PW-22-00996911

Amendment No.:

Contracting Authority: Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.:

Title:

Helicopter Charter Services - Parks Canada National Fire Management Program - Wood Buffalo National Park

#### ANNEX F TO PART 4 OF THE BID SOLICITATION

#### **TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

#### **Technical Bid Format**

The technical bid must address clearly and in sufficient depth the points that are subject to the evaluation criteria against which the bid will be evaluated. Simply repeating the statement contained in the bid solicitation is not sufficient.

In order to facilitate the evaluation of the bid, <u>Canada strongly requests that bidders address and present topics in</u> the order of the evaluation criteria under the same headings.

It is highly recommended that the Bidder use the Technical Compliance Matrix at Appendix F.1 for responding to the Mandatory Technical Criteria.

To avoid duplication, bidders may refer to different sections of their bids by identifying the specific paragraph and page number where the subject topic has already been addressed.

The Bidder is advised to pay careful attention to the wording used throughout this Request for Proposal (RFP). Failure to satisfy a term or condition of this RFP may result a bid being deemed non-responsive.

All information required for evaluation purposes must be included directly in the Bidder's technical bid. The evaluation team cannot consider information not provided directly in the technical bid (e.g. links to additional website content, references checks, etc.).

## **Submission Requirements**

The Bidder must submit one (1) electronic version of their technical bid. Adobe PDF format is the preferred format.

The Bidder is to submit a proposal that contains the following information:

- a) Pilot submission:
  - i. The Bidder must submit within their proposal package, the names and pilot information sheets of two (2) possible pilots for this contract, for verification of experience and competency.
  - ii. To be evaluated against mandatory technical criteria 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3.
  - iii. To be evaluated further against point rated criteria B.
- b) Helicopter submission:
  - The Bidder must submit sufficient technical information and specifications required to evaluate the one
     (1) helicopter proposed for the duration of the contract including but not limited to:
    - 1. Make & Model of each proposed helicopter
    - 2. Registration Numbers
    - 3. Certifications and Documentation Attached
  - ii. The helicopter must meet the requirements as outlined in section 6. Aircraft Requirement of Annex A Statement of Work.
  - iii. To be evaluated against mandatory technical criterion 1.4.
- c) Pilot Rotation Schedule:
  - i. The Bidder must submit a pilot rotation schedule based on the following requirements:
    - i. Applicable Canadian Aviation Regulations requirements;
    - ii. Air Operator Certificate Specification; and
    - iii. Insurance of pilot consistency using the same complement of pilots throughout each individual operational season.

Solicitation No.: Amendment No.: Contracting Authority: 5P420-21-0194/C 00 Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.:

PW-22-00996911 Helicopter Charter Services – Parks Canada National Fire Management Program – Wood Buffalo National Park

ii. To be evaluated against mandatory technical criterion 1.5.

### d) Safety Record:

- In order to for Parks Canada to evaluate safety record of each bidder, the contract proposal package shall include the following information (Note: The Canadian Aviation Daily Occurrence Reporting System (CADORS) will be used to validate this information);
  - The Bidder is to provide a brief description of incidents, causes and contributing factors of any reportable incidents and accidents in the past five (5) years (April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2022).;
  - ii. The Bidder is to provide details of valid corrective actions for any reportable incidents and accidents in the past five (5) years (April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2022).
- ii. To be evaluated against mandatory technical criterion 1.6 and further under Point Rated Technical Criteria A.

### 1. Mandatory Technical Criteria

Technical bids will be evaluated against the mandatory technical criteria below.

For a bid to be declared responsive to the solicitation requirements it must demonstrate and meet <u>all</u> of the mandatory technical criteria. Bids declared non-responsive to the mandatory technical criteria will be given no further evaluation.

	a) Pilot submission:				
Item No.	I EVAIIIATION CRITORIA				
1.1	Pilot submission: The Bidder must provide two (2) primary pilots and must include the following with their proposal:  - The respective pilot information sheets which clearly outlines minimum qualifications (to be used to confirm qualifications for mandatory criterion 1.2) and  - The pilot record of training, including training dates and locations, that clearly indicates that the pilots meet the Helicopter Association of Canada, Pilot Competencies for Helicopter Wildfire Operations: (to be used to confirm qualifications for mandatory criterion 1.3).			tions (to be used to	
Item	Evaluation Criteria	Met / Not Met Remarks / Notes			
No.		**To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**			
1.1.1	Bidder has provided the names, qualifications, pilot information sheets, and training records of a minimum of two (2) primary pilots.	☐ Met	□ Not Met		

Amendment No.: 00

Contracting Authority: Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

Title:

	a) Pilot submission:				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria				
1.2	Pilot Experience: The Bidder must submit at least two (2) primary pilots which meet the mandatory experience criteria outlined in 5.2 (a) to 5.2 (g) of the Statement of Work (listed below) that will be provided annually. Bidders must show how the proposed pilots meet, or will meet by expected season start date of June 20, 2022, the following criteria:  a. Appropriate license and endorsements for the proposed helicopter they are assigned to; b. Minimum 1,500 hours as Pilot-in-Command (PIC) rotary wing aircraft; c. Minimum 500 hours (PIC) flight time on class; d. Minimum 50 hours (PIC) on type in the last twelve (12) months prior to the reporting date at the base of operations; e. Minimum total of 150 hours (PIC) fire-fighting experience; f. Minimum of 200 hours (PIC) vertical reference operations (i.e. bucketing/longlining); g. Minimum of 100 hours flight time in mountainous terrain i.e. within designated mountainous area 1 as per Transport Canada AIM definition of mountainous regions.				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria		Not Met	Remarks / Notes	
1.2.1	Bidder has provided names and qualifications of a minimum of two (2) primary pilots which meet experience criteria (5.2 (a) through 5.2 (g) of Annex A – Statement of Work).	☐ Met	□ Not Met	Evaluation Team**	
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria				
1.3	Pilot Competence: The Bidder must submit at least two (2) primary pilots which meet the mandatory experience criteria outlined in 5.3 (a) to 5.3 (g) of the Statement of Work (listed below) that will be provided annually. Bidders must show how the proposed pilots meet, or will meet by expected season start date of June 20, 2022, the following criteria:  All pilots assigned to this contract must meet the following competence criteria as described in the Helicopter Association of Canada, Pilot Competencies for Helicopter Wildfire Operations:  a. General Wildfire Operations Knowledge  b. Mountain Flying  c. External Load  d. Aerial Ignition Device and Drip Torching  e. Hover Exit  f. Confined Area Operations  g. Low Visibility Operations				
Item	Evaluation Criteria	Met / Not Met Remarks / Notes			
No.		**To Be	**To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**		
1.3.1	Bidder has provided names and qualifications of <b>two (2)</b> primary pilots which meet competence criteria above (5.3 (a) through 5.3 (g) of Annex A – Statement of Work).	□ Met	□ Not Met		

Amendment No.:

Contracting Authority: Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

	b) Helicopter submission:					
The Bidd	The Bidder must identify the proposed helicopter and clearly indicate it will meet the mandatory criteria listed in sections 6. Aircraft Requirement of the Statement of Work and as outlined below in Mandatory Criteria 1.4.1 through					
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria					
1.4.1	Helicopter Identification: The Bidder must identify the type and call sign of one (1) helicopter proposed for the work and provide the minimum identifiers:  a. Makes and Models of each proposed helicopter  b. Registration Numbers  c. Certifications and Documentation Attached					
Item	Item Evaluation Criteria			Not Met	Remarks/ Notes	
No.	No.		**To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**			
1.4.1.1	Information provided shows all identifiers for the public plant as per item 1.4.1.	roposed	□ Met	□ Not Met		
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria					
1.4.2	General Helicopter Requirements: Bidder has listed how each proposed helicopter meets criteria from 6.1(a) to 6.1(g) of the Statement of Work (listed below).  a. Class Intermediate b. Seating Capacity 1 pilot + 5 passengers c. Closed Baggage Compartment Volume 0.46 m3 (16 ft3) or more d. Fuel Range 515 km (278 nautical miles) or more e. External Load (Cargo Hook Limit) 1,136kg (2,500 lbs) or more f. Internal Useful Load 860 kg (1,896 lbs) or more g. Service Ceiling 14,000 ft (4,267 m) or more					
Item	Evaluation Criteria		Met/	Not Met	Remarks/ Notes	
No. Evaluation Criteria **To Be Completed by Evaluation			Evaluation Team**			
1.4.2.1	Helicopter submitted meets or exceeds Criteria 6. through 6.1 (g) of Annex A – Statement of Work.	1 (a)	□ Met	□ Not Met		

Amendment No.:

**Contracting Authority:** Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

b) Helicopter submission (continued)				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria			
1.4.3	Aircraft Supplemental Equipment: Bidder has confirmed the proposed helicopter meets, or wistatement of Work (listed below):  a. Seating for 5 or more passengers. All seats to have his harnesses;  b. Two (2) fire-bombing buckets i.e. Bambi collapsible of the collective;  d. Equipped for pilot to conduct vertical reference work of the ending of the collective;  d. Equipped for pilot to conduct vertical reference work of the ending of the end of the	gh back sup type; ; nook; nook; ansport of ha gear with bea ng AVGAS, I Dragon and	port capability azardous good ar paws and pa Jet A and/or B d <i>Primo</i> Plastic	with shoulder restraint  I such as fuels, assenger steps on fuel mixed with a c Sphere Dispenser
	b) Helicopter submission (co	•	Not Met	Remarks / Notes
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria			Evaluation Team**
1.4.3.1	Supplemental Equipment submitted meets or exceeds criteria 6.2 (a) through 6.2 (n) of Annex A – Statement of Work.	□ Met	□ Not Met	

Amendment No.:

**Contracting Authority:** Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

	b) Helicopter submission (continued)				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria				
1.4.4	Communication Equipment:  Bidder has confirmed the proposed helicopter meets, or visit to Statement of Work (listed below)  a. Two (2) VHF/AM radio transmitter-receivers with free 50 KHz spacing with guard feature. This will provid the pilot and co-pilot position on any of the radio s switches, which can be operated independently in I shall have an operational foot switch for radio transmisswitch;  b. Two (2) FM transceiver with a frequency range of 15 of 103.5HZ,114.8HZ, 127.3HZ and 141.3HZ with compressed simplex and semi-duplex channels hosting of both wideband (25kHz) and narrowband (12.5 kHz).  c. Provision for operational capabilities of both radio microphones, by both pilot, copilot/front seat passed. One (1) intercom, for all front and rear seats, with he or equivalent;  e. One (1) Transport Canada approved power supply seat;  f. One (1) hard-wired or portable, satellite phone for p. Minimum one (1) programmable portable FM transcent CTCSS tones (as noted above);  h. All aircrew, including engineers, to be equipped with	quencies of 1 e independe ystems. The poth the pilot pission or a da 0 to 174 MHz ontrol head pa main and g 1z) operations o and hot-m nger; adsets and be for cockpit e hone communeiver radio w	18 MHz to 135.  nt receive and e control arrange and co-pilot peach or panel most capable of gereorovision for 30 peach or equired; it intercom, the commicrophological control in the capability of the capabilit	.97 MHz inclusive with transmit capability for gement will have dual ositions. The co-pilot bunt ICS/TSX transmit nerating CTCSS tones pilot-programmable, Also must be capable nrough headset/boomnes, Davis Clark, Bose essible to copilot/front mote areas;	
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria  Met / Not Met Remarks / Not Met **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team		Remarks / Notes		
1.4.4.1	Submitted Communication Equipment meets or exceeds criteria 6.3 (a) through 6.3 (h) of Annex A – Statement of Work.	□ Met	□ Not Met	Lvaidation Team	

Amendment No.:

**Contracting Authority:** Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

b) Heli	b) Helicopter submission (continued)				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria				
1.4.5	Directional, Safety and Emergency Equipment  Bidder has confirmed the proposed helicopter meets, or will meet, criteria 6.4 (a) to 6.4 (e) of the Statement of Work (listed below)  a. One (1) Emergency Locator Transmitter (ELT);  b. One (1) Global Positioning System (GPS);				
Item No.	EVAILIATION CRITORIA				
1.4.5.1	Helicopter submitted meets Criteria 6.4 (a) through 6.4 (e) of Annex A – Statement of Work.	□ Met □ Not Met			
c) Pilot	Rotation Schedule:				
Item No.	Evaluation Crite	eria			
1.5	<ul> <li>Pilot Rotation Schedule</li> <li>The Bidder must submit a pilot rotation schedule that conforms to the following requirements: <ul> <li>a. Applicable Canadian Aviation Regulations requirements;</li> <li>b. Air Operator Certificate Specification;</li> <li>c. Insurance of pilot consistency so as to provide the same complement of pilots throughout each individual operational season.</li> <li>d. Principal pilots identified in the bid package must fly a minimum of 75% of contracted time during the first contract year.</li> <li>e. Principal pilots identified in subsequent contract years (if different than those identified in the bid package) must fly a minimum of 75% of contract time during subsequent contract years and must possess comparable experience and competencies as those identified in the original bid package.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
Item	Evaluation Criteria		Not Met	Remarks/ Notes	
No.		**To Be	Completed by I	Evaluation Team**	
1.5.1	The Bidder has submitted a pilot rotation schedule as outlined above <b>in 1.5</b> .	□ Met	□ Not Met		

Solicitation No.: 5P420-21-0194/C Amendment No.: 00 Contracting Authority: Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

Title:

Helicopter Charter Services – Parks Canada National Fire Management Program – Wood Buffalo National Park

d) Safet	d) Safety Record:				
1.6 Safety Record  The Bidder must submit a record including reportable incidents and accidents defined by the Canadian Aviation Daily Occurrence Reporting System (CADORS) requirement encompassing the past five (5) years (April 1, 2017 to March 31, 2022).					
To be ev	aluated further under Point Rated Technical Criteria A.				
	Note: Where a bidder is submitting as a joint venture, the safety record is to list the incidents of the main flight operator.				
Item	Item Evaluation Criteria Met / Not Met Remarks / Notes				
No.	No. **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**				
1.6.1	The Bidder submitted a safety record with CADORS information.	□ Met	□ Not Met		

Bids that do not demonstrate and meet all of the mandatory technical criteria will be given no further evaluation.

Solicitation No.: Amendment No.: Contracting Authority: 5P420-21-0194/C 00 Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: Title:

PW-22-00996911 Helicopter Charter Services – Parks Canada National Fire Management Program – Wood Buffalo National Park

#### 2. Point Rated Technical Criteria

Technical bids will be evaluated against the point rated technical criteria below.

For a bid to be declared responsive to the solicitation requirements Bidders must provide the information listed in section 4 of the Statement of Work and as identified in the criteria below. Bids that do not provide this information will be given no further evaluation.

Each section below will form part of the Bidder's overall technical score for a total of 60% of their evaluated package:

2.1 Company Safety Record 20%2.2 Pilot Package 40%

# 2.1 Company Safety Record

The Bidder's score from this section will form 20% of their overall evaluation package score and the point score awarded will be their percentage earned (e.g. if a Bidder scores 15 points in this section they will earn 15% of the available 20%).

The Canadian Aviation Daily Occurrence Reporting System (CADORS) is used to assess this part of the contract bid package. CADORS is a mandatory incident / accident reporting system which includes all operators, Transport Canada, NAV Canada and the public. An un-biased helicopter safety consultant is tasked with evaluating operator RFP submissions and operator reports within CADORS over the past five (5) years (April 1st, 2017 to March 31st, 2022).

## 1.1 Safety Record Point Rating Process:

- a. Bidders are requested to submit a list of reportable safety occurrences and corrective actions, which apply to PCA fire management type operations, during the past 5 years.
- b. Safety consultant reviews operator reports to:
  - Determine if reported occurrences are relevant to the type of flight operations as per the statement of work. These include:
    - Mechanical failure
    - · Loss of power
    - Rotor blade strikes
    - Settling with Power
    - Hard landings
    - Loss of tail rotor effectiveness
    - Flight into terrain
    - Dynamic roll-over
    - Dropped loads
    - Pilot error
    - Other incidents not listed may be included if determined relevant

Occurrences during flight profiles not similar to Parks Canada operations will not be considered. These may Include:

- Pilot training
- Off shore operations
- Skiing incidents directly attributed to skiing operations
- Acts of God (lightning, bird strikes, etc)
- Miscellaneous occurrences such as flight plans, inadvertent, ELT activations, airspace issues
- ii. Determine if operator has sufficiently implemented corrective actions;
- iii. Determine if there are unreported incidents in the RFP, yet, reported within CADORS or elsewhere.

Solicitation No.: Amendment No.: Contracting Authority: 5P420-21-0194/C 00 Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: Title:

PW-22-00996911 Helicopter Charter Services – Parks Canada National Fire Management Program – Wood Buffalo National Park

Item No.	Evaluation Criteria		Maximum Weighted Points
A.	Carrier Safety Record		20
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Points Awarded **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**
<b>A</b> .1.	The Bidder is to list <u>all</u> reportable incidents and accidents defined by the CADORS requirement (to be cross checked against CADORS) in the past five (5) years (April 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2017 to March 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2022).  Each operator begins with 20 points:  i. 2 points removed for each relevant incident / accident; ii. 1 point credited for each appropriate corrective action; iii. 2 points removed for each relevant unreported incident or accident; iv. For dropped loads, 0.5 points are simply removed. No points are reinstated. These are considered less severe incidents than major incidents such as dynamic rollover.	1.0	/20
<b>A.1.</b> **To Be	Reference(s):		
Completed by Evaluation	Strengths:		
Team** Weaknesses:			
Total Points Score Criteria A  **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**			/20

## 2.2 Pilot Package

The Pilot Package will be evaluated against the point rated criteria below and will form 40% of the bidder's overall package score. The overall point score below from items B.1 and B.2 will be multiplied by the 40% available to give the Bidder's final score for the Pilot Package (rounded to the nearest 2 decimal places).

#### For example:

Overall Pilot Package Score	62/85 = 0.73
Overall amount of percentage received	$0.73 \times 40 = 29.18$

The Bidder is to submit a minimum of two (2) pilots who will be the *principal pilots* for this contract. The two (2) principal pilots will be clearly identified in the bid package if more than two (2) pilots are submitted.

- Principal pilots identified in the bid package must fly a minimum of 75% of contracted time during the first contract year.
- Principal pilots identified in subsequent contract years (if different than those identified in the bid package) must fly a minimum of 75% of contract time during subsequent contract years and must possess comparable experience and competencies as those identified in the original bid package. Principal pilot qualifications must be reviewed and approved by Parks Canada on an annual basis if principal pilots change year over year.

The Bidder *may* submit up to two (2) *supplementary pilots* meeting minimum experience and qualifications to be evaluated against point rated criteria B.2 for additional points.

All pilot experience listed in the bid package must be clearly reported on pilot resumes and pilot information sheets so that it may be confirmed by the evaluation committee.

Solicitation No.: 5P420-21-0194/C Amendment No.: 00 Contracting Authority: Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Weighted Points	
B.	Pilot Package	85	
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria		
B.1	Principal Pilot Supplementary Experience (85 points max total):		
B.1.1	Pilot-in-Command (PIC) rotary wing aircraft (31 points max for to 0.5 points will be awarded for each of the two (2) principal pilots which minimum experience in increments of 50 hours:  1. 1500.1 - 1550.0 hours = 0.5 point 11. 1550.1 - 1600.0 hours = 1.0 point 111. 1600.1 - 1650.0 hours = 1.5 points 112. 1650.1 - 1700.0 hours = 2.0 points 113. 1750.1 - 1800.0 hours = 2.5 points 114. 1800.1 - 1750.0 hours = 3.0 points 115. 1800.1 - 1850.0 hours = 3.5 points 116. 1850.1 - 1900.0 hours = 4.0 points 117. 1900.1 - 1950.0 hours = 4.5 points 118. 1900.1 - 1950.0 hours = 5.5 points 119. 2000.1 - 2050.0 hours = 5.5 points 119. 2000.1 - 2150.0 hours = 6.0 points 119. 2150.1 - 2200.0 hours = 7.0 points 119. 2150.1 - 2250.0 hours = 7.5 points 119. 2250.1 - 2300.0 hours = 8.0 points 119. 2350.1 - 2400.0 hours = 8.0 points 119. 2350.1 - 2400.0 hours = 8.5 points 119. 2350.1 - 2450.0 hours = 9.5 points 119. 2450.1 - 2550.0 hours = 9.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2500.0 hours = 10.0 points 119. 2550.1 - 2550.0 hours = 10.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2550.0 hours = 10.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2550.0 hours = 11.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2650.0 hours = 11.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2650.0 hours = 11.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2650.0 hours = 11.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2550.0 hours = 110.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2550.0 hours = 110.5 points 119. 2550.1 - 2550.0 hours = 110.5 p		Points Awarded
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Weight	**To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**
B.1.1	Total Pilot-in-Command (PIC) rotary wing aircraft score	1.0	/31
B.1.1	Reference(s):		
**To Be Completed by	Strengths:		
Evaluation Team**	Weaknesses:		

Amendment No.: Solicitation No.: 5P420-21-0194/C **Contracting Authority:** Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

B.	Pilot Package (continued)				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Criteria			
B.1.2	Fire Experience (18 points max for two (2) pilots):  Additional point(s) to be awarded for <u>each</u> of the two (2) principal pilots-hour minimum fire experience, in increments of 50 hours as pell l. 150.1 - 200.0 hours = 1 point ll. 200.1 - 250.0 hours = 2 points lll. 250.1 - 300.0 hours = 3 points lll. 250.1 - 300.0 hours = 4 points lll. 350.1 - 400.0 hours = 5 points lll. 450.1 - 450.0 hours = 6 points lll. 450.1 - 500.0 hours = 7 points lll. 450.1 - 550.0 hours = 8 points lll. 550.1 + hours = 9 points		eds the minimum		
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Points Awarded **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**		
B.1.2	Total Fire Seasons Experience score	1.0	/18		
<b>B.1.2</b> **To Be	Reference(s):				
Completed by Evaluation	Strengths:				
Team**	Weaknesses:				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria				
B.1.3	PIC vertical reference operations (i.e. bucketing/longlining) (14 points max for two (2) pilots) Additional point(s) to be awarded for each of the two (2) principal pilots which exceeds the 200-hour minimum experience in increments of 50 hours as per the following:  I. 200.1 - 250.0 hours = 1 point II. 250.1 - 300.0 hours = 2 points III. 300.1 - 350.0 hours = 3 points IV. 350.1 - 400.0 hours = 4 points V. 400.1 - 450.0 hours = 5 points VI. 450.1 - 500.0 hours = 6 points VII. 500.1 + hours = 7 points				
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Points Awarded **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**		
B.1.3	PIC vertical reference operations (i.e. bucketing/longlining) score	1.0	/14		
<b>B.1.3</b> **To Be	Reference(s):				
Completed by Evaluation	Strengths:				
Team**	Weaknesses:				

Amendment No.: Solicitation No.: 5P420-21-0194/C **Contracting Authority:** Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

B.	Pilot Package (continued)		
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria		
B.1.4	PIC in Mountainous Terrain (17 points maximum total for two (2) Additional point(s) to be awarded for each of the two (2) principal pide maximum of 17 points, for experience which exceeds the minimum designated mountainous area 1 as per Transport Canada AlM definincements of 50 hours as per the following:  1. 100.1 - 150.0 hours = 0.5 point  11. 150.1 - 200.0 hours = 1.0 point  11. 200.1 - 250.0 hours = 1.5 points  12. 250.1 - 300.0 hours = 2.0 points  13. 350.1 - 400.0 hours = 3.0 points  14. 350.1 - 400.0 hours = 3.5 points  15. VII. 400.1 - 450.0 hours = 3.5 points  18. 500.1 - 500.0 hours = 4.0 points  19. 250.1 - 600.0 hours = 5.0 points  20. 250.1 + 250.0 hours = 5.0 points  21. 500.1 - 550.0 hours = 5.5 points  22. 250.1 + 250.0 hours = 5.5 points  23. 250.1 + 250.0 hours = 5.5 points  24. 250.1 - 600.0 hours = 5.5 points  25. 250.1 + 250.0 hours = 5.5 points  26. 26.0 points  27. 27.0 points  28. 27.0 points  29. 27.1 + 250.0 hours = 7.5 points  20. 27.1 + 250.0 hours = 7.5 points	ilots, up to <mark>8.5</mark> 100-hours PIC	flight time in
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Points Awarded **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**
B.1.4	PIC flight time in mountainous terrain score	1.0	/17
B.1.4	Reference(s):		
**To Be Completed by Evaluation	Strengths:		
Team**	Weaknesses:		

Amendment No.:

**Contracting Authority:** Andrea McGraw-Alcock

Client Reference No.: PW-22-00996911

B.	Pilot Package (continued)		
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria		
B.2	Supplemental Pilot(s) (5 points max total):		
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria		
B.2	<ul> <li>Supplementary Pilots (5 points max for 2 pilots)</li> <li>Reminder: Supplementary pilots may only fly up to a maximum of 25% of contracted flight time.</li> <li>2.5 points awarded each for a maximum of 2 supplementary pilots meeting minimum experience, see 1.1 and 1.2 of Mandatory Criteria, who may be approved for work on this contract.</li> <li>Supplementary pilots are not evaluated against points under 2.1(a) Principal Pilot Supplementary Experience of the evaluation process.</li> <li>Supplementary pilots may fly a combined maximum of 25% of contracted flight time.</li> </ul>		
Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Points Awarded **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**
B.2.1	Supplementary Pilots score	1.0	/5
B.2.1	Reference(s):		
**To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**	Strengths:		
	Weaknesses:		
Total Points Score Criteria B (B.1.1 + B.1.2 + B.1.3 + B.1.4 + B.2.1)  **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**			/85
Overall portion of 40% received:  (Overall Pilot Score) divided by (Total Points Available for B) x 40  **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**			/40
Overall Technical Points Score Criteria A (Safety Record) + B (Pilot Score)  **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team **			/60