 points</b>

Number	Point Rated Technical Criterion	Rating Method	Cross reference to Acq SOW	Bidder's Response	Score
<b>ART9</b>	Identification of aircraft entities must be at a minimum of 4 nautical miles.	Points will be awarded based on the number of additional entities over the minimum listed in the SOW.	A1.11.24.4		3 points got 1 to 2 nautical mile over minimum  6 points for 2 plus miles over minimum <b>Maximum: 6 points</b>
<b>ART10</b>	The system should have options for meteorological conditions (based upon randomization and realistic modelling).	Points will be awarded based on meeting the option requirement in the SOW.	A1.11.7		<b>2 points</b>
<b>ART11</b>	Instructor Dynamic Modifications. The system must allow at a minimum the dynamic entry at the Instructor Station of all relevant fields.	Points will be awarded based on system's ability to exceed the requirements for Instructor Dynamic Modifications	A1.11.10		<b>2 points</b>
<b>ART12</b>	The system must allow at a minimum the dynamic entry at the Instructor Station of all relevant fields of a Call For Fire (CFF)	Points will be awarded based on system's ability to exceed the requirements for the Call For Fire Displays.	A1.11.10.4		<b>2 points</b>

Number	Point Rated Technical Criterion	Rating Method	Cross reference to Acq SOW	Bidder's Response	Score
<b>ART13</b>	The system should simulate the fire mission types and special procedures (IAW Canadian fires doctrine).	Points will be awarded based on system's ability to exceed the requirements to conduct Fire Missions.	A1.11.37		<b>2 points</b>
<b>ART14</b>	The system should have the capability to simulate non-lethal effects applied to entity behaviors using AI.	Points will be awarded based on system's capability to exceed the requirements to portray the Entity Behaviors	A1.11.38		1 point for meeting 1 to 5 behaviours  2 points for 6 or more behaviours <b>Maximum: 2 points</b>
<b>ART15</b>	The system should have the capability to be expandable, to be able to incorporate future tactical equipment.	Points will be awarded based on the Bidder's ability to exceed the requirements for Simulated Military Equipment	A1.13.2.7		<b>8 points</b>
<b>Maximum Points Available:</b>					<b>53</b>