

	<p>Le document Avis de projet de marché, sous la section intitulée « Législation et politiques gouvernementales », indique que cette invitation relève de la compétence du Programme des marchandises contrôlées (PMC). Il convient de noter que le document de demande de propositions (DDP) ne précise pas l'exigence selon laquelle les entrepreneurs doivent être enregistrés auprès du Programme des marchandises contrôlées.</p> <p>De plus, la liste de contrôle des exigences en matière de sécurité (LVERS) suggère que les fournisseurs n'ont pas besoin d'accéder aux marchandises contrôlées. À ce titre, nous demandons à la Couronne de confirmer que les entrepreneurs ne sont pas tenus d'être inscrits au Programme des marchandises contrôlées.</p>	<p>Référez-vous à la modification 001 sous le nouveau AVIS DE PROJET DE MARCHÉ, ci-inclus.</p>
<p>3</p>	<p>Upon conducting preliminary research, we have found it challenging to find resources that meet the niche requirements stipulated in this Solicitation while also being available at a full-time capacity. We are asking the Crown to confirm if resources working at a part time capacity will be accepted?</p> <p>Après avoir effectué des recherches préliminaires, nous avons trouvé difficile de trouver des ressources qui répondent aux exigences stipulées dans la présente invitation tout en étant disponibles à temps plein. Nous demandons à la Couronne de confirmer si les ressources travaillant à temps partiel seront acceptées ?</p>	<p>Yes. A part-time resource may be acceptable. The priority will be given to a full-time resource.</p> <p>Oui, une ressource à temps partiel pourrait être acceptable. La priorité sera accordée à une ressource à temps plein.</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>Is there an incumbent in this position or is this a new position?</p> <p>Y a-t-il un titulaire à ce poste ou s'agit-il d'un nouveau poste ?</p>	<p>No. There is not an incumbent in this position.</p> <p>Non. Il n'y a pas de titulaire à ce poste.</p>

DELETE: Article 4.2 BASIS OF SELECTION in its entirety, and
REPLACE: with a new 4.2 BASIS OF SELECTION; included herein

4.2 Basis of Selection

A bid must comply with the requirements of the bid solicitation and meet all mandatory requirements to be declared responsive.

- 1) Financial Bids will be evaluated on an aggregate price basis as follows:
 - a) Sub-Total (A) from Table a), plus
 - b) Sub-Total (B) from Table b), plus
 - c) Sub-Total (C) from Table c)
- 2) The sum under Annex “B.1” will determine the aggregate evaluation price of the Bid (Table 8).
- 3) The responsive bid with the highest combined overall rating will be recommended for award.

Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (70%) and Price (30%)

Example:

		Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3
Overall Technical Score		77/90	60/90	62/90
Bid Evaluated Price		\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$45,000.00
Calculations	Technical Merit Score	$77/90 \times 70 = 59.89$	$60/90 \times 70 = 46.67$	$62/90 \times 70 = 48.22$
	Pricing Score	$45/55 \times 30 = 24.55$	$45/50 \times 30 = 27.00$	$45/45 \times 30 = 30.00$
Combined Rating		84.43	73.67	78.22
Overall Rating		1st	3rd	2nd

DELETE: ANNEX A.1 TECHNICAL BID in its entirety, and
REPLACE: with a new ANNEX A.1 TECHNICAL BID included herein, to remove the reference to “*Total minimum score required = 11 points*”.

ANNEX A.1 **TECHNICAL BID**

(to be completed by the Bidder)

Technical Evaluation Plan – Engineering Graduate, Senior

TSPS Flexible Grid
Engineering Graduate Category Flexible Grid
<p>Levels of Expertise Senior: Minimum 120 pts Intermediate: Minimum 90 pts (<i>provided for reference ONLY</i>) Junior: Minimum 80 pts (<i>provided for reference ONLY</i>)</p> <p>Relevant Education to the Consultant Category 55 pts: University (PhD, Graduate, Undergraduate, degree)</p> <p>Relevant Professional Certification 10 pts: Relevant Professional Certification</p> <p>Relevant Experience in Consultant Category 10 pts: ≥1 yr and <2 yrs (12-23 months) 25 pts: ≥2 yrs and <4 yrs (24-47 months) 35 pts: ≥4 yrs and <6 yrs (48-71 months) 45 pts: ≥6 yrs and <8 yrs (72-95 months) 55 pts: ≥8 yrs and <10 yrs (96-119 months) 65 pts: ≥10 yrs (120 + months)</p>

Mandatory Technical Criteria

The bid must meet the mandatory technical criteria specified below. The Bidder must provide the necessary documentation to support compliance with this requirement.

Bids which fail to meet the mandatory technical criteria will be declared non-responsive. Each mandatory technical criterion should be addressed separately.

Table 1 - Mandatory Technical Criteria

#	Mandatory Technical (MT) Criteria		Bidder's Response
TSPS 5.3 Engineering Graduate, Senior		Met / Not met	With location of demonstrated criterion in proposed bid
MT1	The Bidder must submit a detailed résumé for the proposed resource clearly demonstrating that the resource meets the minimum mandatory requirements (relevant education, relevant professional certifications and relevant work experience) for resource category 5.3 Engineering Graduate, Senior, as described in Attachment 1 to Part 4, TSPS Flexible Grid.		
MT2	The resource must have a minimum of five years of engineering experience related to defence equipment or a defence equipment support system (see Note 1 for definition).		

Point Rated Technical Criteria

Bids which meet all the mandatory technical criteria will be evaluated and scored as specified in the table inserted below. The Bidder must provide the necessary documentation to support compliance with these criteria.

Each point rated technical criterion must be addressed separately. Bids which fail to obtain the required minimum number of points specified will be declared non-responsive.

Table 2 – Point Rated Technical Criteria

#	Point Rated Technical (RT) Criteria	Bidder's Response
TSPS 5.3 Engineering Graduate, Senior		With location of demonstrated criterion in proposed bid
RT1	Should clearly demonstrate experience in *directly supporting or actively participating in individual, formal defence equipment Sustainment Business Case Analyses (SBCAs). Note: For verification purposes, the bidder must precisely identify these SBCA files. * "Directly supporting or actively participating" in an SBCA means either of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> actively participating in analyzing sustainment requirements, identifying any number of options to analyze during the SBCA, or influencing, to any extent, any element of the procurement or sustainment strategies or solutions considered during the SBCA. 	

	<p>Number of different individual, formal defence equipment SBCAs: Zero SBCA = 0 point One SBCA = 15 points Two SBCAs = 20 points Three SBCAs = 25 points Four SBCAs = 30 points Five SBCAs or more = 35 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT1: 35	Bidder score =
RT2	<p>Should clearly demonstrate continuous experience in either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the development or improvement of the SBCA process, or 2) the delivery of SBCA related training or professional development to learners. <p>Note: The bidder must specify when and in what capacity this contribution took place.</p> <p>0 to 5 months = 0 point 6 to 11 months = 2 points 12 months or more = 5 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT2: 5	Bidder score =
RT3	<p>Should clearly demonstrate experience in the sustainment (see Note 2 for definition) of defence equipment (see Note 1 for definition). The sustainment experience used for RT3 must differ from the experience used in RT1 or RT2.</p> <p>0 to 23 months = 0 point 24 to 48 months = 4 points 49 months or more = 8 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT3: 8	Bidder score =
RT4	<p>Should clearly demonstrate experience in a capital acquisition project for defence equipment (see Note 1 for definition). This experience must differ from the experience used in RT1.</p> <p>0 to 23 months = 0 point 24 to 48 months = 2 points 49 months or more = 4 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT4: 4	Bidder score =
RT5	<p>Should clearly demonstrate experience in developing or maintaining policies, procedures, guidance or tools for practitioners working with defence equipment (see Note 1 for definition). This experience does not have to differ from the experience used in RT2.</p> <p>0 to 23 months = 0 point 24 to 48 months = 4 points 49 months or more = 8 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT5: 8	Bidder score =

RT6	<p>Should clearly demonstrate experience in the procurement or contracting of defence equipment (see Note 1 for definition) sustainment (see Note 2 for definition) contracts, except if the same experience was already used to demonstrate other RT criteria above.</p> <p>0 to 23 months = 0 point 24 to 48 months = 4 points 49 months or more = 8 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT6: 8	Bidder score =
RT7	<p>Should be bilingual (able to speak and write in English and French with a minimum number of mistakes).</p> <p>Less than bilingual = 0 point Bilingual = 3 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT7: 3	Bidder score =
RT8	<p>Should clearly demonstrate experience in proofreading French multi-page material provided by translation services, to ensure accuracy and consistency of terminology. Specify each document and for which project. One page or less doesn't count.</p> <p>1 document = 0 point 2 or 3 documents = 2 points 4 documents = 3 points 5 documents or more = 4 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT8: 4	Bidder score =
RT9	<p>Should clearly demonstrate experience in the development of GCpedia design, SharePoint design or web design.</p> <p>0 to 23 months = 0 point 24 to 48 months = 2 points 49 months or more = 3 points</p>	
	Maximum possible score for RT9: 3	Bidder score =
RT10	<p>Should demonstrate experience, in the last 10 years, in polishing Word documents. With no consideration for the work on the content itself, "polishing" a document means using Word advanced features for any combination of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Using different layouts and page numbering for different sections, 2) Maximizing the use of 'styles', 3) Using internal cross-references/captions, 4) Customizing a table of contents, a list of tables or figures, 5) Formatting for a professional look, and 6) Respecting conventional rules for the use of italics, bold, underline, font colours and capital letters. <p>Note: The Bidder must specify which document and for which project.</p>	

	1 document = 1 point 2 or 3 documents = 2 points 4 documents = 3 points 5 documents or more = 4 points	
	Maximum possible score for RT10: 4	Bidder score =
RT11	Should demonstrate experience, in the last 10 years, in in-depth reviews of the content of English documents and identifying mistakes, inconsistencies, improper grammar, inadequate flow, unclear parts, and inappropriate level of details or content for the intended readers. Specify which document and for which project. 1 document = 1 point 2 documents = 2 points 3 documents = 3 points 4 documents = 4 points 5 documents or more = 5 points	
	Maximum possible score for RT11: 5	Bidder score =
RT12	Should clearly demonstrate having published a research paper or article directly pertaining to the sustainment of defence equipment (see Note 2 for definition) or the procurement of sustainment services. 1 document = 2 points 2 documents or more = 3 points	
	Maximum possible score for RT12: 3	Bidder score =
Total maximum possible score = 90 points		Total score for proposed bid =

Note 1.

Defence equipment is a major system, software, hardware, or firmware used to operate a Canadian Armed Forces military capability.

A defence equipment support system is not classified as an instrument of combat and is used in a defensive or support role. Examples include materiel, services, facilities, infrastructure and personnel required for the operation, testing, and sustainment of defence equipment (per Note 2).

Note 2.

Within the defence equipment context, sustainment is all-encompassing and includes all types of support to military equipment including:

- maintenance;
- repair and overhaul;
- engineering changes;
- supply chain management (supply, inventory, materiel management);
- lifecycle materiel management;
- materiel assurance;
- technical data management (data, publications);
- training related to the equipment;
- information technology; and
- infrastructure.

DELETE: NOTICE OF PROPOSED PROCUREMENT in its entirety, and
REPLACE: with a new NOTICE OF PROPOSED PROCUREMENT included herein and remove the reference to “*Controlled Goods Program (CGP)*”.

**PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:
Policy Analyst**

The Department of National Defence (DND) has a requirement for one Senior Engineering Graduate to provide Policy Analyst services.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. Developing and maintaining Sustainment Business Case Analysis (SBCA) material, as defined under Tasks in the Statement of Work;
2. Learning as much as possible about the SBCA process and seeking opportunities to improve it; and
3. Engaging with SBCA stakeholders.

CONTRACT PERIOD

The period of any resulting Contract will be for 2 years firm and 3 years Options.

MANDATORY SECURITY REQUIREMENT

The Bidder must hold a valid organization security clearance of SECRET.

LEGISLATION AND GOVERNMENT POLICIES

The following, among others, will apply:

- i. Defence Production Act;
- ii. Industrial and Technological Benefits (ITBs); and
- iv. Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity (FCP-EE).

TRADE AGREEMENTS

Trade agreements: including the Canadian Free Trade Agreement (CFTA); Canada–Chile Free Trade Agreement; Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP); Canada–Colombia Free Trade Agreement; Canada-European Union Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA); Canada–Honduras Free Trade Agreement; Canada–Korea Free Trade Agreement; Canada–Panama Free Trade Agreement; Canada–Peru Free Trade Agreement; Canada–Ukraine Free Trade Agreement; Canada-United Kingdom Trade Continuity Agreement; World Trade Organization–Agreement on Government Procurement (WTO-GPA)

ENQUIRIES:

All enquiries are to be submitted to the Contractual Authority: Armel Boussougou by email at: Armel.Boussougou@forces.gc.ca

Enquiries are to be made in writing and should be received no less than five (5) business days prior to the closing date to allow sufficient time to respond.

RECEIVING RESPONSES:

Firms intending to submit bids on this request for proposal should send to the Contractual Authority: Armel Boussougou by email at: Armel.Boussougou@forces.gc.ca

Amendments, when issued, will be available from the same government electronic tendering service.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED