



Time Zone:

(EST)

Eastern Standard Time

### **RETURN BIDS TO:**

Parks Canada Agency Bid Receiving Unit National Contracting Services

Bid Fax: 1-877-558-2349 Bid E-mail Address:

soumissionsest-bidseast@pc.qc.ca

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to the bid solicitation. Bids submitted by email directly to the Contracting Authority or to any other email address will not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is 15 megabytes. The Parks Canada Agency (PCA) is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to bid documents will not be accepted.

Title: Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement					
Solicitation No.: Date: January 23, 2024					
Amendment No.: 003					
Client Reference No.: N/A					

# REVISION 003 TO A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions remain the same.

**Issuing Office:** 

Parks Canada Agency National Contracting Services Cornwall, ON

<b>F.O.B.:</b> Plant: □	Destination: ⊠	Other: □		
Address Er Christine La				
Email Addr christine.lajo	ress: pie@pc.gc.ca	<b>Telephone No.:</b> 343-585-2762		
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Parks Canada P.O. Box 350, 301B - 3rd Street West				

### TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER

Revelstoke, BC V0E 2S0

**Solicitation Closes:** 

On: February 6, 2024

**At:** 2:00 PM

Vendor/ Firm Name:	
Address:	
Telephone No.:	Email Address:
Name of person authorized to significant (type or print):	n on behalf of the Vendor/
Signature:	Date:



Client Reference No.: Title

N/A Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement

#### Amendment 003

This amendment is raised to make changes to the tender documents to include a new Mandatory Technical Criteria.

### A. Tender Package/ Solicitation Revisions

**Delete:** Part 4 – Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection, in its entirety Replace With:

#### **EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION**

#### 4.1. Evaluation Procedures

- (a) Bids will be assessed in accordance with the entire requirement of the bid solicitation including the technical and financial evaluation criteria.
- (b) An evaluation team composed of representatives of Canada will evaluate the bids.

### 4.1.1. Technical Evaluation

### 4.1.1.1. Mandatory Technical Criteria

Technical bids will be evaluated against the mandatory technical evaluation criteria in **Annex C to Part 4** of the Bid Solicitation.

### 4.1.1.2. Point Rated Technical Criteria

Technical bids will be evaluated against the point rated technical evaluation criteria in **Annex C to Part 4** of the Bid Solicitation.

#### 4.1.2. Financial Evaluation

The price of the bid will be evaluated in Canadian dollars, Applicable Taxes excluded, FOB destination, Canadian customs duties and excise taxes included.

### 4.1.3. Basis of Selection

- 1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
  - a. comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation; and
  - b. meet all mandatory criteria; and
  - c. obtain the required minimum points specified for each criterion for the technical evaluation, and
  - d. obtain the required minimum of **130 points** overall for the technical evaluation criteria which are subject to point rating.
    - The rating is performed on a scale of 260 points.
- 2. Bids not meeting (a) or (b) or (c) and (d) will be declared non-responsive.
- 3. The selection will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be **70%** for the technical merit and **30%** for the price.
- 4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of **70%**.

Client Reference No.: Title

N/A Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement

5. To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and the ratio of **30%**.

- 6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
- 7. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 70/30 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equal 135 and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000 (45).

### Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (70%) and Price (30%)

		Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3	
Overall Technical Score		115/135	89/135	92/135	
Bid Evaluated Price		\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$45,000.00	
Calculations	Technical Merit Score	115/135 x 70 = 59.63	89/135 x 70 = 46.15	92/135 x 70 = 47.70	
	Pricing Score	45/55 x 30 = 24.55	45/50 x 30 = 27.00	45/45 x 30 = 30.00	
Combined Rating		84.18	73.15	77.70	
Overall Rating		1st	3rd	2nd	

Client Reference No.: Title

N/A Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement

**Delete:** Annex C to Part 4 of the Bid Solicitation, in its entirety

Replace With:

### ANNEX C TO PART 4 OF THE BID SOLICITATION

### **TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

### 1. Technical Bid Format

The technical bid must address clearly and in sufficient depth the points that are subject to the evaluation criteria against which the bid will be evaluated. Simply repeating the statement contained in the bid solicitation is not sufficient.

In order to facilitate the evaluation of the bid, <u>Canada strongly requests that bidders address and present topics in the order of the evaluation criteria under the same headings.</u>

To avoid duplication, bidders may refer to different sections of their bids by identifying the specific paragraph and page number where the subject topic has already been addressed.

The Bidder is advised to pay careful attention to the wording used throughout this Request for Proposal (RFP). Failure to satisfy a term or condition of this RFP may result a bid being deemed non-responsive.

All information required for evaluation purposes must be included directly in the Bidder's technical bid. The evaluation team cannot consider information not provided directly in the technical bid (e.g. links to additional website content, references checks, etc.).

Client Reference No.:

N/A Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement

# 2. Mandatory Technical Criteria

Technical bids will be evaluated against the mandatory technical criteria below.

For a bid to be declared responsive to the solicitation requirements it must demonstrate and meet <u>all</u> of the mandatory technical criteria. Bids declared non-responsive to the mandatory technical criteria will be given no further evaluation.

Item	Evaluation Criteria	Met / Not Met		Remarks / Notes
No.	No.	**To Be Com	pleted by Eva	lluation Team**
2.1	Third Party Assurance Requirements:  The Supplier must provide documentation to Canada that demonstrates how the Software as a Service Provider of the proposed Commercially Available Public Software as a Service complies with the requirements in the Third Party Assurance Requirements. Compliance must be demonstrated by providing one or more of the following industry certifications identified below and validated through independent third party assessments.  The Supplier must provide the following industry certifications for the proposed Service to demonstrate compliance:  1) One of the following:  a. ISO/IEC 27001:2013 Information technology - Security techniques - Information security management systems - Requirements; or  b. AICPA Service Organization Control (SOC) 2 Type II  2) Self-assessment, or assessments by external auditors, of its services against the Cloud Security Alliance (CSA) Cloud Controls Matrix (CCM) version 3.01 or subsequent version.  Each provided certification and assessment report must:  a. Be valid as of the Submission date;  b. Identify the legal business name of the proposed Supplier, and applicable Supplier Sub-processor, including Cloud Service Provider (CSP);  c. Identify the current certification date and/or status;  d. identify the list of Assets, Supplier Infrastructure, and Service Locations within the scope of the certification report.	□ Met	□ Not Met	

Client Reference No.: Tit

N/A Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement

### 3. Point Rated Technical Criteria

Technical bids will be evaluated against the point rated technical criteria below.

For a bid to be declared responsive to the solicitation requirements it must meet or exceed the minimum weighted points required for the point rated technical criteria, as identified. Bids that do not meet or exceed the identified minimum weighted points required (if applicable) for the point rated technical criteria will be given no further evaluation. In addition, a bid must have achieved a minimum technical score of 130/260.

Point Rated Technical Criteria 3.1 will be evaluated in accordance with 4. Generic Evaluation Criteria.

Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Min Score	Max Score	Total Score  **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**	
3.1	Avalanche Data Experience:  The Bidder must provide information for two (2) projects that demonstrate experience developing data-driven monitoring tools for the risk management of avalanche hazards. The projects submitted will be reviewed and assessed to evaluate the level of related experience and the quality of work.  Information that should be supplied:  A general description of the project  Clearly indicate how the project is comparable/relevant to the requested project  A description of practices, methods and principles applied by the bidder to ensure project success  A description of project outcomes  The name and contact information of the client organization(s) for whom the work was provided	6/ project	10/ project	/20	
3.1	Reference(s):				
**To Be Completed by Evaluation	Strengths:				
Team**	Weaknesses:				

Contracting Authority: Christine Lajoie Amendment No.: Solicitation No.: 5P047-23-0067/A 003

Client Reference No.:

**Title:** Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement N/A

Item No.	Evaluation Criteria	Min Score	Max Score	Total Score  **To Be Completed by Evaluation Team**	
3.2	<ul> <li>System Capabilities:         <ul> <li>The Bidder must provide a response for each capability in the table at Appendix 1 using the following criteria:</li> <li>Yes – the Bidder's solution provides this capability without the need for customization or other additional work that goes beyond reconfiguring an existing product.</li> <li>Custom – the Bidder's solution will provide this capability after customization or other or other additional work that goes beyond reconfiguring an existing product.</li> <li>No – the Bidder's solution will not be able provide this capability.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Rating Scale for System Capabilities         <ul> <li>Yes = 5 points</li> <li>Custom Build = 2 points</li> <li>No = 0 points</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	120	240	/240	
3.2	Reference(s):				
**To Be Completed by Evaluation	Strengths:				
Team**	Weaknesses:				

Client Reference No.: Title:

N/A Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement

### 4. Generic Evaluation Criteria

PCA Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Bidder's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with even numbers (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the generic evaluation table below.

At the final consensus evaluation meeting, the PCA Evaluation Board members will assign both even and odd numbers in determining the final score for each evaluation criteria.

	INADEQUATE	WEAK	ADEQUATE	FULLY SATISFACTORY	STRONG
0 point	2 points	4 points	6 points	8 points	10 points
Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Lacks complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements.	Has some understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understanding in some areas of the requirements.	Demonstrates a good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates a very good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the requirements.
	Weaknesses cannot be corrected	Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected	Weaknesses can be corrected	No significant weaknesses	No apparent weaknesses
	Bidder do not possess qualifications and experience	Bidder lacks qualifications and experience	Bidder has an acceptable level of qualifications and experience	Bidder is qualified and experienced	Bidder is highly qualified and experienced
	Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements	Team does not cover all components or overall experience is weak	Team covers most components and will likely meet requirements	Team covers all components - some members have worked successfully together	Strong team - has worked successfully together on comparable projects
	Sample projects not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally related to this requirement	Sample projects directly related to this requirement	Leads in sample projects directly related to this requirement
	Extremely poor, insufficient to meet performance requirements	Little capability to meet performance requirements	Acceptable capability, should ensure adequate results	Satisfactory capability, should ensure effective results	Superior capability, should ensure very effective results

Client Reference No.: Title:

N/A Avalanche Forecasting System Replacement

## Appendix 1 - System capabilities

The Appendix 1 is a separate document in Excel format. The document's name is: System Capabilities-Capacités du système\_v2.

ALL OTHER TERMS & CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.