

**SOLICITATION NUMBER: 5000049085**

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS SERIES – 002**

**Q2.** Please explain why under the Mandatory Criteria for M3B.1 (Intermediate Resource for Group facilitation), you are asking for

*ii. The start and end date of when the **coaching** was provided*

*iii. The number of hours of **coaching** provided*

Same questions for Evaluation Criteria for R3A.3 and R3B.2

Do you mean to replace 'coaching' with 'sessions' or 'facilitation'?

**A2.** Yes, this is an error in the grids. The wording is revised as reflected in Amendment 002 to the RFSO.

**Q3.** Would CNSC please reconsider the Federal Government requirement in the point rated technical criteria for Streams 1 to 3, specifically R1.1, R2.1, R3A.1 and R3B.1?

It states that experience with the Federal Public Service as defined under sections I to VI of the Financial Administration Act, is only accepted. Please consider expanding the specification to include experience in a government context or within the Federal Public Service and Provincial Government. As it stands, this requirement has limited the inclusion of highly skilled and experienced coaches who have government experience, but not the number of hours required strictly within the Federal Public Service.

**A3.** R1.1 remains the same, R2.1 has been modified to include Provincial experience, for R3A.1 and R3B.1 the point allocation scales have been modified. Please see Amendment 002 to the RFSO for the revisions.

**Q4.** Can you please confirm whether you're seeking a technical, financial and certification proposal for each stream, or whether we can provide a technical proposal for each stream and one financial and certification proposal for the entire bid response.

**A4.** Ideally a separate technical, financial and certification proposal for each stream / resource would be submitted to simplify and expedite the evaluation process.

Please also refer to sections 1.2.2 notes and Attachment 1 to Part 3 notes outlined below.

**Section 1.2.2**

**NOTE:** If an Offeror is submitting an Offer for more than one stream of Work, a separate Offer should be submitted for each stream.

**NOTE:** If an Offeror is proposing multiple Resources, a separate Offer should be submitted for each Resource.

**Attachment 1 to Part 3**

**Note 1:** If an Offeror is submitting more than 1 Resource per Stream, each Resource will be evaluated against the technical evaluation criteria independently and the evaluated financial offer will also be determined independently of any other proposed resource.

**Note 2:** If an Offeror is submitting an Offer for more than one stream of Work, a separate Offer should be submitted for each stream.

**Note 3:** If an Offeror is proposing multiple Resources, a separate Offer should be submitted for each Resource.

If a separate Offer is not submitted, for the purposes of evaluation each Resource proposed will be treated as a separate Offer. The Evaluated Financial Offer Price for each Resource will be calculated by adding the rates provided in the table for the individual Resource and not all of the Resources combined.