

Royal Canadian Gendarmerie royale Mounted Police du Canada

RETURN BIDS TO: RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A :

RCMP-GRC Bid Receiving/Réception des soumissions Attn: Brianne Leach Email: Brianne.Leach@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments: - Commentaries :

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT

LE PRÉSENT DOCUMENT COMPORTE UNE EXIGENCE EN MATIÈRE DE SÉCURITÉ

Title – Sujet Architectural and Engineering (A&I Services – TPOF Code Deficiencie			Date December 30, 2022 s	
Solicitation No. – Nº de l'invitation 202301325			Amendment No. – Nº de la modification 03	
Client Re 20230132		e No No. De Ré	férence du Client	
Solicitati	on Clos	ses – L'invitatior	prend fin	
At /à :	: 14 : 00 (2pm)		EST (Eastern Standard Time) HNE (heure normale de l'Est)	
On / le :	January 13, 2023			
F.O.B. – F.A.B See herein.		GST – TPS See herein.	Duty – Droits See herein.	
Destinati services See herei Instructio	n.	oods and Servio	es – Destinations des biens et	
	Inquirie toute o	demande de rens	seignements à	
	ne No	rcmp-grc.gc.ca - No. de téléphor	e	
Delivery Required – Livraison exigée		I <i>-</i>	Delivery Offered – Livraison proposée	
			presentative – Raison sociale, eur/de l'entrepreneur:	
Telephone No. – No. de téléphone		lo. de téléphone	Facsimile No. – No. de télécopie	

Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) – Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)

Signature



Solicitation Amendment: 003

This solicitation amendment 003 has been raised to:

- (A) Identify Changes to the RFP
- (B) Respond to questions regarding the RFP

(A) CHANGES

(Change 6):

At Section 1.5.1.5.1.2 of the Project Brief:

DELETE: In its entirety.

(Change 7):

At Section 2.2.2.3.3 of the Project Brief:

DELETE: Expected turnaround time for each review is 10 working days.

INSERT: Expected turnaround time for each review is 5 working days.

(Change 8):

At Section 2.10.2.8 of the Project Brief:

DELETE: in its entirety

At Section 2.11.3.3.2 of the Project Brief:

DELETE: in its entirety

At Section 2.11.3.4.2 of the Project Brief:

DELETE: in its entirety



(B) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 19: Appendix F – Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE), item 1.2. Section I: Technical proposal states:

"In their Technical Proposal, Proponents should demonstrate their understanding of the requirements contained in the bid solicitation and explain how they will meet these requirements. Proponents should demonstrate their capability and describe their approach in a thorough, concise and clear manner for carrying out the work."

This requirement is not listed under 'SRE 2 Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection' or 'SRE 3 Evaluation Criteria.' Can you please confirm that this is not required?

Answer 19: Refer to Question and Answer 10 and Change 4 of Solicitation Amendment 02.

Question 20: Appendix F - Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE), SRE 3 Evaluation Criteria, item 3.1.2. states: "The Proponent is requested to respond to the Evaluation Criteria using the table formats below." However, no 'table formats below' are provided – can these please be provided in order to clearly outline the submission requirements?

Answer 20: Refer to Question and Answer 14 and Change 2 of Solicitation Amendment 02.

Question 21: Can the Morrison Hershfield report "Building 405, Fire and Life Safety Code Review, Non-Compliant Code Issues and Options Report" dated April 2020 please be provided to proponents for review? Multiple sections of the RFP make reference to this report for scope items and concept Figures.

Answer 21: The Report will be provided to the successful proponent once security clearances have been completed. Refer to the table at Section 1.3 Summary of Work of the Project Brief.

Question 22: Please confirm that the Interior Design Specialist noted under 1.5.1.5 of the Appendix A – Project brief is not required, given that the scope is limited to base building life safety upgrades?

Answer 22: Confirmed. Refer to Change 6 in this solicitation amendment.

Question 23: Please confirm that the schedule provided in 1.7.2. of the Appendix A – Project Brief is flexible?

We also note that the schedule indicates 1 week for QA reviews, however 2.2.2.3.3. notes 10 working days – please clarify.

Answer 23: The anticipated milestone dates in Section 1.7.2 of the Project Brief remain the estimated dates at this time.

Refer to Change 7 in this solicitation amendment.

Question 24: Regarding Cost Estimate Requirements, please clarify the number of estimates required, the Class levels of each, and at which stages they are required. There is some contradictory information in Appendix A – Project Brief:

a. Item 2.11.3.3 indicates that both an updated Class C Estimate and a Class B estimate are required at 33% Construction Documents. However, a Class B estimate is previously required for the Design Development stage in 2.10.2.8. Please confirm the intent at 33% Construction Documents is to update the existing Class B estimate that will be prepared during DD?

Item 2.11.3.4 indicates: "2. [Updated class "B" estimate;] and 3. [A Class "B" Estimate;] for 66% Construction Documents – please clarify that the intent is to provide an updated Class B estimate at this stage? A Class A is listed as required at the next (99%) Construction Documents stage.



Answer 24: Refer to Change 8 in this solicitation amendment.

Question 25: Please confirm that the following scopes are not required. These scopes make extensive reference to space planning, and furniture, fixtures, and equipment (FF&E) scope, however our understanding is that the project is solely base building fire and life safety upgrades.

- a. Building Component and Connectivity (BCC) scope per Appendix A Project Brief, item 2.7
- b. Functional Programming Scope per Appendix A Project Brief, item 2.8.3

Answer 25: This scope is required. Refer to the table at Section 1.3 Summary of Work of the Project Brief.

Question 26: Appendix A – Project Brief, Section 3.2 Language Requirements notes that the 100% Construction Documents and Record Documents are to be provided in both official languages. Please confirm that costs for translations are to be an allowance or reimbursable expense outside of the fixed fee?

Answer 26: Any costs associated with translation must form part of the Fixed Fee. Refer to Appendix C – Price Proposal Form, Instructions to Proponents, #6.

Question 27: Please confirm if RCMP ERS w/ Escort clearance is required for personnel who will *not* be going to site? For example, does the Cost Estimator require ERS w/ Escort or is RCMP Reliability clearance level sufficient for those not visiting the site?

Answer 27: ERS is required for all consultants working on this project. Refer to Appendix D – SRCL & Security Guide.

Question 28: Item 2.11.3.6 of Appendix A – Project Brief indicates that the consultant is to provide 3 hard copies of 100% Construction Documents – please confirm if this is actually required? If required, please confirm that the cost of these printed documents will be a reimbursable expense outside of the fixed fee?

Answer 28: Confirmed. 3 hard copies in English are required. Refer to Appendix C – Price Proposal Form. Any costs associated with printing must form part of the Fixed Fee. Refer to Appendix C – Price Proposal Form.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.