



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Land Projects and Communication System Support
Division/Div des projets terrestres et support de
systèmes de communication
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
8C2, Place du Portage, Phase III
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

| | |
|--|---|
| Title - Sujet ISTAR of the LC4ISR | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8486-228515/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 009 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8486-228515 | Date 2023-02-03 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$RA-055-28884 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier 055ra.W8486-228515 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2023-02-08 Heure Normale du l'Est HNE | |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Abdulkadir, Nadir | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 055ra |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 664-8121 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX () - |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

The purpose of this amendment is to:

- 1- Modify RFP – Part 2 – Bidders Instructions – Section 2
- 2- Publish answer to Bidders' question.

The following shall form part of this RFP:**1. In the RFP – Part 2 Bidders Instructions – Section 2****1.1 Sub-section 2.1****DELETE:**

In its entirety

INSERT:

- 2.1 Bids must be submitted only to Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) Bid Receiving Unit by the date, time and place indicated in the bid solicitation. The bids must be submitted via CPC Connect service or Facsimile only.

1.2 Sub-section 2.3**DELETE:**

In its entirety

INSERT:

- 2.3 To submit bids using Facsimile for bids closing at the Bid Receiving Unit in the National Capital Region (NCR) the facsimile number is: 819-997-9776

1.3 Add Sub-Section 2.4

Due to the nature of the bid solicitation, hardcopies and soft copies on media to PWGSC will not be accepted.

2) Publish answers to Bidders' questions.

Note: The wording in **green font** denotes the changes in the above documents.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 001.**Q1: RFP Reference Part 5 – Certifications and Additional Information - Section 1.2 – Proven Experience Certificate**

Bidders are asked to provide "...a certification to demonstrate that cooperation agreement(s) to access Proven Experience are in place with the Team Member at the time of bid submission."
Will PSPC provide a certificate with the RFP for bidders to sign?

A1: PSPC will not provide a certification with the RFP for Bidders to sign. Bidders, with their bids, must submit references to their Team Members Proven Experience, demonstrating how their Team Member's experience meets and satisfy the evaluation criteria outlined in this bid. Bidders are, further, required to demonstrate a certification that cooperation agreement(s) are in place with the Team Member at the time of bid submission.

To evaluate the Proven Experience required by the RFP, the experience can come from the Bidder or any other members of the Bidder's Team. Bidder's Team includes its subcontractors identified in the technical bid and may also include the parent, subsidiaries or other affiliates of the Bidders. For further details see Certification and Proven Experience in PART 4 – EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION.

Q2: RFP Reference Part 7A – Resulting Contract Clauses - Section 5.1.2 – Supply Chain Integrity Requirements

The originally approved versions are included as **Annex TBD** (although portions of Annex TBD that were submitted directly by a subcontractor will be kept confidential by Canada between it and the relevant subcontractor) (To be filled in at contract award, if applicable). In some cases, Canada approved several of each (e.g., several IT Product Lists), because some subcontractors submitted their SCSI directly to Canada. The Parties also acknowledge that security is a critical consideration for Canada with respect to the Work and that on-going assessment of SCSI will be required throughout the Contract Period. This Section governs that process.

Can Canada confirm that Annex TBD is Annex I.

A2: Although Annex I is correct, however, its number will be revised at the contract award stage as Annex F to H currently incorporated in the RFP will be removed. Annex I will then become Annex F - Supply Chain Security Information - Submission Form.

Q3: RFP Reference Note on Page 1

For Bidders who are already registered with the Contract Security Program (CSP), the submission of the Application for Registration Form (ARF) is a redundant activity. Can Canada remove the requirement for Bidders to submit the ARF, provided that Bidders can demonstrate registration with the CSP (i.e., provide status levels that confirm their active facility security clearance).

A3: The CSP has been recently updated and now requires all bidders (even those with current Security Clearances) to submit an Application for Registration Form. This will allow CSP to verify that the bidder's current Security Clearance is valid for the RFP that they are bidding on.

Q4: RFP Reference Annex F - Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria

Rated Requirement (RT) 2.4 states: "Describe and substantiate, based on their own experience and best practices, the life-cycle management of Products to be developed under the resulting contract." The Rating Scale indicates a progressive scale to a 100% score for "Bidder demonstrates a complete life-cycle management process for products and explains eight principles of life-cycle management".

The DLCSPM SEP (ref. DLCSPM-SEP-2021) however, references only six Product Life-Cycle Management Principles instead of eight. The six Product Life-cycle Management principles described in the DLCSPM-SEP Subsection 2.1.2.1 are as follows:

1. Architectural Development;
2. Systems Engineering;
3. Integration;
4. Fielding;
5. In-Service Support; and
6. Disposal.

Canada is recommended to update the Ratings Scale to be consistent with the process description described in the DLCSPM-SEP, which bidders are required to be compliant with, implementing the following change:

0% (Inadequate):

Bidder does not demonstrate a life-cycle management process for products AND/OR only explains one principle of life-cycle management.

25% (Poor):

Bidder demonstrates a life-cycle management process for products and explains two or three principles of life-cycle management.

50% (Good):

Bidder demonstrates a life-cycle management process for products and explains four principles of life-cycle management.

75% (Excellent):

Bidder demonstrates a life-cycle management process for products and explains five principles of life-cycle management.

100% (Comprehensive):

Bidder demonstrates a life-cycle management process for products and explains six principles of life-cycle management.

A4: The DLCSPM-SEP-2021 provides the principles currently being referenced. The Bidder is expected to provide a response that is based on its own experience, which may include more than these six principles.

Q5: RFP Reference Part 3 – Bid Preparation Instructions

Part 3, para 3.2 notes that prices should only be contained in Bidder's Financial Bid's. In order to deliver a compliant Section IV - ITB Bid, Bidders must include their Bid price in the Mandatory Requirements Certificate (Mandatory Requirement 4).

Canada is requested to confirm that inclusion of financial information in Section IV - ITB Bid is acceptable?

A5: It is acceptable and required to provide the Bid Price as part of Section IV - ITB Value Proposition.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 002.

Q6: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix – Appendix F1 – Mandatory Evaluation Criteria – MT 7 - And Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID

Can Canada confirm whether or not any internal Indigenous resources that Bidders hire to perform the LC4ISR ISTAR work will count towards the 5% Target for Total Estimated Contract Value for Goods and/or Services to be sourced from Canadian indigenous firms? The Indigenous Participation Plan outlined in Appendix A4 to Annex A, DID 100.006 states that Bidders are required to provide their Human resources strategy on retaining Indigenous peoples for the performance of the Work, however, it is unclear if Indigenous peoples hired as part of these efforts would count towards the 5% Target.

A6: No, any indigenous resources hired will not count towards the 5% target.

Q7: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix – Appendix F1 – Mandatory Evaluation Criteria – MT 7 - And Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID

Can Canada confirm whether Skills Development and Training activities with Indigenous peoples counts towards the 5% Target for Total Estimated Contract Value for Goods and/or Services to be sourced from Canadian indigenous firms?

A7: No, any skill development training activities will not count towards the 5% target.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 003.

Q8: RFP Reference Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID – PMP 100.001 – Section 10.3

This section states: For each position, the PMP must identify the name...

We assume the requirement is to provide the name of the role and not the name of the individual filling the role. Please confirm.

A8: Correct. At bid closing, only name of the role is required unless the individual is known and can be named by the Bidder. However, if successful, the bidder will revise the PMP to also reflect the name of resource.

Q9: RFP Reference Annex G – Value Proposition Bidder Instructions – Sub Section 5.6.2.5

Currently, section 5.6.2.5 of Annex G states that “Any proposed Transaction with a company not meeting the Eligible Donor criteria will be rejected.” As written, this could imply that Direct Transactions with an Eligible Donor could be rejected if the supplier did not meet the Eligible Donor criteria.

The following revised language is recommended in place of section 5.6.2.5: “Any proposed Transaction involving ED contributions from a company not meeting the ED criteria will be rejected”.

R9: The definition of “Eligible Donor” is provided in Part 7B-ITB Terms and Conditions, Section 1.1.13, and its application is explained in Section 8.1.4. Only those Donors that meet the definition of an Eligible Donor will be accepted as Donors for ITB Transaction purposes. Recipients, as defined in Section 1.1.29, are not required to meet the Eligible Donor definition.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 004.

Q10: Reference Amendment 002 - Question 6

Canada provided clarification noting that “Any indigenous resources hired will not count towards the 5% target”. In contrast, the IPP DID (100.006) requires Bidders to indicate:

DID 11.2 a, i) “Human resources strategy on retaining Indigenous peoples for the performance of the Work;” and further requires:

DID 11.2 b, iv), ii) in reference to reporting - “Number of employment or sourcing of Indigenous resources used to perform the Work;”

The DID contents above would indicate that Bidder resources should be counted relative to the fulfilment of the Bidder's commitment to Indigenous Participation. In the spirit of encouraging the Canadian Defence sector to increase the inclusion of Indigenous Peoples within its workforce.

Canada is requested to allow the Bidder's investment in the hiring of Indigenous Resources for the performance of the Work towards its 5% commitment.

A10: Please note, although similar, DID 100.006 and the Indigenous Participation Plan (IPP) are two separate mandatory requirements for bidders to submit with their bids, however, bidders who don't wish to respond to the IPP Rated Requirement are not under any obligation to provide any commitment. If bidders choose to not commit to fulfil 1 to 5% target, their bid will not be deemed non-responsive.

Also, please take note that inclusion of Indigenous Peoples in ITB Skills Development and Training Transactions is allowed, subject to the ITB Terms and Conditions, but it would not be part of the IPP Rated Criteria. The objective of the IPP Rated Criteria is to encourage contractors to award contracts to Indigenous Businesses particularly, thus hiring Indigenous resources will not count towards the 5% target.

Q11: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F1 – Mandatory Evaluation Criteria – And Appendix F2 – Rated Evaluation Criteria

Canada requests that the Bidders provide their comments in Column L (Bidder's Comments) demonstrating how the bidder will meet the requirements, without cross referencing to other statements in Annex F.

Canada is requested to clarify what information they are seeking for the Bidder's to provide in column L; for instance, is Canada looking to have Bidders confirm that their response to the individual mandatory or rated requirement meets one of the Compliance Methodologies provided (A-D)?

Q11: Yes, that's correct, and additionally, in which part of their bid and on what page the bidder has included the Proof of Compliance to meet the requirement.

Q12: RFP Reference Appendix A2 – Standards and References – Section 1.1 DLCSPM Standards – DLCSPM-SEP-2021 and references to DLCSPM-SEP-2021 throughout the SOW

The copy of the SEP provided to Bidder is referred to as the System Engineering Plan (reference AMD 1) and is dated December 2022.

Can Canada confirm that all references to "DLCSPM SEP 2021" throughout the full RFP package is in fact the "System Engineering Plan_ - RFP Release" file, publication date December 2022.

A12: Correct. The term "DLCSPM SEP 2021" used throughout the full RFP package is the PDF file titled "System Engineering Plan _ RFP Release", publication date December 2022.

Q13: RFP Reference Appendix A2 – Standards and References – Section 1.1 DLCSPM Standards – DLCSPM-SEP-2021 and references to DLCSPM-SEP-2021 throughout the SOW

The copy of the SEP provided to Bidder is referred to as the System Engineering Plan (reference AMD 1) and is dated December 2022.

Can Canada confirm that all references to "DLCSPM SEP 2021" throughout the full RFP package is in fact the "System Engineering Plan_ - RFP Release" file, publication date December 2022.

A13: Correct. The term "DLCSPM SEP 2021" used throughout the full RFP package is the PDF file titled

Q14: RFP Reference Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID

Section 10.13 (j) refers to an Audit Closeout Plan. To which audit is Canada referring?

A14: The audit would pertain to all matters and activities subject to this project.

Q15: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F2 – Rated Evaluation Criteria.

Can Canada please explain how management and execution of Design Authority applies to RT2.1.c?

A15: Canada acknowledges that configuration management is not a component or factor that needs to be considered by the design authority. However, Canada expects the Design Authority to always consider and ensure successful integration processes.

Q16: RFP Reference Annex G – Value Proposition Bidder Instructions AND Annex H Industrial and Technological Benefits Evaluation Plan

Referencing Annex G and Annex H (both VP/ITB related), the use of both Bid Price and Contract Price are referenced, in relation to transaction identification. We suggest that since Contract Price is defined in the ITB/VP terms and conditions, and Bid Price is not, that Contract Price be the sole reference for commitment value in both Annex G and Annex H. It is recommended that Contract Price be set at a value that more closely aligns with the anticipated value of the future ISTAR Contract, such as \$120 M CAD, which all bidders can use as a baseline to provide transaction identification against.

A16: No Change Required.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 006.

Q17: RFP Reference Annex C – SRCL – Section 10a – PERSONNEL

In Section 10a of the SRCL, references was made to the SRCL Supplemental Security Guide, it was not provided as part of the final SRCL. Can Canada please provide Bidders with a copy of the ISTAR Supplemental Security Guide?

A17: Bidders that are interested in submitting a bid should send a written email request to the Contracting Authority to obtain the SRCL Guide.

Q18: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix – App F1 Mandatory Eval Criteria

MT 2.1 states "The SEMP must be based on DLCSPM standard, DLCSPM-SEP-2021 listed in Appendix A2 – Standards and References and must be in accordance with CDRL 200.001 and DID 200.001. Bidders must address all requirements of the DID in their proposed SEMP."

The DID 200.001 states "The SEMP must contain as a minimum the following information aligned with the major headings of Canada's SEP".

Can Canada clarify if it means that the SEMP must have the same major sections as the SEP (i.e. Introduction, Land C4ISR Capability Overview, Engineering Process, Governance, ..., Fielding Process, In-Service Support)? If it is the case:

1. Can Canada confirm that the sub-sections can be different? And

2. Can Canada clarify if the SEMP must also include and consider the sections marked as "To Be Developed" in the SEP (i.e. 10. Fielding Process and 11. In-Service Support)?

A18. The SEMP must include the major section outlined in DID 200.001. The content, including sub-sections, is to be based on the DLCSPM-SEP-2021

1. Sub-sections in the SEMP are to be identified by the bidder; and
2. No, however, bidders are encouraged to suggest responses.

Q19. RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix – App F2 Rated Eval Criteria

Reference: App F2-Rated Eval Criteria, RT-2.1 c.

The 75% Rating Scale states "Bidder demonstrates an integration process; AND Bidder demonstrates how the integration processes aligns with the SEP; AND Bidder demonstrates how it will manage the minimum product versions."

The 100% Rating Scale states "Bidder demonstrates an integration process; AND Bidder demonstrates how the integration processes aligns with the SEP; AND Bidder demonstrates how it will manage the expected product versions."

Can Canada clarify what is meant by minimum and expected product versions in the context of this requirement?

A19. This information can be found in the DLCSPM-SEP-2021

Q20. RFP Reference Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID

"In section 4.1.1.5. of the ITB Evaluation Plan, it is stated: "Points will only be awarded in Supplier Development if the Bidder identifies Transactions that are detailed, fully described and equal in total to not less than ten percent (10%) of the total Supplier Development Commitment, calculated by the Bidder's Bid Price, measured in CCV." However, sections 4.1.2.3. for identification of Research and Development transactions and 4.1.3.1 for identification of Skills Development and Training transactions, do not make reference to the Bid Price.

Can Canada confirm that for all transaction identification purposes, the Commitment numbers for the calculation of identified transaction rated criteria will be based on the Bid Price and not the Contract Price, as is currently the case for Supplier Development."

A20. The ITB/VP evaluation requires both the use of Contract Price and Bid Price. Whereas all bidder Commitments are expressed as a percentage of the Contract Price (which can change over time), the evaluation of the Mandatory Requirements uses Bid Price in order to verify that a bidder's Proposal has identified eligible Transactions that are equal to no less than 30% of Bid Price, measured in Canadian Content Value. For the rated criteria related to transaction identification, the percentage of identified transactions in each VP Commitment Pillar is measured against the Bidder's Commitment in that respective pillar (e.g. 15% identification of the Research and Development VP Commitment), not as a percentage of Bid Price. The Bidder's Bid Price will be used to calculate the value of the respective VP Commitment Pillar only for the rated criteria related to transaction identification, and the percentage of identified transactions is expressed as a percentage of that Commitment, not as a percentage of the Bid Price. For clarity, the phrase "calculated by the Bidder's Bid Price" has been removed from Section 4.1.1.5.

Q21. RFP Reference Annex B – Basis of Payment – Section 7.1.2

The core work is scheduled for 6 years. However, in the ISTAR ITB Terms and Conditions, the Achievement Period is defined as follows: "Achievement Period" means the period commencing

on 8 August 2020 and ending one (1) year after the completion of the Work under this Contract. However, the ITB transaction sheet template provided in the ISTAR RFP ITB Terms and Conditions has only 6 periods, where there should be a minimum of 7 (6 core years + 1 year achievement period). Can Canada please confirm that the minimum ITB achievement period for the ISTAR contract is 7 years, and if so please adjust the ITB transaction template accordingly.

A21. The revised transaction sheets provide an answer to this question.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 007.

Q22. RFP Reference Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID – Section DID 100.006, 11.2 b iv)

DID 100.006 b. iv) states that for each proposed Indigenous business for subcontracting, a copy of the Procurement Strategy for Indigenous Business (PSIB) certification must be included. We understand that PSIB no longer issues certificates. Can Canada please confirm that a snapshot of the Indigenous Business Directory for the proposed Indigenous business will satisfy this requirement?

A22. Yes, a snapshot will be accepted. The Contracting Authority will notify Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada (AANDC) so that certification may be selectively audited for evidence and accuracy.

The following questions and answers are being published under Amendment 008

Q23. RFP Reference Annex B – Basis of Payment – Section 6 Economic Price Adjustment for Option and Transition Periods

In reference to: "As the "Option Period" 1, 2 and 3 are 2 full years, the language in Clause 6.2 states coverage via the CPI for one year: "6.2 The Labour Rate will then be escalated or de-escalated by no more than a percentage equal to the increase or decrease, as applicable, in Canada's Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the one-year period ending two months prior to the date that Option Period 2 commences.

The Contractor will continue to be paid using the current rates until the new rates have been agreed upon between the Contractor and Canada"

This conflicts with the Table 1 – Core Work that implies CPI coverage for each Option Period year.

A23. Please refer to 6.6 in the Basis of Payment for further clarification.

The following questions and answers are being published under this amendment

Q24. RFP Reference Part 2 - Bidder Instructions – Section 2 - Submission of Bids

Article 2.3 of Part 2 states that bids must be delivered via CPC Connect only. However, in light of the fact that difficulties can arise with Connect which may prevent bidders from submitting timely bids, it is our view that PSPC should include an alternate method to submit a bid. This is in line with PSPC policy on bid submissions, PN-128R1, dated 2018-05-22, which requires an RFP to include two delivery methods.

A24. Canada will accept submission of bids via CPC Connect or Facsimile in accordance with **Section 8** of the 2003 (2022-03-29) Standard Instructions - Goods or Services Competitive Requirements.

Q25. RFP Reference Part 3 Bid Preparation instructions - Section 1.3.2

Part 3, 1.3.2 notes that Prices should only appear in the financial bid. No prices should be indicated in any other section of the bid. Canada is requested to confirm that inclusion of financial information in the Indigenous Participation Plan (IPP) (MT7) is acceptable in order to show the Estimated cost projected for participation of Indigenous Businesses under this contract (DID 100.006 a. iv.).

A25. The inclusion of financial information in the IPP is acceptable.

Q26. RFP Reference Part 4 – Section 1.4 &1.5 and Part 5 – Parts 1 and 2

It is understood that if Bidders use the experience of a subcontractor, parent, subsidiary, or affiliate to meet the proven experience requirements (MT1/RT1) as part of the "Bidder's Team", that the Bidder is required to demonstrate accessibility to the experience through the certification that cooperation agreement(s) are in place with the Team Member at the time of bid submission. Canada is requested to clarify whether to align with the definition of "Bidder's Team" if Bidders are required to provide the certifications required by Part 5, sections 1 and 2, for each Bidder's Team member as part of its Section III - Certifications Volume.

A26. Bidders will be evaluated on RT3.3 as it is written in Annex F.

Q27. RFP Reference Annex G – ITB Bidder Instructions Appendix A, Mandatory Requirements Certificate, Requirement 4.

Does "Bid Price" in Appendix B refer to the "Financial Bid's Weighted Price" or is "Bid Price" defined as the sum of the Total Core Work for Firm Period, the Total Aggregated Labour Rates Proposed for the Firm Period, and the Total Calculated Proposed Mark-Up

A27. Bid Price in Appendix B of Annex G is the sum of the Total Core Work for Firm Period, the Total Aggregated Labour Rates Proposed for the Firm Period, and the Total Calculated Proposed Mark-Up.

Q28. RFP Reference Part 2 – BIDDER INSTRUCTIONS- Section 4 – Enquiries – Bid Solicitation – Sub section 4.1

Canada is requested to extend their Question period beyond the stated 10 calendar day cut-off to be 5 calendar days prior to bid submission.

A28. Canada will answer questions at its own discretion within 10 calendar days of RFP closing on a best effort basis. Unfortunately due to time constraints Canada may not answer all questions.

Q29. RFP Reference Part 7B – Ts&Cs, Annex G and Annex H

Can Canada establish the Contract Value for the LC4ISR ISTAR project for the purpose of ITB identification?

A29. Canada cannot identify the total contract value at this time, as the total value is expected to increase over the life of the contract.

Q30. RFP Reference 07 Annex F Compliance Matrix

In RT3.3 Canada is requested to confirm that a CWP resource licensed in a professional discipline will meet the individual certification requirements of RT3.3; where the professionally licensed discipline addresses the application of quality management concepts with significant quality oversight responsibility, and are accountable to and governed by an independent body who regulates practices and establishes professional standards.

A30. Bidders must provide all certifications including one for the Proven Experience in Section III - Certifications of their bid in accordance with instructions provided in article 5 of Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions of the RFP.

All other terms and conditions of the RFP remain unchanged.