



RETURN BIDS TO:

Parks Canada Agency Bid Receiving Unit
National Contracting Services

Bid Fax: 1-855-983-1808

Bid E-mail Address: soumissionsami-bidsrpc@pc.gc.ca

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to the bid solicitation. Bids submitted by email directly to the Contracting Authority or to any other email address will not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is 15 megabytes. The Parks Canada Agency (PCA) is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to bid documents will not be accepted.

**REVISION 003 TO A
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions remain the same.

Issuing Office:

Parks Canada Agency
National Contracting Services
Calgary, AB

Title: Design for replacement of Dixon & Walker Bridges, Grasslands National Park	
Solicitation No.: 5P468-22-0118/A	Date: September 22, 2022
Amendment No.: 003	
Client Reference No.: 2293	
GETS Reference No.: PW-22-01005631	

Solicitation Closes: At: 2:00 PM On: September 27, 2022	Time Zone: CST
--	--------------------------

F.O.B.: Plant: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: Ken Lacanilao	
Telephone No.: (587) 832-1894	Fax No.: 1-855-983-1808
Email Address: kenneth.lacanilao@pc.gc.ca	
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: See Herein	

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER

Vendor/ Firm Name:	
Address:	
Telephone No.:	Email Address:
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/ Firm (type or print):	
Signature:	Date:

Amendment 003

This amendment is raised to make changes to the tender documents, and to distribute questions and answers from the optional site visit held on September 15, 2022.

A. Site Visit Information

Site Visit Attendees

Vendor	Representative's Name
Allnorth	Keaton Sinclair
Wood Research and Development	Justin Lesperance
WSP Canada	Farel Miankodila

B. Questions and Answers

- Q4.** Are there any specific design requirements such as materials that must be used?
A4. No, PCA is open to options as long as the requirements in the SOW are met.
- Q5.** Can it be a clear span bridge?
A5. PCA is open to that option, if it is possible
- Q6.** Can existing bridge materials be reused in the new bridge?
A6. If it can be shown that those existing materials are suitable for reuse and it meets the requirements laid out in the SOW, PCA is open to that possibility.
- Q7.** When will the environmental consultant be engaged?
A7. PCA is in the middle of that process now. Information will be shared with the design consultant throughout the process and not just when the final report is complete. The consultants will be providing information to each other in order to complete final design and the final environmental report.
- Q8.** Can we use the gravel from the pit by Dixon bridge?
A8. Unfortunately, gravel pit by Dixon bridge cannot be used.
- Q9.** The existing bridges do not have guard rails, are the new ones required to have them and what height?
A9. Yes, we would like to have guards. The height should be part of our design discussion as there are a few factors to consider including the Emergency Protection Order (EPO).
- Q10.** Do people live in the yard by Walker Bridge?
A10. Yes. Consultants will need to be aware of their proximity for design, temporary bridge placement, and construction. PCA will communicate with the them throughout design & construction.
- Q11.** How critical are these bridges?
A11. They provide the only access to lands across the river. This is the only way for grazing partners to access those pasture lands. If there is a fire, PCA needs to get over there as quickly as possible using these bridges. Fires often happen at the same time as flooding as the areas without the flood waters are often extremely dry.
- Q12.** Do you really want it designed to 1:200 year flood?
A12. PCA is aware that the definitions are changing, and we will look to the consultant for guidance on what makes the most sense for our area. Once the Geotechnical and Hydrotechnical reviews are done, we can discuss the options.
-

Solicitation No.:
5P468-22-0118/A

Amendment No.:
003

Contracting Authority:
Ken Lacanillao

Ver.08.22.2022

Client Reference No.:
2293

Title:
Design for replacement of Dixon & Walker Bridges, Grasslands National Park

Q13. In order to better showcase our relevant experience to Parks Canada's Statement of Work can the number of project examples to be evaluated be expanded to three (3) examples rather than two (2) examples as stated in 3.2.1 of the RFP?

A13. See below solicitation revision

Q14. I am curious to know if Restricted Activity Permits (RAP's) are required for geotechnical investigations at the Grassland National Park and what would be the usual review timelines and fees if applicable.

A14. A restricted activity permit will be required for geotechnical investigations. On average, it takes about 6-7 business days for review and approvals and there are no fees. Note that if any investigations are required outside of the road allowance, especially if there are fairly large holes required, this could take additional time to review due to SARA (Species at Risk Act) requirements.

C. Solicitation Revisions

In: **RFP 22-0118.pdf**
Delete: **3.2.1 Achievements of Proponent on Projects**
Replace with:

Select a **minimum of 2 projects, maximum of 3 projects** undertaken within the last 10 years. Joint venture submissions are not to exceed the maximum number of projects. **The first 3 projects listed** in sequence will receive consideration and any others will receive none as though not included.

ALL OTHER TERMS & CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.