

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
 Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
 TPSGC
 11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
 Place du Portage , Phase III
 Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
 Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
 Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
 indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
 remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
 les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
 Land Projects and Communication System Support
 Division/Div des projets terrestres et support de
 systèmes de communication
 11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
 8C2, Place du Portage, Phase III
 Gatineau
 Québec
 K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Applications Sustainment Services LC4ISR Applications Sustainment Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8486-228518/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8486-228518	Date 2023-02-10
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$RA-062-28906	
File No. - N° de dossier 062ra.W8486-228518	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2023-02-22 Heure Normale du l'Est HNE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Awara, Roland	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 062ra
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 665-7081 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

DUE TO AN EXTREMELY TIGHT TIMELINE, CANADA CANNOT AFFORD TO PROVIDE PROLONGED CLOSING DATE EXTENSIONS. WE REQUIRE BIDDER'S UTMOST COOPERATION TO SUBMIT THEIR BIDS BY THE SOLICITATION CLOSING DATE.

The purpose of this amendment is to:

1. Published revised PART 7B ITB Terms and Conditions - Ts&Cs Appendix B - TRN Sheet Template – REV2.
2. Modify Annex G - LC4ISR Applications - ITB - Bidder Instructions.
3. Publish answers to Bidders' questions.

The following shall form part of this RFP:

1. In the RFP – Part 7B – ITB Terms and Conditions - Ts&Cs Appendix B TRN Sheet Template- REV1.

DELETE:

In its entirety.

INSERT:

Appendix B - Ts&Cs Appendix B TRN Sheet Template – **REV2**.

2. In the RFP - Annex G - LC4ISR Applications - ITB - Bidder Instructions - page 22.

DELETE:

The Bidder, _____, declares and certifies that, through this Proposal for the LC4ISR Capability Core Network In-service Support, the Bidder makes the following Commitments, in response to the rated criteria outlined in section 6:

INSERT:

The Bidder, _____, declares and certifies that, through this Proposal for the **Land Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Applications Sustainment Services Contract (LC4 Applications)**, the Bidder makes the following Commitments, in response to the rated criteria outlined in section 6:

Note: The wording in **green font** denotes the changes in the reference documents

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 001.

Q1: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix – Appendix F1 – Mandatory Evaluation Criteria – MT 7 - And Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID.

Can Canada confirm whether or not any internal Indigenous resources that Bidders hire to perform the LC4ISR Applications work will count towards the 5% Target for Total Estimated Contract Value for Goods and/or Services to be sourced from Canadian indigenous firms? The Indigenous Participation Plan outlined in Appendix A4 to Annex A, DID 100.006 states that Bidders are required to provide their Human resources strategy on retaining Indigenous peoples for the performance of the Work, however, it is unclear if Indigenous peoples hired as part of these efforts would count towards the 5% Target.

A1: No, any indigenous resources hired will not count towards the 5% target.

Q2: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix – Appendix F1 – Mandatory Evaluation Criteria – MT 7 - And Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID.

Can Canada confirm whether Skills Development and Training activities with Indigenous peoples counts towards the 5% Target for Total Estimated Contract Value for Goods and/or Services to be sourced from Canadian indigenous .

A2: No, any skill development training activities will not count towards the 5% target.

Q3: RFP Reference Annex C – SRCL – Section 10a – PERSONNEL.

Can Canada please provide the Security Classification Guide?

A3: Bidders that are interested in submitting a bid should send a written email request to the Contracting Authority to obtain the SRCL Supplemental Guide.

Q4: RFP Reference Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID - PMP 100.001 10.3

This section states: For each position, the PMP must identify the name...

We assume the requirement is to provide the name of the role and not the name of the individual filling the role. Please confirm

A4: Correct. At bid closing, only name of the role is required unless the individual is known and can be named by the Bidder. However, if successful, the bidder will revise the PMP to also reflect the name of resource.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 002**Q5: RFP Reference Part 3 – Bid Preparation Instructions.**

Part 3, 1.3.2 notes that prices should only be contained in Bidder's Financial Bid's. In order to deliver a compliant Section IV: ITB Bid, Bidders must include their Bid price in the Mandatory Requirements Certificate (Mandatory Requirement 4). Canada is requested to confirm that inclusion of financial information in Section IV: ITB Bid is acceptable.

A5: It is acceptable and required to provide the Bid Price as part of Section IV - ITB Value Proposition.

Q6: RFP Reference Annex G – Value Proposition Bidder Instructions – Sub Section 5.6.2.5

Currently, section 5.6.2.5 of Annex G states that "Any proposed Transaction with a company not meeting the Eligible Donor criteria will be rejected." As written, this could imply that Direct Transactions with an Eligible Donor could be rejected if the supplier did not meet the Eligible Donor criteria.

The following revised language is recommended in place of section 5.6.2.5: "Any proposed Transaction involving ED contributions from a company not meeting the ED criteria will be rejected"

A6: The definition of "Eligible Donor" is provided in Part 7B-ITB Terms and Conditions, Section 1.1.13, and its application is explained in Section 8.1.4. Only those Donors that meet the definition of an Eligible Donor will be accepted as Donors for ITB Transaction purposes. Recipients, as defined in Section 1.1.29, are not required to meet the Eligible Donor definition.

Q7: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F1 – Mandatory Evaluation Criteria – And Appendix F2 – Rated Evaluation Criteria.

In reference to Appendix F.1 and F.2, Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria - Mandatory Evaluation Criteria (F.1) & Rated Evaluation Criteria (F.2), Canada requests that the Bidders provide their comments in Column L (Bidder's Comments) demonstrating how the bidder will meet the requirements, without cross referencing to other statements in Annex F.

Canada is requested to clarify what information they are seeking for the Bidder's to provide in column L; for instance, is Canada looking to have Bidders confirm that their response to the individual mandatory or rated requirement meets one of the Compliance Methodologies provided (A-D).

A7: Yes, that's correct, and additionally, in which part of their bid and on what page the bidder has included the Proof of Compliance to meet the requirement.

Q8: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F2 – Rated Evaluation Criteria.

Can Canada please explain how management and execution of Design Authority applies to RT2.1.c?

A8: Canada acknowledges that configuration management is not a component or factor that needs to be considered by the design authority. However, Canada expects the Design Authority to always consider and ensure successful integration processes.

Q9: RFP Reference Appendix A4 – CDRL and DID – PMP 100.001 – Section 10.13

Section 10.13 (j) refers to an Audit Closeout Plan.

To which audit is Canada referring?

A9: The audit would pertain to all matters and activities subject to this project.

Q10: RFP Reference Annex G – Value Proposition Bidder Instructions - Multiple Sections.

Referencing Annex G and Annex H (both VP/ITB related), the use of both Bid Price and Contract Price are referenced, in relation to transaction identification.

We suggest that since Contract Price is defined in the ITB/VP terms and conditions, and Bid Price is not, that Contract Price be the sole reference for commitment value in both Annex G and Annex H.

It is recommended that Contract Price be set at a value that more closely aligns with the anticipated value of the future Contract which all bidders can use as a baseline to provide transaction identification against.

A10: No change required.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 003**Q11: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F2 – Rated Evaluation Criteria.**

RT - 1.2 asks the bidder "How their referenced integration experience relates to the APPLICATIONS requirements column titled "Services" when sorted by "Sub-System Integration" listed in the DLCSPM-SBSRM-2001 standard...".

Could Canada provide the **DLCSPM-SBSRB-2021_Unclassified.pdf** file in native Excel format so that the bidder can sort and filter the spreadsheet on the columns as suggested?

A11: Yes, the document will be provided to bidders upon request only.

Q12: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F2 – Mandatory Evaluation Criteria.

MT 2.1 states "The SEMP must be based on DLCSPM standard, DLCSPM-SEP-2021 listed in Appendix A2 – Standards and References and must be in accordance with CDRL 200.001 and DID 200.001. Bidders must address all requirements of the DID in their proposed SEMP."

The DID 200.001 states "The SEMP must contain as a minimum the following information aligned with the major headings of Canada's SEP".

Can Canada clarify if it means that the SEMP must have the same major sections as the SEP (i.e. Introduction, Land C4ISR Capability Overview, Engineering Process, Governance, ..., Fielding Process, In-Service Support)? If it is the case:

1. Can Canada confirm that the sub-sections can be different? And
2. Can Canada clarify if the SEMP must also include and consider the sections marked as "To Be Developed" in the SEP (i.e. 10. Fielding Process and 11. In-Service Support)?

A12: The SEMP must include the major section outlined in DID 200.001. The content, including sub-sections, is to be based on the DLCSPM-SEP-2021

1. Sub-sections in the SEMP are to be identified by the bidder; and
2. No, however, bidders are encouraged to suggest responses.

Q13: RFP Reference Annex F – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F2 – Rated Evaluation Criteria – RT 2.1c.

The 75% Rating Scale states "Bidder demonstrates an integration process; AND Bidder demonstrates how the integration processes aligns with the SEP; AND Bidder demonstrates how it will manage the minimum product versions."

The 100% Rating Scale states "Bidder demonstrates an integration process; AND Bidder demonstrates how the integration processes aligns with the SEP; AND Bidder demonstrates how it will manage the expected product versions."

Can Canada clarify what is meant by minimum and expected product versions in the context of this requirement?

A13: Answer: This information can be found in the DLCSPM-SEP-2021.

The following questions and answers were published under Amendment 004

Q14: Reference to Appendix A4 - CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST AND DATA ITEM DESCRIPTIONS, DID 100.006 - Preparation Instructions – sub section 11.2: b. iv.

DID 100.006 b. iv) states that for each proposed Indigenous business for subcontracting, a copy of the Procurement Strategy for Indigenous Business (PSIB) certification must be included. We understand that PSIB no longer issues certificates. Can Canada please confirm that a snapshot of the Indigenous Business Directory for the proposed Indigenous business will satisfy this requirement?

A14: Yes, a snapshot will be accepted. The Contracting Authority will notify Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada (AANDC) so that certification may be selectively audited for evidence and accuracy.

A15: Reference to Appendix A4 - CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMENTS LIST AND DATA ITEM DESCRIPTIONS, DID 100.006 - Preparation Instructions – sub section 11.2: a. iv.

Part 3, 1.3.2 notes that Prices should only appear in the financial bid. No prices should be indicated in any other section of the bid. Canada is requested to confirm that inclusion of financial information

in the Indigenous Participation Plan (IPP) (MT7) is acceptable in order to show the estimated cost projected for participation of Indigenous Businesses under this contract. (DID 100.006 a. iv.).

A15 It is acceptable and required to provide the Bid Price as part of Section IV - ITB Value Proposition.

The inclusion of financial information in the IPP is acceptable.

Q16: RFP PART 2 - BIDDER INSTRUCTIONS – Section 2 - Submission of Bids

Please find below our request for clarification under the subject LC4ISR Applications Request for Proposal:

Article 2.3 of Part 2 states that bids must be delivered via CPC Connect only. However, in light of the fact that difficulties can arise with Connect which may prevent bidders from submitting timely bids, it is our view that PSPC should include an alternate method to submit a bid. This is in line with PSPC policy on bid submissions, PN-128R1, dated 2018-05-22, which requires an RFP to include two delivery methods.

A16: Canada will accept submission of bids via CPC Connect or Facsimile in accordance with Section 8 of the 2003 (2022-03-29) Standard Instructions - Goods or Services Competitive Requirements.

The following questions and answers are being published under this amendment.

Q17: RFP Reference Annex F - REV1 – Compliance Matrix and Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria – Appendix F2 – Rated Evaluation Criteria - RT 2.2

The requirement is written as follows: "Describe and substantiate, the proposed handover process, specific to the Applications Functional Grouping, of the Sub-System Design into the System Design." According to Figure 3 in DLCSPM-SEP-2021, the left side of the V-cycle DLCSPM engineering methodology is the Requirements and Design phase. The right side of the V-cycle is integration, and the Verification and Validation (V&V) phase, which do not include any aspects of the Design phase per se. Based on the engineering methodology phases identified in Figure 3, we believe that it would be more appropriate to write RT 2.2 as follows: "Describe and substantiate, the proposed handover process, specific to the Applications Functional Grouping, of the Sub-System integration and V&V into the System integration and V&V." Does this wording reflect the intent of RT 2.2? If so, we ask that RT 2.2 be amended as such. If not, please clarify the intent of RT 2.2.

A17 The intent of this criterion is to evaluate the bidder's response to conduct a handover from the Applications Functional Grouping back to the SoS E&I Functional Grouping as part of the design process, prior to development.

Q18: RFP Reference Annex G – ITB Bidder Instructions and Annex H Industrial and Technological Benefits Evaluation Plan.

Canada is requested to confirm that Bid Price in Appendix B of Annex G is the sum of the Total Core Work for Firm Period, the Total Aggregated Labour Rates Proposed for the Firm Period, and the Total Calculated Proposed Mark-Up.

A18: Yes, Bid Price in Appendix B of Annex G is the sum of the Total Core Work for Firm Period, the Total Aggregated Labour Rates Proposed for the Firm Period, and the Total Calculated Proposed Mark-Up.

All other terms and conditions of the RFP remain unchanged.