

Questions and Answers for RFSO 1000238638

- 1) Will ISC consider adjusting the requirements to allow inclusion of personnel with relevant science degrees (but without EIT or GIT membership designation) in the Project Personnel Category?

A: This requirement will not be altered due to the large array of activities that the RFSO includes. Furthermore, under the CSA standard it is recommended that the lead assessor for environmental site assessments be a professional engineer or geoscientist. For these reasons, ISC will be keeping the requirement for the Senior Project Personnel to be a Professional Engineer (P.Eng.) or Professional Geoscientist (P. Geo) with EGM – Valid membership in “good standing”.

- 2) Similarly, will ISC consider including appropriately qualified Technicians and Technologists from other Canadian jurisdictions (e.g., Sask., Alberta, ON, etc.) within the Senior Field Technician Category?

A: ISC is in agreement with broadening the accreditations for this requirement to other Canadian jurisdictions, given that the Senior Project Personnel will be in charge of overseeing the project and signing off. That being said, ISC will require that the vendor demonstrate how the other Canadian jurisdictional qualifications/certifications align with or are greater than the standards/qualifications required under the Certified Technicians and Technologists Association of Manitoba.

- 3) For the Senior Field Technician personnel category, will ISC accept an Environmental Scientist (B.Sc.) and/or a Professional Engineer (P.Eng.) or Professional Geoscientist (P.Geo.) with a minimum of 5 years of relevant experience in place of a Certified Technician or Technologist registered with CTTAM?

A: ISC will accept an Environmental Scientist (B.Sc.) and/or a Professional Engineer (P.Eng.) or Professional Geoscientist (P.Geo.) with a minimum of 5 years of relevant experience in place of a Certified Technician or Technologist registered with CTTAM for the Senior Field Technician personnel category.

- 4) What do “Column F” and “Cell G” indicated in the second part of bullet c) refer to? (neither are shown in the “Financial Table” appearing in bullet a) on pages 17 and 18 of 62. there seems to have been an error/typo within the Evaluation Criteria. Cell G should be Cell E and Cell F should be Cell D.

A: there seems to have been an error/typo within the Evaluation Criteria. Cell G should be Cell E and Cell F should be Cell D.

Below is the correct wording for c):

“Full financial score will be awarded to the Offer with the lowest total estimated cost (cell E in the Financial Tables). Fewer points will be awarded to all other offers based on the percentage differential of their lowest total estimated cost from that of the Offer with the lowest, as follows:

$$\text{Financial Score} = \frac{\text{Lowest Overall Per-hour Rate}}{\text{The Offer's Overall Per-hour Rate}} \times 30 \text{ points}$$

ISC reserves the right to correct mathematical errors in the calculation of the average hourly rate (column D) and the total average hourly rate (cell E). In the event of any mathematical errors in column D or cell E, the values entered in columns A, B, C (the offered hourly rates) will prevail.”