



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Public Works and Government Services Canada
Canada Place/Place du Canada
10th Floor/10e étage
9700 Jasper Ave/9700 ave Jasper
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 4C3
Bid Fax: (418) 566-6167

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services Canada
Canada Place / Place du Canada
10th Floor / 10e étage
9700 Jasper Ave / 9700 ave Jasper
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 4C3

Title - Sujet CCG Base 8 Building Structural Asse	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EW038-230558/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client DFO-EW038-230558	Date 2022-08-23
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWU-183-12300	
File No. - N° de dossier PWU-2-45030 (183)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Mountain Daylight Saving Time MDT on - le 2022-09-06 Heure Avancée des Rocheuses HAR	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Tikhonovitch (RPC), Alex	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwu183
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (780) 901-7940 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 566-6167
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment to the Request for Proposal (RFP) EW038-230558/A has been raised to respond to the following questions:

Question 1: Please clarify how the price will be evaluated in the scoring of the proposal for sections SRE 4 and SRE 5. The proposal appears to be for Phase 1 works only; however, Appendix C shows: cost for Phase 1, cost for Phase 2 (Optional Services), total cost for Phase 1 and Phase 2. Please confirm which price will be evaluated.

Answer 1: The Proponent **must** provide prices for both, Phase 1 (Required Services) and Phase 2 (Optional Services). As it is stated in the RFP, the total price (Phase 1 plus Phase 2) will be used for the proposal evaluation purpose.

Question 2: Appendix C indicates pricing to be submitted as a Fixed Fee for Phase 1 works and for Phase 2 as “Optional Services”. As the extent of further investigation required in Phase 2 will be unknown until the completion of Phase 1, can a Cash Allowance for “further investigations” be provided?

Answer 2: We do not allow for cash allowances. The price for phase 2 should be based on the scope described in the TOR. If such scope needs to be adjusted based on the findings of Phase 1, that additional scope will be negotiated and the price for Phase 2 amended accordingly.

Question 3: In what year is Phase 2 anticipated to occur?

Answer 3: It is anticipated that Phase 2 will proceed a few months following the completion of phase 1.

Question 4: Will fee escalation be permitted should Phase 2 be delayed?

Answer 4: If Phase 2 is delayed for a considerable amount of time this can be negotiated.

Question 5: Please indicate if section SRE 3 of the proposal shall address Phase 1 works only or if Phase 2 works should also be discussed in the Project Understanding and Scope of Services portions of the proposal. Similarly, please confirm that the schedule should include Phase 1 works only.

Answer 5: Understanding of both phases should be provided. The Schedule for both phases should be provided just ensure there is a delineation between Phase 1 and Phase 2 items on the schedule.

Question 6: Please clarify scope item 2.2.2.2.3.1 of the TOR: “Conduct a Visual Inspection to verify the adequacy of the primary structural systems to the extent possible using non destructive methods”. Our understanding is that the visual inspection will evaluate the condition of members only and provide an evaluation on any distress noted.

Answer 6: Yes, this is correct.

Question 7: Please confirm that a strength evaluation of the primary structural systems and code analysis is not included in the scope.

Answer 7: This is not included. Any requirements for additional structural analysis not included in the TOR should be outlined at the end of Phase 1 and can be addressed in Phase 2.

Question 8: Section 1.7.1 of the TOR lists documents which are available for the consultant, including “limited as-built drawings”. Can these drawings be shared in an addendum to the RFP to help determine the complexity of the structural systems for bid purposes?

Answer 8: Please find attached Asset Overview Report which should provide more information on the buildings.

Question 9: Can the inspection be delayed until the Spring to ensure that all elements are visible and not potentially snow covered?

Answer 9: This can be confirmed once the contract is awarded. Ideally, the visual inspection would occur in fall but it is understood that this will be dependant upon weather.

Question 10: Please confirm that the Project Start-up meeting and draft report review meetings will be by teleconference and not on-site.

Answer 10: Confirmed, these meetings will be held via teleconference.

Question 11: Per section 1.2.4.1.3, we understand that the inspectors will be accompanied by an escort for all building inspections. Will the escort provide all required transportation while in Hay River or will the consultant be responsible for vehicle rental?

Answer 11: The consultant will be responsible for their own transportation.

Question 12: Will the escort be available to work extended hour days (perhaps 10 hour days)?

Answer 12: Yes, this will be an option. DFO RPSS will provide escort and Base hours of Operation are from Monday to Friday 7 AM Mountain to 4 PM Mountain.

Question 13: Will the escort be available if work is required on a weekend?

Answer 13: DFO RPSS may escort on Weekends and after 4PM, with arrangements made with Security.

Question 14: We understand that some of the buildings have crawl spaces beneath the main floor which will need to be accessed. Are these crawl spaces classified as confined spaces?

Answer 14: No.

Question 15: Will the client escort have confined space training, and can they be utilized as the entry watch person?

Answer 15: Any entry watch person will need to be provided by the consultant.

Question 16: Are the crawl space accesses typically from within the building or from the exterior of the building?

Answer 16: Access to crawl spaces are from within the buildings.

Question 17: Are the crawl spaces adequately ventilated or are gas monitors required for access?

Answer 17: The crawl spaces are ventilated.

Question 18: Do the crawl spaces have lighting or can lighting be provided by the client?

Answer 18: There is limited lighting. Please bring lighting that is required for the structural assessment.

Question 19: Please clarify the anticipated scope for the geotechnical engineer in Phase 1 noted in item 2.2.2.2.1 of the TOR: “The structural and geotechnical engineers conducting the Structural Condition Assessment must undertake an onsite review”. Geotechnical engineers do not traditionally perform visual condition assessments. Foundation concerns will be noted by the structural engineer conducting the site inspection.

Answer 19: Correct.

Question 20: Concerns affecting nearby roadways or parking lots can also be noted by the structural engineer but would typically require further investigation.

Answer 20: Any requirements for further investigations not included in the TOR will be addressed via contract amendments.

Question 21: Geotechnical engineers are best utilized for soils exploration and sampling to provide recommendations. This type of work is anticipated in Phase 2 of the project rather than Phase 1, if required.

Answer 21: Correct.

Question 22: Please clarify what is to be included in the Phase 1 report Cost Estimate noted in item 2.2.3.1.2.1 of the TOR: “Cost estimate breakdowns and details”. Are these estimates for costs for repairs which are deemed to be necessary only or does this estimate also include costs for any recommended further investigations?

Answer 22: Cost estimate should include costs for further investigation.

Question 23: Please indicate if Environmental Hazardous Materials Assessments have been performed on any of the buildings to be inspected.

Answer 23: There is a Hazardous Materials Assessment for the site, it will be provided the successful bidder following Contract Award.

Question 24: Are there any concerns to note for staff performing the inspections (whether it be visual or perhaps invasive in Phase 2)?

Answer 24: There should be no concerns with visual inspection, invasive or destructive inspections can be addressed prior to award of Phase 2.

All other terms and conditions of the RFP remain unchanged.