



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

Parks Canada Agency Bid Receiving Unit  
 National Contracting Services  
 Offer Fax Number: 877-558-2349  
 Offer E-mail Address: [soumissionsest-bidseast@pc.gc.ca](mailto:soumissionsest-bidseast@pc.gc.ca)

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to the bid solicitation. Bids submitted by email directly to the Contracting Authority or to any other email address will not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is 15 megabytes. The Parks Canada Agency (PCA) is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to bid documents will not be accepted.

**REVISION 003 TO A  
 REQUEST FOR STANDING  
 OFFER**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions remain the same.

**Issuing Office:**  
 Parks Canada Agency  
 National Contracting Services  
 Gatineau, Quebec

<b>Title:</b> Terrestrial Archaeology Services – National Individual Standing Offer	
<b>Solicitation No.:</b> 5P047-21-0266/A	<b>Date:</b> October 7, 2022
<b>Amendment No.:</b> 003	
<b>Client Reference No.:</b> n/a	
<b>GETS Reference No.:</b> PW-22-01004775	

<b>Solicitation Closes:</b> At: 2:00 p.m. On: March 31, 2026	<b>Time Zone:</b> EDT
--------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------

<b>F.O.B.:</b> Plant: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to:</b> Jason Mulligan	
<b>Email Address:</b> <a href="mailto:Jason.Mulligan@pc.gc.ca">Jason.Mulligan@pc.gc.ca</a>	<b>Telephone No.:</b> 873-355-4350
<b>Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> Parks Canada Agency	

**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER**

<b>Vendor/ Firm Name:</b>	
<b>Address:</b>	
<b>Telephone No.:</b>	<b>Email Address:</b>
<b>Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/ Firm (type or print):</b>	
<b>Signature:</b>	<b>Date:</b>

## Amendment 003

This amendment is raised to answer questions from bidders.

## Questions and Answers

### Q2. Question

You request on page 10 a curriculum vitae of a maximum of 5 pages:

PART 3 – INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PREPARATION OF OFFERS

3.1. Instructions for preparing offers

Section I: Technical Offer

“The offerors should provide an adapted curriculum vitae, of a maximum of 5 pages, for each principal investigator and laboratory supervisor, including a copy of the degrees or diplomas. »

On pages 56 to 67, (APPENDIX “A” – REGIONS/SUB-REGIONS AND AREAS OF EXPERTISE) upon request a curriculum vitae of a maximum of 3 pages.

What is the maximum number of pages accepted for this requirement?

### A2. Answer

The information on pages 56 to 67 is incorrect. The maximum length of the CV is 5 pages

### Q3. Question

APPENDIX “A” – REGIONS/SUB-REGIONS AND AREAS OF EXPERTISE asks to demonstrate the experience of Principal Investigators and Material Culture Specialists for each region/sub-region.

However, in ATTACHMENT 1 TO PART 4 - EVALUATION CRITERIA, it is not specified how the candidates will be evaluated if the projects presented are relevant to the region/sub-region.

I take this opportunity to emphasize that provincial borders cannot be the only valid criteria. Take for example the Aboriginal occupation of the region with groups such as the Naskapi and the Innu occupying spaces on both sides of the current provincial boundaries. Labrador and Quebec are also part of a large cultural region recognized by archaeologists and anthropologists as the Eastern Subarctic (e.g. Guindon 2015, Holly 2013, Oberholtzer 1994, McCaffrey 2011). Similarly, the southern coast of Labrador and part of the Lower North Shore of Quebec are part of the Strait of Belle-Isle where European fishermen created several sites of great importance (e.g. Red Bay, Fort Courtemanche, Penny's Chamber, Chamber Point).

So my question is: Will sites near the provincial boundaries, but still be called as valid experiences, even if they cross the territorial boundaries a bit? Would it be possible to clarify how these individuals will be assessed and against what criteria?

### A3. Answer

YES, the examples of sites are indeed broken down into provinces and territories for example and convenient and orderly reference to sites within the larger region. Experience should reference, demonstrate and emphasize, as stated: ‘Within and surrounding the Parks and Sites listed’ rather than be confined to fit modern-day jurisdictional boundaries.

The criteria for assessment will remain the same for all areas and regions where expertise is demonstrated by the individuals. Where there is overlap in both experience and jurisdictional boundaries as presented, the individual should claim that they demonstrate the same experience in both areas, and not exclude one over another.

**Q4. Question**

APPENDIX "A" – REGIONS/SUB-REGIONS AND AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Quebec Region

Reference is made alternately to British sites (British regime beginning in the 18th century), military sites, domestic, fishing and agricultural sites, transport and industrial sites, as well as other sites from the 19th and 20th centuries. Can you specify what the "other sites of the 19th-20th century" correspond to, insofar as the other sites already cover the vast majority of contexts?

**A4. Answer**

Any other site that does not match the descriptions provided above.

**Q5. Question**

Appendix B refers to an appendix A which does not exist for the "Phase" column of Table 3. If the intended reference is to Appendix A, then no reference is made to the "Phase" mentioned in table 3 of Appendix B. Would it be possible to specify the expected inputs to the response for the Phase?

**A5. Answer**

Appendix B refers not to **Appendix A** the reference to **Annex A** thus:

2. Phase(s) of archaeological investigation undertaken as per Annex A– 1.0 Work to be Performed (e.g. Archaeological Overview). The expected inputs regarding the phases of work should be clear once Annex A is consulted.

**Q6. Question**

PART 3 – INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PREPARATION OF OFFERS

3.1. Instructions for preparing offers

Section I: Technical Offer

"Offerors must provide references for the projects listed in rated criterion R4. »

No mention exists in the call for tenders for rated criterion R4. What is this criterion? Can you specify where, in the documentation to be provided, the project references must be indicated?

**A6. Answer**

This information is incorrect. Rather read criterion C4.

**Solicitation No.:**  
5P047-21-0266/A

**Amendment No.:**  
003

**Contracting Authority:**  
Jason Mulligan

Ver.08.22.2022

**Client Reference No.:**  
n/a

**Title:**  
Terrestrial Archeology Services – National Individual Standing Offer

---

**Q7.** Question

Degrees in very specific fields (archeology or anthropology) are required for specialists in material culture. This criterion is very restrictive and unfair for specialists with training in other fields leading to archeology and offering specialties in material culture and archeology, such as History, Medieval Studies and Classical Studies. These restrictions could deprive Parks Canada of good specialists under the standing offer. Would it be possible to broaden this criterion?

**A7.** Answer

Parks Canada requiring a PI to hold a post- graduate degree (MA, PhD) in archeology or anthropology is consistent with the qualifications required for permit holders in majority of Canadian Provincial jurisdictions and is the standard required for Parks Canada's own archaeologists to obtain a Research and Collection Permit.

**ALL OTHER TERMS & CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.**