





## Questions and Answers # 2

### Question 1

**Given the influx of solicitations that Canada is releasing with similar deadlines, the level of detail required to respond to this solicitation, and the upcoming holiday season, would Canada kindly grant an extension to January 5<sup>th</sup>, 2024?**

### Answer 1

Timing is an important aspect of this work, due to constraints external to this project we are unable to shift the dates further than we have already.

### Question 2

**For M2 and M3, to demonstrate that proposed resources have at least 3 non-overlapping years of combined experience within the past ten years, is the total experience supposed to be calculated from the project start to end date, or from the “days effort” of each proposed resource?**

### Answer 2

We are looking for experience based on project start and end date. This would be the fairest way to judge all candidates and account for smaller teams and individuals.

### Question 3

**The SoW indicates that the environment scan and literature review of international methods for calculating the ROI for non-structural disaster risk reduction and mitigation activities. However, objectives 4 (on page 44 of the RFP) indicates that the Contractor will be providing recommendations on the “types of activities” that provide the highest ROI, where the types of activities are land use planning, capacity-building, resilience-building, education, etc. Please confirm if the recommendations the Crown seeks is not on “methods” that yield the highest ROI?**

### Answer 3

The purpose of this work is to conduct a survey of existing ROI methods/approaches to non-structural disaster risk reduction and mitigation activities. With that review completed, a further objective would be to extrapolate from that research which activities yield a high ROI on most or all methods/approaches. Those are two separate objectives. There is no requirement to rank existing methods/approaches, simply to compare them and provide their relative strengths and weaknesses.



#### **Question 4**

**If the Crown is seeking recommendations on the types of activities that provide the highest ROI, would the Crown consider expanding the scope of work beyond environmental scan and literature review of the methods for calculating the ROI?**

#### **Answer 4**

Timing is an important aspect of this work. It would be too onerous to expect the requester to provide an analysis of their own of such activities using all of the methods and approaches found through the environmental scan and literature review. We are asking that in the survey of these methods and approaches, the conclusions or findings of these methods be presented so as to show which activities would yield the highest ROI. If it is found through the work that this objective cannot be completed as expected, we will review the scope at that time.

#### **Question 5**

**For R3 Example of Publications, would the Crown accept reports containing economic analysis and/or social impact analysis, with methodology/approach outlined in the reports?**

#### **Answer 5**

Yes.

#### **Question 6**

**We have a question about the duration of the contract. Does part 1 actually end on March 31, 2024 and you could then request one-off services (part 2) from April 1, 2024 until March 31, 2025?**

#### **Answer 6**

Yes.

**END**