

Travaux publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada

RETURN BIDS TO: RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC 11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier Place du Portage, Phase III Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2 Gatineau Ouébec

K1A 0S5 Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL DEMANDE DE PROPOSITION

Proposal To: Public Works and Government Services Canada

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Proposition aux: Travaux Publics et Services Gouvernementaux Canada

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division des services professionnels en informatique Les Terrasses de la Chaudière 10, rue Wellington, 4ième étage/Floor Gatineau Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet SBIPS TGMS							
Solicitation No N° de l'invitation			Date				
2K001-239347/A		2022-11-02					
Client Reference No N° de ro 19347	éférence du client	-					
GETS Reference No N° de re	éférence de SEAG						
File No N° de dossier 384zm.2K001-239347	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS	No./N	N° VME				
Solicitation Closes	L'invitation pre	nd f	in				
at - à 02:00 PM	Eastern Standard Tir	ne ES	Γ				
on - le 2022-12-09	Heure Normale du l'	Est Hì	NE				
F.O.B F.A.B.		_					
Plant-Usine: Destination	: Other-Autre:						
Address Enquiries to: - Adres Beaudoin, Michael	ser toutes questions à:		Buyer ld - ld de l'acheteur 384zm				
Telephone No N° de téléphone FAX No N° de FAX							
(613) 859-0841 ()			-				
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:							
Specified Herein							
Précisé dans les présentes							

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigee	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposee				
See Herein – Voir ci-inclus					
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/d	le l'entrepreneur				
Telephone No N° de téléphone Facsimile No N° de télécopieur					
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)					
Signature	Date				



SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 002

This amendment is raised to:

- 1) Modify the Security Clearance Requirement
- 2) Modify the SOW
- 3) Modify the Pricing Schedule
- 4) Include guestions and answers raised by the Industry.

1. At Page 13, Section 3.1 (i) and (ii)

Delete Section 3.1 (i) and (ii) in it's Entirety And Replace with the Following:

- (i) **Bid Submission Form**: Bidders are requested to include the Bid Submission Form Attachment 3.1 with their bids. It provides a common form in which bidders can provide information required for evaluation and contract award, such as a contact name and the Bidder's Procurement Business Number, etc. Using the form to provide this information is not mandatory, but it is recommended. If Canada determines that the information required by the Bid Submission Form is incomplete or requires correction, Canada will provide the Bidder with an opportunity to do so.
- (ii) **Security Clearance**: Bidders are requested to submit the following security information for each of the proposed resources at the award of each Task Authorization.

SECURITY INFORMATION	
Name of individual as it appears on security clearance application form	
Level of security clearance obtained	
Validity period of security clearance obtained	
Security Screening Certificate and Briefing Form file number	

2. <u>At Page 427, Rated Requirement R-1.5</u> <u>Delete Rated Requirement R-1.5 in it's Entirety And Replace with the Following:</u>

Bidder Product Delivery Roadmap

The Product Delivery Roadmap should identify:

- 1. The deliverables, activities, milestones, and corresponding level of effort associated with providing the deliverables and outcomes as set out in SOW Section 2: Requirement.
- 2. Its strategy to deliver the TGMS solution as contemplated in the SOW Section 3: Target Solution Requirements.
- 3. Its strategy to migrate existing Services and data, as outlined in SOW Section 3.7 Services Architecture, from legacy methods to the TGMS while minimizing the disruption to stakeholders.

- 4. Its strategy and approach to effectively deliver the TGMS solution leveraging existing templates or accelerators.
- 5. Its strategy and approach to effectively deliver the TGMS solution within the scope of the initial 3-year engagement.

In addition, the bidder provides a projected roadmap outlining upcoming releases, feature enhancements, and related new components to their grants management accelerators and templates over the next three years. This roadmap must demonstrate, as well, how projected enhancements and innovations to the Microsoft Power Platform will be leveraged by the proposed solution where appropriate.

3. At Page 441, Attachment 4.2 – Pricing Schedule, Delete Table 5 – Initial Contract Period in it's Entirety And Replace with the Following:

TABLE 5 INITIAL CONTRACT PERIOD					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
Resource Category	Level of Expertise	Estimated Number of Days	Firm Per Diem	Total Cost (C x D)	
Application Integration	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Application Technology /	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
COTS Development Specialist	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
BI Programmer/Software	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Test Coordinator	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
Tester	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Database Modeler	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Database Modelei	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Technology / Technical Architect	Level 2	10	\$	\$	

Level 2 Level 3 Level 2 Level 3	10 10 10 10	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$
		\$	
Level 2	10	\$	\$
1	l	l _	ا ۾
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 1	10	\$	\$
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 3	10	\$	\$
Level 2	10	\$	\$
Level 1	10	\$	\$
	Level 2 Level 3	Level 1 10 Level 2 10 Level 3 10 Level 3 10 Level 2 10 Level 3 10 Level 3 10 Level 3 10 Level 3 10 Level 1 10 Level 2 10 Level 3 10	Level 1 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 1 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 2 10 \$ Level 3 10 \$ Level 4 \$ Level 5 10 \$ Level 6 \$ Level 7 \$ Level 8 \$ Level 9 \$ L

At Page 442, Attachment 4.2 – Pricing Schedule, Delete Table 6 – Optional Contract Period 001 in it's Entirety And Replace with the Following:

TABLE 6 OPTIONAL CONTRACT PERIOD 001					
(A)	(B) (C) (D) (E)				
Resource Category	Level of Expertise	Estimated Number of Days	Firm Per Diem	Total Cost (C x D)	
Application Integration	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Application Technology /	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
COTS Development Specialist	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
BI Programmer/Software	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
T 10 " 1	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Test Coordinator	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
Tester	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
D. dalama Marillan	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Database Modeler	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Technology / Technical	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
Project Coordinator	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Drain et lander	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Project Leader	Level 3	10	\$	\$	

Desir et Manage	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Project Manager	Level 3	10	\$	\$
System Integration Project	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Manager	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Project Executive	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Project Executive	Level 3	10	\$	\$
	Level 1	10	\$	\$
Quality Assurance	Level 2	10	\$	\$
	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Enterprise Architect	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Enterprise Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$
	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Information Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Security Architect	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Security Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Special Advisor	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Special Advisor	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Business Transformation Architect	Level 1	10	\$	\$
System Analyst	Level 1	10	\$	\$
Web Architect	Level 1	10	\$	\$

At Page 443, Attachment 4.2 – Pricing Schedule, Delete Table 7 – Optional Contract Period 002 in it's Entirety And Replace with the Following:

TABLE 7 OPTIONAL CONTRACT PERIOD 002					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
Resource Category	Level of Expertise	Estimated Number of Days	Firm Per Diem	Total Cost (C x D)	
Application Integration	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Application Technology /	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
COTS Development Specialist	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
BI Programmer/Software	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
T. of Occasional	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Test Coordinator	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
Tester	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Databasa Madalan	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Database Modeler	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Technology / Technical	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
	Level 1	10	\$	\$	
Project Coordinator	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
	Level 3	10	\$	\$	
Ducinet London	Level 2	10	\$	\$	
Project Leader	Level 3	10	\$	\$	

Project Manager	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Project Manager	Level 3	10	\$	\$
System Integration Project	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Manager	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Project Executive	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Project Executive	Level 3	10	\$	\$
	Level 1	10	\$	\$
Quality Assurance	Level 2	10	\$	\$
	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Enterprise Architect	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Enterprise Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Information Architect	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Information Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Security Architect	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Security Architect	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Special Advisor	Level 2	10	\$	\$
Special Advisor	Level 3	10	\$	\$
Business Transformation Architect	Level 1	10	\$	\$
System Analyst	Level 1	10	\$	\$
Web Architect	Level 1	10 otal for Optional Co	\$	\$

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 6

Solicitation Closing Date:

As the RFP is over 440 pages containing specific technical details related to the scope of work listed in Annex A (Page 45 - 400), the proponent is requesting additional time to review technical details provided. Will Canada consider extending the TA Solicitation Closing Date by two weeks, to Tuesday, November 30th, 2022 to allow proponents to incorporate the technical details provided?

Answer: Please refer to answer 1. Canada has provided an extension to the closing date of the Solicitation until December 9th, 2022 at 2PM EST

Question 7

Security Clearance

In Section 3.2 Section 1: Technical Bid (ii) Security Clearance (Page 13) appears to require that all Contractor personnel assigned to work on the TA hold a valid reliability clearance certificate and security details must be provided at time of bid closing. As the solicitation contains no evaluation of proposed personnel will Canada amend the text to allow each of the proposed resources relevant security information be provided upon subsequent TA award instead of on or before the bid closing date?

Answer: Canada has reviewed your request and agrees to change the time period to time of Task Authorization award instead of at bid closing date.

Question 8

Mandatory and Rated Dynamics References

In the Technical Evaluation Criteria for Mandatory Technical Criteria M4, (Page 421), the RFP states the requirement for Previous Microsoft Dynamics 365 and Microsoft Power Platform-based GMS Deployment References.

As the Rated Requirement for R-3.1a - 3.6a (Page 434) includes two Previous Microsoft Dynamics 365 and Microsoft Power Platform-based GMS Deployment references, will Canada allow the same references to be used for both M4 and R-3.1a - 3.6a

Answer:

Yes, Canada confirms your understanding. Canada will allow the same references to be used for both M4 and R-3.1a-3.6a

Question 9:

Rated Requirement SOW Reference Clarification 1

In the Rated Requirement for R-1.5 (Page 427) bullet 2 states "... strategy to deliver the TGMS solution as contemplated in the SOW Section 5: Solution Requirements."

As there is no Section 5 in Annex A (Page 45), will Canada please clarify which section is this explicitly referenced for this requirement?

Answer: Canada has reviewed your request and is making changes to the SOW in order to clarify.

Question 10:

Rated Requirement SOW Reference Clarification 2

In the Rated Requirement for R-1.5 (Page 427) bullet 3 states "strategy to migrate existing Services and data, as outlined in SOW Section 4.5.4 Services Architecture, from legacy methods to the TGMS while minimizing the disruption to stakeholders"

As there is no Section 4.5.4 in Annex A (Page 45), will Canada please clarify which section is this explicitly referenced for this requirement?

Answer: Canada has reviewed your request and is making changes to the SOW in order to clarify.

Question 11:

Resource Rate Experience Levels

In Annex A – Statement of Work, Section 2.1.3 Supplementary Professional Services (page 50), the table given shows roles with additional experience categories compared to those listed in Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7 in Attachment 4.2 Pricing Schedule (Page 437). For example, the Tester role lists experience levels 1, 2 and 3, whereas the pricing tables only ask for a Per Diem Rate for Tester Level 3. Should the Technical Authority request a resource rate at a different experience level than those listed in the pricing schedule, will these additional rates be negotiated post-contract selection?

Answer: Canada has reviewed your request and is making changes to the Pricing Schedule in order to clarify.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME