

CLARIFICATION for 5K001-231331 (Category 5: Articulating Dual Monitor Arms)

Due to a Supplier question received, **the tender period will be extended to Monday, October 23rd at 2:00PM CDT** - in the event that a Bidder wishes to amend a submitted proposal or submit a second bid.

QUESTION:

Can you please advise how the point system works and how you allocate these “points” and if we can see the point allocation table to ensure transparency

ANSWER:

The Evaluation is set at 70% Price, 30% Point-Rated. If no points are allocated for Point Rated criteria in a Bid, then the score for the points will be zero and the bid will be ranked by the cost at 70% of total value.

The maximum number of points that may potentially be allocated is 20.

2.1	Monitor arms must have a minimum of 406 mm (16 in.) horizontal depth (measured from the base of the swivel rotation center when the arm is fully extended towards the user).	Additional scoring – Out of 10 points Horizontal Depth proposed OVER the minimum
2.2	Height Adjustment Range: The monitor arm must have a minimum of 241mm (9.5 in.) total vertical adjustment.	Additional scoring – Out of 10 points Vertical Adjustment proposed OVER the minimum

The Evaluated Price includes the OPTIONAL quantities but is NOT inclusive of the OPTIONAL Freight and Installation.

Item	Description	Qty	Unit of Issue	Unit Price	Extended Price
1a	Articulating Dual Monitor Stand, Clamp Mount 5MOADUARMCLPMTXXXXX	110	Each	\$_____	\$_____
1b	Articulating Dual Monitor Stand, Grommet Mount 5MOADUARMGRMMTXXXXX	90	Each	\$_____	\$_____
2	Freight: Lot price for all units	1	Lot	\$_____	\$_____
3	Installation: <u>Lot Price includes:</u> All material, labor, time and travel costs to complete the removal of existing arms and installation of the new arms.	1	Lot	\$_____	\$_____
4a	OPTIONAL: Articulating Dual Monitor Stand, Clamp Mount 5MOADUARMCLPMTXXXXX	100	Each	\$_____	\$_____
4b	OPTIONAL: Articulating Dual Monitor Stand, Grommet Mount 5MOADUARMGRMMTXXXXX	100	Each	\$_____	\$_____
				Total =	\$_____

EXAMPLE OF EVALUATION

Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Price (70%) and Technical Merit (30%).

The following table illustrates an example with three compliant bids; the selection of the contractor is determined by a 70/30 ratio of price and technical merit, respectively.

The total available points equals 20 and the lowest evaluated price is \$50,000.00 (50).

The lowest evaluated price (LP) of all compliant bids received will be identified and a pricing score (PS) determined as follows (allocated to each compliant bid): $PS = LP / BP \times 70$.

A technical merit score (TMS), determined as follows, will be allocated to each responsive bid: $TMS = OS/20 \times 30$, where OS is the overall score (OS) obtained by each compliant bid for the point rated technical criteria specified (2.1. and 2.2. above), determined as follows:

Total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available.

	Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3
Overall Score for Point Rated (OS) Technical Criteria 2.1 & 2.2	OS1: 0 / 20	OS2: 12 / 20	OS3: 19 / 20
Bid Evaluated Price (BP) (70%)	BP1: C\$50,000	BP2: C\$55,000	BP3: C\$60,000
Technical Merit Score (TMS) for Point Rated Criteria 2.1 & 2.2 (30%) (OS x 30)	0/20 x 30 = 0	12/20 x 30 = 18	19/20 x 30 = 28.5
Pricing Score (PS) (LP/BP x 70)	50/50 x 70 = 70	50/55 x 70 = 63.636	50/60 x 70 = 58.333
Combined Rating	70	81.636	86.833
Overall Ranking Proposal	3rd	2nd	1st