



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Fuel & Construction Products Division
L'Esplanade Laurier,
140 O'Connor Street,
East Tower, 4th floor,
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Water Treatment System	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8476-216378/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8476-216378	Date 2022-06-07
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$HL-673-81098	
File No. - N° de dossier hl673.W8476-216378	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT on - le 2022-06-30 Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Shaun Feagan	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur hl673
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 295-9018 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Specified Herein	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment is raised to answer questions from potential bidders and amend the Request for Proposals.

Questions:

Q44. Page 427/440, the title page of Annex F states the following: “*If applicable, will be filled in by Canada as per section 3.5 of the Bidder Instructions.” What portion of the preceding text and table does the asterisked comment refer to? Also, Section 3.5 of the Bidder Instructions refers to Exchange Rate Fluctuation. Please clarify.

A44. The text will be removed. Refer to the amendment to Annex F below.

Q45. Page 36/440, Part 8, section 8.9.1 Basis of Payment, the reference to Annex C2 should read Annex B2.

A45. Yes. Refer to the amendment to the RFP below.

Q46. Page 410/440, E - 8/24, M3, Annex E, Para 4.1.1.2 & Para 4.1.1.3 states the following: “2. a fleet of complex mechanical equipment having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD for a NATO military customer within the last ten (10) years.” Can Canada please confirm the definition of “complex mechanical equipment”? Also, in order for this requirement to be of a similar level of value and complexity as item 1, please confirm that the value of \$14M CAD refers to a “Fleet” of ten or more systems, having a monetary value of at least \$1.4M per unit.

A46. Complex mechanical equipment definition: Equipment of complexity similar to, or exceeding, the equipment described in this RFP. For the purposes of this solicitation, “complex mechanical equipment” is defined as equipment having all of the following characteristics:

- Functions, at least partially, with three-phase alternating current;
- Has, as a major function, the conversion of electrical energy into kinetic or pressure energy using a fluid as a medium; and
- Its operation is monitored and at least partially automated by a Process Logic Controller.

The \$14M CAD value refers to the minimum value of each fleet and a fleet is defined in Annex E, para. 4.1.1.2 as ten (10) or more systems. The fleet must include ten (10) or more systems and the value of the entire fleet must have a minimum total monetary value of \$14M CAD. The unit value will not be evaluated.

Q47. Page 39/440, Section 8.19 SACC Manual Clauses, SACC Reference D5540C has the incorrect name, as it should be ISO9001:2015 Quality Management Systems (not ISO 9001:2008). In addition, SACC Clause D5540C indicates meeting the requirements of ISO9001:2015 and that certification is not mandatory; however, on **Page 80/440, A1 – 41/262, Section 7.0 Quality Assurance, para 7.1.1** indicates that

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8476-216378/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8476-216378

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
hl673.W8476-216378

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
hl673
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

contractor must have a Quality Management System certified to ISO9001:2015. Please confirm the correct SACC Reference and level of ISO Certification required.

A47. The correct clause title should read ISO9001:2015. Annex A1 para. 7.1.1. will be updated to reflect requirement of compliance rather than certification. Refer to the amendments to the RFP and Annex A1 below.

Q48. Page 326/440, Section 2.0 Applicable Documents, Section 2.1.1, lists the following regulation SOR/99-7, 1998, Ozone-Depleting Substances Regulations. This regulation has been repealed according to the Canadian Environment Protection Act, 1999. Please confirm.

A48. The reference has been updated to the current version. Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below.

Q49. Page 275/440, A1 – 236/262, A3.41 DID – Equipment Environmental Assessment (EEA), 6.1.3 Equipment Description, para 6.1.3.2.5 indicates that SDS are to be less than three years old. The requirement for suppliers to update a safety data sheet every three years, as was the case under WHMIS 1988, no longer applies in WHMIS 2015. Our understanding is that the requirement for SDS less than three years old should be removed. Please confirm.

A49. The requirement has been updated to reflect the changes under WHMIS 2015. Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below.

Q50. Amendment 001, Page 10/11, 9.4 Equipment Environmental Assessment, Section 9.4.1. states Error! Reference source not found or Error! Bookmark not defined. Can you please advise what the correct Appendix references should be?

A50. Error noted – refer to the amendment to A1 below.

Q51. At A1.2.2.13 Two (2) Life Preserver vests: These units come in multiple sizes; the requirement does not specify what sizes are required. Would you please advise the desired sizes?

A51. The requirement will be changed to indicate that the Life Preserver vests will be supplied as Government-Furnished Equipment (GFE). Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below.

Q52. At A1.2.2.14 Two (2) Wadding Overalls These units come in multiple sizes (5 – 13), the requirement does not specify what sizes are required. Would you please advise the desired sizes, or if we are to select two different sizes.

A52. The requirement will be changed to indicate that the Wadding Overalls will be supplied as GFE. Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below.

Q53. In Amendment 1, Canada published the following modification to PR5:

At Annex E, PR5 point # 3 and # 5 of the (5 points section) Bidder must provide the following information...

Delete: A description of the "Major Equipment".

Insert: A description of the "Major Equipment" or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment that was sustained.

Point #5 of the 5-point section of PR5 does not make reference to "A description of the "Major Equipment"". Canada is requested to clarify whether the correct reference for this RFP modification was meant to be to point # 3 of BOTH the 5 point AND 8-point sections.

Additionally, if similar modifications are made to both the 5 point and 8-point sections in the future could Canada please separate the changes out individually as the combined approach seems to cause confusion.

A53. Yes, the modification was indented for point # 3 of both the 5 point and 8-point sections. Future amendments will be done separately. Refer to the updated Annex E below.

Q54. In Amendment 1, Canada published the following modification to PR5:

At Annex E, **PR5** point # 3 and # 5 of the (8 points section) "Bidder must provide the following information..."

Delete: Monetary value of the "Major Equipment".

Insert: Monetary value of the "Major Equipment" or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment".

Point #3 of the 8-point section of PR5 does not make reference to "Monetary value of the "Major Equipment"". Canada is requested to clarify whether the correct reference was meant to be to point # 5 of **BOTH** the 5 point **AND** 8-point sections.

Additionally, if similar modifications are made to both the 5 point and 8-point sections in the future could Canada please separate the changes out individually as the combined approach seems to cause confusion.

A54. Yes, the modification was indented for point # 5 of both the 5-point and 8-point sections. Future amendments will be done separately. Refer to the updated Annex E below.

Q55. There are a few instances of SACC 2030 that show different dates. Please confirm which is the correct reference.

A55. The correct reference is "2030 (2021-12-02) General Conditions – Higher Complexity – Goods". Refer to the amendment to the RFP below.

Q56. There are a few instances of SACC 4006 that show different dates. Please confirm which is the correct reference.

A56. The correct reference is “4006 (2010-08-16) Contractor to Own Intellectual Property Rights in Foreground Information”. Refer to the amendment to the RFP below.

Q57. Page 53/440, Page 14/262, Annex 1, FED-STD-191A: This standard for Textile Test Methods was made inactive for new designs in 2000. Please confirm this standard should not be listed as a requirement.

A57. DND confirms that this standard FED-STD-191A is inactive and is not a requirement. Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below.

Q58. Page 13/440 or Page 12 of 38, Part 4, Section 4.2.3 Phase II: Technical Bid, para (a) states that *“Eligible Mandatory Criteria are all mandatory technical criteria that are identified in this solicitation as being subject to the PBCP. Mandatory technical criteria that are not identified in the solicitation as being subject to the PBCP, will not be evaluated until Phase III.”* In contrast, Annex E Section 3.0, sub-para 3.1.1 states that *“The evaluation team will use the Bidder’s submitted proposal to determine compliance against key mandatory requirements.”* Please confirm that the “key mandatory requirements” and “Key Mandatory Requirements” are to be considered the “Eligible Mandatory Criteria” and will be evaluated in Phase II, not Phase III, of the Phased Bid Compliance Process.

A58. In accordance with paragraphs 4.3.1 and 4.3.2, the PBCP applies to all mandatory and point rated technical criteria found in Annex E.

Q59. Page 4/440, Page 3 of 38, Part 4 – Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection, does not make reference to how Section III: Certifications and Section IV: Other Requirements will be evaluated. Please confirm that Sections III and IV will be evaluated for compliance as part of Phase I of the Phased Bid Compliance Process.

A59. The information requested in Section III and IV is required with the bid and failure to provide it with the bid would render the bid non-responsive. These sections are not subject to the PBCP.

Q60. Page 92/440, A1 – 53/262, Section 9.0, Environmental Health, and Safety, Sub-section 9.1.6, the RFP states a List of Challenge substances and provides a link. This list has not been updated since 2009 and has been archived, which indicates it won’t be updated. Please confirm that the reference to the List of Challenge Substances should be removed from this paragraph since CEPA and NPRI are still in effect.

A60. Sub-section 9.1.6 was removed from Section 9. See the Amendment 001 to Solicitation dated 2022-04-19.

Q61. Economic Price Adjustment – Steel and Freight: Will Canada consider providing an economic price adjustment in the RFP and subsequent contract?

A61. Economic Price Adjustment will not be included in the RFP and subsequent contracts.

Q62. In Part 7, Paragraph 7.2.1 states that Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions (SACC) Manual 2030 (2021-12-02) General Conditions - Higher Complexity - Goods, apply to and form part of the Acquisition Contract. Paragraph 2030 26 (2008-05-12) Liability of these General Conditions states that "... The Parties agree that no limitation of liability or indemnity provision applies to the Contract unless it is specifically incorporated in full text in the Articles of Agreement...";

And in Part 8, Paragraph 8.4.1 states that Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions (SACC) Manual 2035 (2021-12-02) General Conditions - Higher Complexity - Services, apply to and form part of the Contract. Paragraph 2035 24 (2008-05-12) Liability of these General Conditions states that "... The Parties agree that no limitation of liability or indemnity provision applies to the Contract unless it is specifically incorporated in full text in the Articles of Agreement..."

Will Canada to agree to cap the contractor's liability for damages to Canada to a mutually agreed specific dollar amount that is no greater than the value of the contract?

A62. Canada is not considering changes to the Limitation of Liability.

Q63. In Annex D1 - Security Requirements Check List – Acquisition Contract and Annex D2 - Security Requirements Check List – In Service Support Contract, in Part A, question 5(a) "Will the supplier require access to Controlled Goods?" Both SRCLs state 'no'.

However, the following paragraphs in the RFP all indicate that this procurement is subject to the Controlled Goods Program.

1.2.4 This procurement is subject to the Controlled Goods Program. 6.3 Controlled Goods Requirement: SACC Manual clause A9130T (2019-11-28) Controlled Goods Program

7.14 Controlled Goods Program: SACC Manual clause A9131C (2020-11-19) Controlled Goods Program

7.16 Controlled Goods: The Contract involves controlled goods as defined in the Schedule to the Defence Production Act.

8.18 Controlled Goods Program: SACC Manual clause A9131C (2020-11-19) Controlled Goods Program

8.19 SACC Manual Clauses: The following terms and conditions are incorporated herein: A9131C Controlled Goods Program – Contract (2020-11-19) and B4060C Controlled Goods (2011-05-16)

Should Box 5(a) ('Will the supplier require access to Controlled Goods?') of the Security Requirements Check List for both contracts be 'yes' instead of 'no'?

A63. The current requirement will remain unchanged. The SRCL indicates 'no', as we do not anticipate a requirement to access Controlled Goods. However, should Controlled Goods access be required, the Controlled Goods must be managed in accordance with the relevant SACC Manual clauses and paras outlined throughout the RFP.

Q64. Does the WTS have to work on both North American and European external power grids?

A64. Yes. The WTS must connect to and operate from both North American and European external power grids. The requirement will be modified for clarity. Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below.

Q65. A1.3.4.1.7 states "The exterior dimensions of the ISO Containers must meet ISO 668, Series 1 Freight Containers - Classification, dimensions and ratings, designations 1F (8'H) (see Fig. 1.2 and Fig 1.3):"

To meet the Mandatory Requirements of M7 (Annex E – Technical Proposal Requirements and Bid Evaluation), we need to establish the internal dimensions of the QUADCON and BICON ISO containers.

Designation 1F is for a QUADCON. The BICON requirements in the RFP seems to point to the same reference section and therefore the same specification. Although the external BICON dimensions are described in the RFP, the internal dimensions require reference to the applicable ISO 668 standard.

Can we assume that the BICON specification is described by ISO 668, Series 1 Freight Containers - Classification, dimensions and ratings, designations 1D?

A65. Yes, the BICON specification is described by ISO 668, Series 1 Freight Containers - Classification, dimensions and ratings, designation 1D. Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below for clarification.

Q66. In APPENDIX A to ANNEX A1 of W8476-216378, STATEMENT OF WORK, Water Treatment System ANNEX A 6.0 Verification & Validation Matrix, the Verification/Validation Methods were not identified. Would Canada please provide the Verification/Validation Methods for the Technical Specification Requirements?

A66. Verification/Validation Methods are to be developed with the future Contractor during the design process IAW the Systems Engineering, verification, and validation requirements.

Q67. Paragraph 5.1.1.1 of Annex A2 "Statement of Work for the Support of the Water Treatment System" states that "Operator and Technical Personnel may be required to

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8476-216378/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8476-216378

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
hl673.W8476-216378

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
hl673
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

participate in deployed DND/CAF operations, including secured military field environments and deployed camps/forward operating bases (In-Theatre)". However, Table 2.4 of Annex F refers to "Operating Support – FSR Support for Deployed Operations" and contains the note: "Work site will be at a main base, or airfield, that is considered secure and not at a Forward Operating Base". Please clarify if Operating and/or Technical Support at deployed camps/forward operating bases will be required.

A67. The scenarios where Operating and/or Technical Support will be required are unknown at the time of this RFP. This support will be called upon if/when required based on CAF operational requirements and may require support at deployed camps/forward operating bases. The note in Table 2.4 outlines an assumed conditions to aid in bidder costing.

Q68. Annex A2, A1.0 Appendix: List of Items to be Supported, Para A1.1.1.5: please confirm that the reference to "para. 4.1.3.1" should read "para. 4.1.4.1".

A68. DND confirms that the reference to "para. 4.1.3.1" should read "para. 4.1.4.1". Refer to the amendment to Annex A1 below.

Q69. Please confirm that in paragraphs 3.1.1.1 and 3.6.5.1 of Annex A2, "Statement of Work for the Support of the Water Treatment System", the references to Annex B2, "Logistics Statement of Work" should read Annex C2.

A69. Correct, the references should read C2. Refer to the amendment to Annex A2 below.

Q70. Amendment 002 provides the following amendment:

At Annex A1 8.13.1.1:

Delete: Microsoft (MS) Windows 7 Enterprise Operating System (OS), Service Pack 1;

Insert: Microsoft (MS) Windows 10 Enterprise Operating System;

Please confirm if it is acceptable for soft copies of data deliverables to be compatible with the Microsoft (MS) Windows Enterprise Operating System that is in place at the time of contract award? Please also confirm that this requirement also applies to solicitation Annex A2, paragraph 6.5?

A70. The requirement is compatibility with MS Windows 10 Enterprise as stated in Amendment 002. Refer to the amendment to Annex A2 below.

Q71. Page 53/440, A1 – 14//262, 2.0 Applicable Documents, Sub-section Commercially Available, Reference CAO 21-04, 2014, Paint and Marking Policy for Land Equipment, DLR/DGLEPM. We could not find this one commercially available document and kindly request that Canada provide this document to all bidders.

A71. DND will provide CAO 21-04, 2014 Policy to PSPC for all bidders.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8476-216378/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8476-216378

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
hl673.W8476-216378

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
hl673
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Q72. Page 291/440, A1 – 252/262, A5.3 Test Procedures and Minimum Performance Requirements. Flow rate confirmation during the tests.

- a. For SEA WATER (High TDS \diamond 45.000 ppm), please confirm the treated water production should reach a minimum of 625 l/h
- b. For brackish/low salinity, please confirm the treated water capacity should reach a minimum of 1250 l/h

A72. All flow rate requirements are outlined under “Test Conditions” in their respective Test Water tables in Annex A1 5.3.

The Solicitation is hereby amended as follows:

- **At RFP 7.2.2**

Delete: “4006 (2010-06-16)”

Insert: “4006 (2010-08-16)”

- **At RFP 7.10**

Delete: “(b) the supplemental general conditions 4006 (2010-08-06) Contractor to Own Intellectual Property Rights in Foreground Information;

(c) the general conditions 2030 (2018-06-21) General Conditions - Higher Complexity – Goods”

Insert: “(b) the supplemental general conditions 4006 (2010-08-16) Contractor to Own Intellectual Property Rights in Foreground Information;

(c) the general conditions 2030 (2022-05-12) General Conditions - Higher Complexity – Goods”

- **At RFP 8.9.1:**

Delete: In consideration of the Contractor satisfactorily completing all of its obligations under the Contract, the Contractor will be paid in accordance with Annex C2, in Canadian dollars, FCA Free Carrier at Contractor’s facilities, Incoterms 2020, Customs Duty, Excise Taxes included where applicable and Goods and Services Tax or Harmonized Sales Tax extra.

Insert: In consideration of the Contractor satisfactorily completing all of its obligations under the Contract, the Contractor will be paid in accordance with Annex B2, in Canadian dollars, FCA Free Carrier at Contractor’s facilities, Incoterms 2020, Customs Duty, Excise Taxes included where applicable and Goods and Services Tax or Harmonized Sales Tax extra.

- **At RFP 8.19:**

Delete: “ISO 9001:2008”

Insert: “ISO 9001:2015”

- **At Annex A1 A1.1.1.5:**

Delete: Repair TAT – Identifies the Repair TAT, if different from the general Repair TAT, as defined in the Support SOW at para. 4.1.3.1, indicating that this item is of greater importance to the operation of the WTS and therefore requires a faster turn-around. Repair TAT is indicated in calendar days, if left blank, then general Repair TAT is followed.

Insert: Repair TAT – Identifies the Repair TAT, if different from the general Repair TAT, as defined in the Support SOW at para. 4.1.4.1, indicating that this item is of greater importance to the operation of the WTS and therefore requires a faster turn-around. Repair TAT is indicated in calendar days, if left blank, then general Repair TAT is followed.

- **At Annex A1 A1.2.1.5.4.1:**

Delete: The Electrical System must have the capability to connect to a North American external power grid and must have additional capability to connect to a European external power grid.

Insert: The Electrical System must connect to and operate from North American and European external power grids.

- **At Annex A1 A.1.2.2.13:**

Delete: The Life Preserver Vest of A1.2.2.2 must be NSN 4220-20-000-0262 (or equivalent).

Insert: The Life Preserver Vest of A1.2.2.2 must be NSN 4220-20-000-0262 and will be provided as GFE.

- **At Annex A1 A.1.2.2.14:**

Delete: The Wading Overalls of A1.2.2.2 must be NSN 8415-20-A0F-1690 (or equivalent).

Insert: The Wading Overalls of A1.2.2.2 must be NSN 8415-20-A0F-1690 and will be provided as GFE.

- **At Annex A1 A1.3.4.1.7:**

Delete: The exterior dimensions of the ISO Containers must meet ISO 668, Series 1 Freight Containers - Classification, dimensions and ratings, designations 1F (8'H) (see Fig. 1.2 and Fig 1.3):

Insert: The exterior dimensions of the ISO Containers must meet ISO 668, Series 1 Freight Containers - Classification, dimensions and ratings, designations 1F for QUADCONs and 1D for BICONs (see Fig. 1.2 and Fig 1.3).

- **At Annex A1 2.1 References, Commercially Available**

Delete: FED-STD-191A 1978-07-20 FEDERAL STANDARD 191A

- **At Annex A1 7.1.1:**

Delete: The Contractor must have a Quality Management System (QMS) Certified to ISO 9001:2015 'Quality Management Systems – Requirements', or other internationally accepted equivalent standard as agreed by DND Directorate of Quality Assurance (DQA), at Contract Award.

Insert: The Contractor must have a Quality Management System (QMS) compliant to ISO 9001:2015 'Quality Management Systems – Requirements', or other internationally accepted equivalent standard as agreed by DND Directorate of Quality Assurance (DQA), at Contract Award.

- **At Annex A2, 2.1 References**

Delete: SOR/99-7, 1998, OZONE-DEPLETING SUBSTANCES REGULATIONS

Insert: SOR/2016-137, Ozone-depleting Substances and Halocarbon Alternatives Regulations

- **At Annex A2 3.1.1.1**

Delete: The Logistics Statement of Work is attached herein and forms part of this SOW, and is listed as Annex B2.

Insert: The Logistics Statement of Work is attached herein and forms part of this SOW, and is listed as Annex C2.

- **At Annex A2 Para. 3.1.2.3.1:**

Delete: Halocarbons, as identified within the Ozone-Depleting Substances Regulations (SOR/99-7), must not be incorporated into the operation or maintenance of equipment, products, or support services.

Insert: Halocarbons, as identified within the Ozone-Depleting Substances and Halocarbon Alternatives Regulations (SOR/2016-137), must not be incorporated into the operation or maintenance of equipment, products, or support services.

- **At Annex A2 3.6.5.1:**

Delete: The Contractor must refer to the Logistics SOW in Annex B2, and A-LM-184- 001/JS-001 Section 8.2, for further requirements for equipment logistics for DND-owned equipment.

Insert: The Contractor must refer to the Logistics SOW in Annex C2, and A-LM-184- 001/JS-001 Section 8.2, for further requirements for equipment logistics for DND-owned equipment.

- **At Annex A2 para. 6.5.1.1:**

Delete: Microsoft (MS) Windows 7 Enterprise Operating System (OS), Service Pack 1;

Insert: Microsoft (MS) Windows 10 Enterprise Operating System;

- **Amendment 001, Page 10/11, 9.4 Equipment Environmental Assessment, Section 9.4.1.**

Insert: The Contractor must prepare and submit an (EEA) IAW CDRL WTS-ILS-225 at Appendix A2.41 (page 154) to ANNEX A1, and its associated DID WTS-ILS-225 at Appendix A3.41 (page 236) to this ANNEX A1.

- **At Annex E,** refer to the amendments highlighted in the document below.

- **At Annex F: Page 1.**

Delete: *If applicable, will be filled in by Canada as per section 3.5 of the Bidder Instructions.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS
AND BID EVALUATION
FOR THE
WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM



NOTICE

This documentation has been reviewed by the technical authority and does not contain controlled goods. Disclosure notices and handling instructions originally received with the document must continue to apply.

AVIS

Cette documentation a été révisée par l'autorité technique et ne contient pas de marchandises contrôlées. Les avis de divulgation et les instructions de manutention reçues originalement doivent continuer de s'appliquer.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	GENERAL.....	3
1.1	Introduction.....	3
2.0	TECHNICAL PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS	3
2.1	Responding to Evaluation Criteria	3
3.0	TECHNICAL BID EVALUATION	3
3.1	Technical Evaluation of Compliance	3
4.0	Glossary.....	4
5.0	Evaluation of Key Mandatory Requirements.....	4
6.0	Evaluation of Point-Rated Criteria.....	15

1.0 GENERAL

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 This document is split into two (2) parts and defines the criteria that will be used to perform the technical evaluation of each bid for the procurement of the Water Treatment System (WTS), as well as the WTS In-service support:
 - 1.1.1.1 The first part, Technical Proposal Requirements, defines the information required from the Bidders for their proposal to be evaluated.
 - 1.1.1.2 The second part, Technical Bid Evaluation, defines the evaluation process Canada will undertake.

2.0 TECHNICAL PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Responding to Evaluation Criteria

- 2.1.1 Bidders must provide the information required for each listed requirement in accordance with the method identified in the “Compliance Documentation Required” column in the Evaluation of Key Mandatory Requirements table.
 - 2.1.1.1 The following compliance method defines the information required:
 - 2.1.1.1.1 **Compliance Statement (CS)** - Where “CS” is identified, the Bidder must describe in detail how the equipment offered fully complies with the requirement. Supporting documentation must be provided describing completely and in detail how the requirement is met as detailed below.
 - 2.1.1.1.2 For each listed requirement, the Bidder must provide a response in the “Bidder’s Response/References” column in the Evaluation of Key Mandatory Requirements table(s) to clearly explain how the requirement is met, either by including the specific reference to indicate where in their proposal the information is found or including the complete response directly in that column. As supporting documentation is expected to be quite voluminous, meticulous direction to where this info can be found within the Bidders submission is paramount. Inadequate supporting documents or poorly indicated direction may result in bids being found Non – Compliant.
- 2.1.2 For the purpose of this solicitation, a “Bidder’s Team” includes all entities whose experience is being used to meet the evaluation criteria of this bid. The “Bidder” is the “Company” within the “Bidder’s Team” that is submitting this bid.
 - 2.1.2.1 Where a Bidder cites experience, Canada will only consider this experience if the experience is accessible to the Bidder and the Bidder can rely upon and use the experience in the performance of any resulting Contract. The Bidder is required to demonstrate this agreement is in place at the time of bid submission.
 - 2.1.2.2 Experience listed without providing any supporting data to describe where, how and by whom such experience was obtained, or failure to demonstrate that the Bidder has an agreement in place with the entity that has that experience, that experience may not be considered for evaluation purposes and again result in the bid being found Non – Compliant.
 - 2.1.2.3 Canada reserves the right to verify details of any supporting documents provided by the bidder with the listed customer point of contact.

3.0 TECHNICAL BID EVALUATION

3.1 Technical Evaluation of Compliance

- 3.1.1 Stage 1 - Evaluation of Key Mandatory Requirements
- 3.1.1.1 The evaluation team will use the Bidder's submitted proposal to determine compliance against key mandatory requirements. See the Evaluation of Key Mandatory Requirements below for more details.
- 3.1.2 Stage 2 - Evaluation of Point-Rated Criteria
- 3.1.2.1 The evaluation team will use the Bidder's submitted proposal to score the Rated Evaluation Criteria. See the Evaluation of Point-Rated Criteria table for more details.
- 3.1.2.2 DND reserves the right to verify details of any supporting documents provided by the bidder with the listed customer point of contact.
- 3.1.2.3 For Point Rated Criteria 1 only, additional points awarded will be cumulative so long as the point rated criteria is assessed as met. A maximum of 30 points can be awarded.
- 3.1.2.4 For Point Rated Criteria 2 through 5:
- 3.1.2.4.1 If the point rated criteria for 5 points is assessed as met then 5 points will be awarded.
- 3.1.2.4.2 If the point rated criteria for 8 points is assessed as met then 8 points will be awarded.
- 3.1.2.4.3 A maximum of 8 points can be awarded.
- 3.1.2.5 For Point Rated Criteria 1 through 5 totally:
- 3.1.2.5.1 A mandatory of 38 points of a possible 62 points must be achieved in order for the bid to be compliant. Failure to achieve the minimum 38 points in Stage 2 will result in the complete bid being "Non-Compliant" and no further review of the bid will take place.
- 3.1.3 Assessment
- 3.1.3.1 Results of compliance and non-compliance of the Bid Evaluation will be provided through PSPC CA.

4.0 Glossary

- 4.1.1 In the bid evaluation grids below, terms used are defined as follows:
- 4.1.1.1 "Mobile" is defined as a standalone system capable of being transported by road, air and sea, and operated in a new location repeatedly without degradation of performance.
- 4.1.1.2 A "Fleet" is defined as ten (10) or more systems.
- 4.1.1.3 "Major Equipment" is defined as a system having a monetary value of at least \$300,000 (CAD) per unit.
- 4.1.1.4 A "Heavy Trailer" is defined as 2.5 ton GTW or greater. Evaluation of Key Mandatory Requirements
- 4.1.1.5 A "complex mechanical equipment" is defined as equipment having all of the following characteristics:
- Functions, at least partially, with three-phase alternating current;
 - Has, as a major function, the conversion of electrical energy into kinetic or pressure energy using a fluid as a medium; and

- Its operation is monitored and at least partially automated by a Process Logic Controller.

ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M1	Annex A, Appendix A, Para A1.4.1.2.1	<p>The Bidder's Team must have designed, tested and delivered a minimum of two (2) different potable water purification systems within the last 10 years, each having met or exceeded all of the following requirements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Treats a high salinity source water of a minimum of 25,000 ppm of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). 2. Treats high levels of organic compounds of a minimum of 15 mg/L of Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC). 3. Treats a source water containing high levels (minimum of 25 mg/L) of heavy metals. <p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents for each of the two (2) different potable water purification systems:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the system or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system with a customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 2. Overall description of the potable water purification system, with a detailed description of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the design of the filtration system, b. the performance specifications for the system, c. the source water parameters, and d. the testing conducted and the resultant water parameters achieved. 	CS			

**ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022**

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M2	Annex A1, Appendix A, Para A1.2.5	<p>The Bidder's Team must demonstrate that it has designed, tested and delivered a minimum of three (3) different "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Heavy Trailers" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.4) within the past ten (10) years.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following in their supporting documents for each of these above fleets:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the fleet or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system with a customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 2. A description of the "Heavy Trailers" that were delivered including: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Number of "Heavy Trailers" delivered, b. Date of delivery, and c. Contract value. 	CS			

ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M3	ANNEX E Para 4.1.1.2; Para 4.1.1.3 & Para 4.1.1.5.	<p>The Bidder's Team must have manufactured either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a "Fleet" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) for a NATO military customer within the last ten (10) years or 2. a "Fleet" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Complex mechanical equipment" (as defined in 4.1.1.5), having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD for a NATO military customer within the last ten (10) years. The unit value of the "fleet" will not be evaluated. <p>The Bidder must provide the following in their supporting documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the equipment or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system with a customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 2. A description of the "Fleet" of "Major Equipment" or fleet of complex mechanical equipment, that was manufactured including: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Number of systems manufactured, b. Date that the systems were manufactured, and c. Monetary value of the "Fleet" of "Major Equipment" or complex mechanical equipment that was manufactured. 	CS			

**ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022**

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M4	Annex A1, Para 8	<p>The Bidder's Team must have delivered Integrated Logistics Support to a NATO military customer in the last ten (10) years for a:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. "Fleet" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) in the last ten (10) years or b. "Fleet" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "complex mechanical equipment" (as defined in 4.1.1.5), having a minimum monetary value of \$14M in the last ten (10) years. The unit value of the "fleet" will not be evaluated. <p>As a minimum, Integrated Logistics Support must have consisted of the provision of Operator and Maintenance Publications, Operator and Maintenance training sessions and spare parts.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the Integrated Logistics Support or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the Integrated Logistics Support with a customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. d. A description of the "Major Equipment" OR the fleet of complex mechanical equipment for which Integrated Logistics Support was provided including: e. Number of systems comprising the "Fleet", f. Monetary value of the "Fleet" of "Major Equipment" OR fleet of complex mechanical equipment, and g. Date that the Integrated Logistics Support was provided. 	CS			

ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M5	Annex A2	<p>The Bidder's Team must demonstrate that it has provided a minimum of three (3) years of continuous in-service sustainment of a:</p> <p>a. "Fleet" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) for a customer in the last ten (10) years or</p> <p>b. a "Fleet" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "complex mechanical equipment" (as defined in 4.1.1.5), having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD) for a customer in the last ten (10) years.</p> <p>As a minimum, in-service sustainment must have consisted of Field Services Representative (FSR) support, Technical Investigation and Engineering Services (TIES), obsolescence management and the provision of spare parts. The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of in-service sustainment or a formal letter from the customer certifying that in-service sustainment was provided with a customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 2. A description of the "Major Equipment" OR fleet of complex mechanical equipment, that was sustained including: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Number of systems sustained. b. Monetary value of the "Major Equipment" OR fleet of complex mechanical equipment, and c. Date that the sustainment was provided. 	CS			

M6	Annex A1, Appendix A, Para A1.2.1.4	<p>The Bidder's Team must provide a detailed design of their proposed Water Filtration and Treatment Module (as described in section A1.2.1.4 of the WTS Technical Specification) to demonstrate that their design is capable of purifying and treating the four challenge waters outlined in Appendix 5.0 of the Statement of Work while producing the specified quantity of permeate water.</p> <p>The supporting documents must include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A flow diagram of operations which illustrates all components and sub-components needed to purify and treat the challenge water, clean and sanitize the system, and store and distribute the clean water. 2. A supporting legend which describes each component and sub-component illustrated in the above flow diagrams. 3. Supporting technical data, specification or data sheets for each component and sub-component in the flow diagrams. 4. A detailed analysis of each challenge water and how the proposed system will process the raw intake water and achieve both the required water output (as outlined in section A1.4.1.4.1) and reduction levels specified in Appendix 5.0 of the Statement of Work. 5. A proposed outline plan of how the bidder proposes to conduct the four challenge water tests. <p>The Bidder's design will be assessed against the following criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The design is complete, specifically, all elements specified in section A1.2.1.4 of the WTS Technical Specification are included with their respective supporting technical data, specification or data sheets. 2. The design illustrates a logical sequencing of the processes needed from raw water intake, purification and treatment, cleaning and sanitizing, and output to the water storage tanks and subsequent distribution. 3. The design utilizes mature, reliable and available technologies. 4. The design depicts a feasible solution which will successfully purify and treat the four challenge waters and achieve the specified water output and reduction levels. <p>The proposed outline plan supports the testing detailed in Appendix 5.0 Water Quality Testing Requirements of the Statement of Work.</p>	CS
----	-------------------------------------	--	----

ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M7	Annex A1, Appendix A	<p>The Bidder must provide a Size/Space claim Analysis of their proposed WTS. This analysis must demonstrate that for each of the following sections, all items listed within those respective sections will physically fit within the designated envelopes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A1.3.2 WTS in its Primary Configuration, 2. A1.2.1 WTU, 3. A1.2.2 MEU, 4. A1.2.3 ASU, and 5. A1.2.4 WSU <p>The Bidder's Size Analysis will be assessed against the following criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposed solution does not exceed the specification outlined in the Technical Specification of the SOW. 2. Completeness of the analysis, specifically, that all elements are considered and accounted for. 	CS			
M8	Annex A1, Appendix A, Para A1.3.1	<p>The Bidder must provide a Weight Analysis of their proposed WTS to demonstrate that their proposed WTS solution meets the weight restriction outlined in section A1.3.1 of the Technical Specification of the SOW.</p> <p>The Bidder's Weight Analysis will be assessed against the following criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Weight of the proposed WTS does not exceed the specification outlined in section A1.3.1 of the Technical Specification of the SOW. 2. Completeness of the analysis, specifically, that all elements weights are considered and accounted for. 	CS			

ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M9	Annex A1, Appendix A, Para A1.2.1.5.2.1	<p>The Bidder must provide a Power Analysis of their proposed WTS to demonstrate that their proposed WTS solution meets the requirements outlined in section A1.2.1.5.2.1 of the Technical Specification of the SOW.</p> <p>The Bidder's Power Analysis will be assessed against the following criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed generator meets the power requirements of the system as specified in section A1.2.1.5.2.1 of the Technical Specification of the SOW. 2. Completeness of the analysis, specifically, that all electrical elements that draw power are considered and accounted for. 	CS			

ANNEX E
TO W8476-216378
REVISED 10 May 2022

Serial	Requirement Reference(s)	Requirement Description	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response/References	Compliance (This column is for the Evaluation Team only)	
					"C"	"NC"
M10	Annex A1, Para 4.1.5	<p>The Bidder must provide a Human Factors Analysis of their proposed WTS to demonstrate that their proposed WTS solution considers ease of setup and teardown, stowage and accessibility for both operation and maintenance.</p> <p>The Bidder's Human Factors Analysis will be assessed against the following criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The layout of the Trailer steps and walkways depicts a feasible solution when it comes to ease of access to the WTU for either the operation or maintenance of on-board systems, and access doors on the MEU, ASU and WSU. 2. Ease of access to the interior of the MEU, ASU and WSU to facilitate loading and unloading of items stowed within the respective containers. This includes access to interior bins and cabinets in the case of the MEU and ASU. 3. Ease of access to the WTU on-board generator for fueling and maintenance. 4. Ease of access to the WTU for the loading and unloading of membranes/filters and access to the Clean-in-Place tank. 5. Ease of access to the WTU on-board control panel, pumps, valve and filtration equipment for maintenance and diagnostic. 	CS			

5.0 Evaluation of Point-Rated Criteria

ID	Criteria	Points	Bidder's Team Self-Assessment	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response Reference
PR1	<p>For the potable water purification systems delivered in accordance with M1, additional points will be awarded if at least one (1) of the two (2) systems meets or exceeds the following requirements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Treats a high salinity source water of a minimum of 45,000 ppm of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). 2. Treats high levels of organic compounds of a minimum of 20 mg/L of Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC). 3. Treats Nuclear, Biological and Chemical (NBC) agents. 4. Is "Mobile" as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.1. 5. Is containerized in an ISO Container (seacan, bicon or quadcon). 6. Is a "Fleet" as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2 that has been delivered to a NATO nation. <p>The Bidder must provide the additional information in their supporting documents to supplement the information needed as part of M1 to show compliance with the above requirements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the system or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system. 2. A customer point of contact for the system whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 	<p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p> <p>5</p>		CS	

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Overall description of the water purification system. 4. Detailed description of the design of the filtrate system. 5. Performance specifications for the system. 6. Detailed description of source water parameters. 7. Detailed description of the testing conducted and the resultant water parameters achieved. 	<p style="text-align: right;">PR1 Max points 30</p>
--	--	--

ID	Criteria	Points	Bidder's Team Self-Assessment	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response Reference
PR2	<p>EITHER...</p> <p>The Bidder's Team must demonstrate that it has designed, tested and delivered five (5) or six (6) different "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Heavy Trailers" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.4) within the past ten (10) years.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents for each "Fleet" of "Heavy Trailers":</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the system or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system. 2. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 3. A description of the "Heavy Trailers" that were delivered. 4. Number of "Heavy Trailers" delivered. 5. Date of delivery. 6. Contract value. <p>OR...</p> <p>The Bidder's Team must demonstrate that it has designed, tested and delivered seven (7) or more different "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Heavy Trailers" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.4) within the past ten (10) years.</p>	5		CS	
		8		CS	

<p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents for each "Fleet" of "Heavy Trailers":</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the system or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system. 2. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 3. A description of the "Heavy Trailers" that were delivered. 4. Number of "Heavy Trailers" delivered. 5. Date of delivery. 6. Contract value. 		PR2 Max points	8
---	--	----------------	----------

ID	Criteria	Points	Bidder's Team Self-Assessment	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response Reference
PR3	<p>EITHER...</p> <p>The Bidder's Team must have manufactured:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. two (2) "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) for a NATO military customer within the last ten (10) years OR 2. two (2) fleets of complex mechanical equipment having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD each for a NATO military customer within the last ten (10) years. <p>The Bidder must provide the following in their supporting documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the system or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system. 2. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 3. A description of the "Fleet" of "Major Equipment" or fleet of complex mechanical equipment that was manufactured. 4. Number of systems manufactured. 5. Date that the systems were manufactured. 6. Monetary value of the "Fleet" of "Major Equipment" or fleet of complex mechanical equipment. 	5		CS	

OR...				8	CS	
<p>The Bidder's Team must have manufactured:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At least three (3) "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) of "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) for a NATO military customer within the last ten (10) years OR 2. At least three (3) fleets of complex mechanical equipment having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD each for a NATO military customer within the last ten (10) years. <p>The Bidder must provide the following in their supporting documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the system or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the system. 2. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 3. A description of the "Fleet" of "Major Equipment" OR fleet of complex mechanical equipment, that was manufactured. 4. Number of systems manufactured. 5. Date that the systems were manufactured. 6. Monetary value of the "Fleet" of "Major Equipment" OR fleet of complex mechanical equipment. 				8		PR3 Max points

ID	Criteria	Points	Bidder's Team Self-Assessment	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response Reference
PR4	<p>EITHER...</p> <p>The Bidder's Team must have delivered Integrated Logistics Support to a NATO military customer on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. two (2) different "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) in the last ten (10) years OR 2. two (2) different fleets of complex mechanical equipment having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD each in the last ten (10) years. <p>As a minimum, Integrated Logistics Support must have consisted of the provision of Operator and Maintenance Publications, Operator and Maintenance training sessions and spare parts.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents for each "Major Equipment" "Fleet" or for each fleet of complex mechanical equipment for which Integrated Logistics Support was provided:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the Integrated Logistics Support or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the Integrated Logistics Support. 2. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 3. A description of the "Major Equipment" or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment for which Integrated Logistics Support was provided. 4. Number of systems comprising the "Fleet". 	5		CS	

<p>5. Monetary value of the "Major Equipment" or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment for which Integrated Logistics Support was provided.</p>			
<p>6. Date that the Integrated Logistics support was provided.</p>	<p>OR...</p> <p>The Bidder's Team must have delivered Integrated Logistics Support to a NATO military customer on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At least three (3) different "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) in the last ten (10) years OR 2. At least three (3) different fleets of complex mechanical equipment having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD each in the last ten (10) years. <p>As a minimum, Integrated Logistics Support must have consisted of the provision of Operator and Maintenance Publications, Operator and Maintenance training sessions and spare parts.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents for each "Major Equipment" "Fleet" or for each fleet of complex mechanical equipment for which Integrated Logistics Support was provided:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of the Integrated Logistics Support or a formal letter from the customer certifying receipt of the Integrated Logistics Support. 2. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. 	<p>8</p>	<p>CS</p>
<p>3. A description of the "Major Equipment" or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment for which Integrated Logistics Support was provided.</p>			
<p>4. Number of systems comprising the "Fleet".</p>			
<p>5. Monetary value of the "Major Equipment" or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment for which Integrated Logistics Support was provided.</p>			

ID	Criteria	Points	Bidder's Team Self-Assessment	Compliance Documentation Required CS - Compliance Statement (with supporting documentation)	Bidder's Response Reference
6.	Date that the Integrated Logistics support was provided. PR4 Max points	8			
PR5	<p>EITHER...</p> <p>The Bidder's Team must demonstrate that it has provided a minimum of three (3) years of continuous in-service sustainment on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> two (2) different "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) for a customer in the last ten (10) years OR two (2) different fleets of complex mechanical equipment having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD each for a customer in the last ten (10) years. <p>As a minimum, in-service sustainment must have consisted of Field Services Representative (FSR) support, Technical Investigation and Engineering Services (TIES), obsolescence management and the provision of spare parts.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents for each "Major Equipment" "Fleet" or for each fleet of complex mechanical equipment that was sustained:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of in-service sustainment or a formal letter from the customer certifying that in-service sustainment was provided. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. A description of the "Major Equipment" or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment that was sustained. 	5		CS	

<p>4. Number of systems comprising the "Fleet".</p> <p>5. Monetary value of the "Major Equipment or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment" that was sustained.</p> <p>6. Date that the sustainment was provided.</p> <p>OR...</p> <p>The Bidder's Team must demonstrate that it has provided a minimum of three (3) years of continuous in-service sustainment on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> At least three (3) different "Major Equipment" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.3) "Fleets" (as defined in paragraph 4.1.1.2) for a customer in the last ten (10) years or At least three (3) different fleets of complex mechanical equipment having a minimum monetary value of \$14M CAD each for a customer in the last ten (10) years. <p>As a minimum, in-service sustainment must have consisted of Field Services Representative (FSR) support, Technical Investigation and Engineering Services (TIES), obsolescence management and the provision of spare parts.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information in their supporting documents for each "Major Equipment" "Fleet" or for each fleet of complex mechanical equipment that was sustained:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A copy of the front page of the signed contract proving delivery of in-service sustainment or a formal letter from the customer certifying that in-service sustainment was provided. A customer point of contact whom DND can contact to verify information provided by the Bidder. A description of the "Major Equipment or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment" that was sustained. Number of systems comprising the "Fleet". Monetary value of the "Major Equipment or the fleet of complex mechanical equipment" that was sustained. 	<p>8</p>	<p>CS</p>							

