



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Public Works and Government Services Canada

See herein for bid submission

instructions/

Voir la présente pour les

instructions sur la présentation

d'une soumission

NA

Manitoba

NA

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada
Northern Contaminated Site Program
Canada Place/Place du Canada
10th Floor/10e étage
9700 Jasper Ave/9700 ave Jasper
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 4C3

Title - Sujet Multidisciplinary Environmental Ser Services environnementaux multidisciplinaires	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EW699-220414/C	Date 2022-10-21
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client PWGSC EW699-220414	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
File No. - N° de dossier NCS-1-44066 (013)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$NCS-013-12321	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2022-09-21 Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Central Standard Time CST on - le 2022-11-08 Heure Normale du Centre HNC	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Wiebe, Amanda	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur ncs013
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (431) 335-3523 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 566-6167
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

This amendment 003 is raised to:

1. Address questions from Industry:

	Question	Answer
1	In Part B Management and Corporate Evaluation, PSPC requests geographic locations from the Offeror. Would PSPC allow Offerors to include the locations of their subconsultants who are identified on the organizational chart?	An offeror may include locations of their subconsultants who are identified on the organizational chart. However, while the location of subs may be considered, only partial points will be awarded.
2	In Part E Indigenous/Inuit Benefits Criteria (IBP1), PSPC requests Offerors to demonstrate the existence of offices in the NSA. Would PSPC allow Offerors to demonstrate the existence of head offices, staffed administrative offices and other facilities in the NSA by including office locations of their subconsultants who are identified on the organization chart? Would these subconsultant office locations count toward evaluation points?	To receive partial points, an offeror may include Nunavut offices of the subconsultants if they were named technical resources who would be actively carrying out work on the call ups. However, full marks will not be awarded unless the offeror also has a presence in Nunavut.
3	Page 86 states: "The same project example can be used to demonstrate expertise in multiple technical disciplines; however, one project description per project category will be accepted."	
3a.	In the previous RFP, PWGSC stated in Amendment 2 that more than one project example can be provided for a service category as long as the combined total number of all project examples does not exceed 32 pages. Does this answer still apply to the current RFP, or is PWGSC stating that we are limited to one project example per project category?	Only one project per category will be accepted – this was modified from the original tender, the response in Amendment 2 no longer applies.
3b.	If we use the same project example for two different categories, do we provide one write-up, and within that write-up indicate the scopes of work that fall under the relevant disciplines for which we want to be evaluated (e.g., can we use a one write-up of a project example to demonstrate experiences in two categories: 2.2 and 2.5)?	Yes, this is the correct approach to take in this situation. The specific scope of work carried out by the offeror is very important in this project description.
3c.	If the answer to the question above is yes, do we provide the full write-up in the section for the first category it is used (i.e., 2.2), and then in the section for the second category (i.e., 2.5) refer the evaluators to the write-up in the previous section? Or, do we need to provide separate descriptions	Only one project description is required, wherein the offeror describes the specific work carried out by the offeror. This description should include details for all disciplines that are to be considered in this project description.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EW699-220414/C
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
PWGSC EW699-220414

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
003
File No. - N° du dossier
NCS-1-44066

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
NCS013
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

	of a project example for each category it is used for?	
3d.	If we can use a one combined project example for two different categories (e.g., 2.2 and 2.5), can we also provide a second project example for each category?	No, only one project description will be evaluated for each discipline.
4.	The "New" language in Section 3.2 of the RFP (Page 86) indicates that "...however, one project description per project category will be accepted". Can you confirm if this means a maximum of 16 project descriptions for the 16 Project Categories will be accepted during the technical review, or can we present as many project descriptions as we choose as long as the page count remains at a maximum of 32 pages?	Only 1 project example per category will be reviewed, so the maximum number of project examples accepted would be 16. The page limit of 32 pages also still applies. This change was made in an effort to streamline the evaluations.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.