



Transport
Canada

Transports
Canada

PLACE DE VILLE
TOWER "C", 330 SPARKS STREET
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
K1A 0N5

May 17, 2022

ADDENDUM NO. 3

Subject: **Request for Proposal No. T8080-210655**
Professional Services of an Aircraft Maintenance Organization - Structures Category/Rating

Further to the above-mentioned Request for Proposal, this Addendum (#3) is to extend the bid closing date to May 20th at 14:00 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) and to advise potential bidders of the question(s) received during this tender call to date. Both the question(s) and the response(s) are indicated in the attached Annex A-1.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Tenderers are to acknowledge this Addendum by signing in the space provided below and enclosing a copy of this document with their tender submission.

Yours truly,

Alexander Hmaidan
Procurement Specialist
Email: Alexander.Hmaidan@tc.gc.ca
Transport Canada | Transports Canada
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGED

Name of Company _____

Signature _____

Canada

Annex A-1

Question 1:

Ref. Part 6, Chapter 6.1(b) and (c) and Part 7, Chapter 7.3 – Security, Financial and Other Requirements:

It is unclear when the work will be awarded and what individuals might be available at the time of the work. It is also unclear if the work will require sub-contracted services / sub-contracted personnel. Will Canada accept bidders provide their list of proposed individuals requiring access to classified or protected information at the time of task authorization?

Answer 1:

The Task Authorization (TA) process will define the applicable details for each work package, including the contractor's proposal for each TA, that will specify if there is a sub-contracted element to complete the work package. Since Part 6, Chapter 6.1(b) and (c) stipulates that the Bidder's proposal must specify the names of individuals, the bidder should provide names of individuals that are likely to support each work package, based on an estimate at the date of bid submission. It is understood that these named individuals may not be the same individuals that carry out the work at the time each TA is authorized, so it is acceptable for the winning contractor to provide their revised/updated list of individuals, in compliance with Part 7, Chapter 7.3, at the time the TA is processed for approval.

Question 2:

Ref. Annex A – Statement of Work Sec. 1.1.3.1

Aeronautical Products are typically defined by TCCA as: “an aircraft, aircraft engine, aircraft propeller or aircraft appliance or part, or a component part of any of those things, including any computer system and software (Ref. *Aeronautics Act* and Section 521.01 of the CARs).”

It is unclear to what standards and what the required personnel requirements are to perform inspections and maintenance services on ASD / client tools, test equipment, lathes, tow bars, shears, presses, calibration of tools and / or AMO equipment. Could clarification be provided?

Answer 2:

The TCCA definition for aeronautical products is appropriate and valid in this instance. Sec. 1.1.3.1 is primarily intended for applicability on ASD/client FW or RW aircraft and or off-aircraft parts and components. The Task Authorization (TA) process will define the applicable details for each work package, including (as applicable) the specific standards for scheduled inspections and maintenance services to be carried out. Inspections and maintenance of ASD / client tools, test equipment, lathes, tow bars, shears, presses, calibration of tools and / or AMO equipment will only be selected as part of the TA work package should there be a reliance on the winning contractor to provide maintenance services at an ASD facility where there is a dependency on using ASD/client tools and equipment. Clarification on the extent of these maintenance services will be provided in the TA (as applicable), and agreed upon between the contractor and ASD before the TA is processed for approval.

Question 3:

Ref. Annex A – Statement of Work Sec. 1.1.2

It is unclear what tooling and materials will be available to the bidder's personnel to do the work. Will tooling and planned goods be provided to the bidder's personnel to do the work?

Answer 3:

For work scope related to Repairs and Modifications, access to ASD tooling and material will be specified in the Task Authorization (TA) process (as applicable). The provision of tooling and planned goods to the winning contractor will be specified in the TA as part of the work package should there be a reliance on

the winning contractor to provide maintenance services at an ASD facility where there is a dependency on using ASD/client tools and equipment, or where the work package requires the provision of ASD materials as government furnished for use in completion of the work package. Clarification on the extent of these specific requirements will be provided in the TA (as applicable), and agreed upon between the contractor and ASD before the TA is processed for approval.

Question 4:

Ref. Annex A – Statement of Work Sec. 1.1.4

Is it the intent that ASD will authorize the bidder's personnel to release maintenance performed and work performed according to 1.1.4.2 and 1.1.4.3, and what qualifications will the bidder's personnel be required to hold in order to obtain such authorization?

Answer 4:

No, the intent is that certification of maintenance release for maintenance performed, or work performed (according to 1.1.4.2 and 1.1.4.3) falls under the authority of the contractor's AMO, meaning the contractor employee that certifies maintenance release must be qualified and authorized to do so under the contractor's applicable TCCA approved policy manual. Should the need arise for maintenance release to be carried out under the authority of the ASD AMO, the ASD MCM will apply. While estimated to be a rare occurrence as part of this contract, the ASD Chief of Quality Assurance must be consulted on a case-by-case basis.